



Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 (10-year budget)

Have Your Say Event Feedback

Volume #1

April 2024



Event Name	Page Number
Papatoetoe War Memorial Library	1
Takanini Library Activation	11
Botany Library	21
Highland Park Library	30
Howick Library	39
Mt Roskill Library Feedback Boards	48
Rodney Local Board Hearing	54
Puketapapa Network Meeting	65
Sir Edmund Hillary Library Activation	73
Glenn Innes Library Feedback	85
Otahuhu Family Fun Day	92
Diversity Forum	101
Auckland Brit & Euro Car Show	111
Celebrating Cultures	120
Mt Albert Library Feedback	129
Panmure Library Feedback	136
Epsom Library Feedback	143
Diversity Festival	150
Puketapapa Network Meeting	160
Kiwi Anthems	168
Onehunga Library Feedback	177
I Love Takapuna Easter Carnival	184
Devonport Takapuna Local Board Hearing	193
Kaipātiki Local Board Stakeholder Hui	208
Albany Village Library drop-in session	216

Takanini Library Activation	225
Tupu Youth Library	236
Beach Haven Fun Run	245
Mangere Community House	254
Manurewa Library Activation	263
Coatesville Market	272
Otahuhu Library	286
Manurewa Library Local Board Drop-in Event	295
Beach Haven Community Project Open Day	304
Warkworth A&P Lifestyle Show Drop-in Session	313
Movies in the Park	330
Helensville Village Market Drop-in Session	341
Pukekohe Library at Franklin The Centre Interactive Display	353
Waiuku Library Interactive Display	362
Franklin Local Board Hearing	372
Franklin Local Board Hearing	381
Long-Term Plan Hui at Hoani Waititi Marae For our mataawaka and Whanau Māori Communities	392
Community Hui	398
Community Hui	406
Pop up at Henderson Valley Community Day	412
Whau Local Board Drop-in Session	417
Pop up Blockhouse Bay Markets	422
Henderson-Massey Local Board Drop-in Session	427
Waitākere Ranges Local Board Drop-in Session	432
Pop up at Te Atatū Food Truck Friday	438
Community Forum	443
Kiwi Anthems	453
Diversity Festival	461

ASB Polyfest	469
Pasifika Festival Day 1	474
Franklin: The Centre, Pukekohe, Interactive Display	480
LTP Drop In/Month Long activation - Manurewa Library	491
Drop-in Session	501
Whangaparaoa library drop-in session	512
Community Meeting hosted by Hobsonville Community Trust and Hobsonville Point Residents Society	520
Upper Harbour Local Board Hearing	530
Mt. Albert Community Library, Drop-in session	537
Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board Cup of Tea and a chat HYS	547
Mt Roskill Community Library, Drop-in Session	557
Mar Drop in session	567
Whakanui Te Manurewatanga - Celebrating Cultural Diversity in Manurewa	579
Community BBQ	592
Kumeu Show 2024	604
Summer Spectacular Festival	620
Coastival 2024	630
Unity is Strength	639
Community Meeting	644
Manukau Library	649



Papatoetoe War Memorial Library

Event Name	Papatoetoe War Memorial Library
Event Date	28/3/2024
Number of Participants (optional)	81
Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	81
Event type	Interactive boards in libraries

Local boards

- Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Demographics

Gender

Male	29
Female	50
Another gender	2

Age

Under 15	12
15-17	5
18-24	10
25-34	10
35-44	14
45-54	9



55-64	3
65-74	7
74+	6

Ethnicity

Pākehā/NZ European	24
Other European	3
Māori	11
Cook Island Māori	5
Samoan	24
Tongan	8
Other Pasifika	2
Chinese	5
Southeast Asian	1
Korean	1
Indian	19
Other Asian	N/A
Middle Eastern	N/A
Latin American	1
African	1
Other Ethnicity	10

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council’s Long-term Plan?

Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt	N/A
Proceed with the central proposal	N/A
Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates	N/A



<i>increases and more debt</i>	
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
Transport	N/A	N/A	N/A
Water	N/A	N/A	N/A
City and local development	N/A	N/A	N/A
Environment and regulation	N/A	N/A	N/A
Parks and Community	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economic and cultural development	N/A	N/A	N/A
Council support	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal	N/A
Support most of the proposal	N/A
Do not support most of the proposal	N/A
Don't support any of the proposal	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

Keep the stadium precinct as it is	N/A
Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct	N/A
Change the operational management	N/A



Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

Proceed with the proposal	N/A
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council	N/A
Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund	N/A
Other	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public</i>	N/A



benefit, within 15 years	
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Long Term Differential Strategy	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

OP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Ōtara-Papatoetoe in 2024/2025?

I support all priorities	218
I support most priorities	45



<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	14
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

OP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Through grants, support community-led events and initiatives that create safe neighbourhoods and promoting active living, sustainable practices.</i>	48	5	1	N/A
<i>Support activities to increase social cohesion, neighbourly connections, better outreach to people from smaller ethnic groups and connect newer settlers to local services.</i>	41	7	2	N/A
<i>Increase youth empowerment</i>	39	3	2	N/A
<i>Identify and promote 'Play advocacy' for local opportunities in projects that can provide spaces for play in places beyond playgrounds.</i>	30	12	3	N/A
<i>Continue to support and look to increase environmental and sustainability</i>	34	7	4	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>projects to address climate change and environmental challenges</i>				
<i>Explore options for ways of delivering increased local economic outcomes for small to large businesses.</i>	26	11	2	N/A

OP 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Ōtara-Papatoetoe proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

Comment	Increase focus on Māori outcomes, including Māori input in local governance and engagement: Support - 39 Partially support - 13 Do not support - 14 Other- 1
Comment	Securing funding and delivering the Manukau Sports Bowl masterplan: Support - 27 Partially support - 10 Do not support - 11 Other- 1
Comment	Secure funding and deliver the Old Papatoetoe Community hub with Eke Panuku: Support - 26 Partially support - 17 Do not support - 2
Comment	Assess our community leases and assets to ensure they are financially sustainable, and prioritising local needs and the changing demographics: Support - 40 Partially support - 8 Do not support - 1 Other-
Comment	Prioritise environmental initiatives to protect and care for our environment and mitigate the effects of climate change: Support - 41 Partially support - 6 Do not support - 3



Comment	Continue to deliver improved sports fields and lighting to ensure our community has access to high quality facilities for formal or informal recreation: Support - 48 Partially support - 7 Do not support - 4
Comment	Prioritise addressing inequity of funding in the first 3 years of the Long-term Plan so that underfunded local boards have the financial tools to begin to address service provision: Most important - 33 Least important - 3
Comment	Advocate to the Governing Body for the reinvestment of the council's capital expenditure and include Ōtara into the 'transform' category to collaborate with Eke Panuku: Most important - 26 Least important - 5
Comment	Advocate to the Governing Body and Auckland Transport to retain and increase the Local Board Transport Capital Fund to the pre-COVID-19 level: Most important - 29 Least important - 4
Comment	Advocate for equity in funding to address the disparity in asset management with priorities based on the need of the area: Most important - 30 Least important - 2
Comment	Request Auckland Transport to increase investment to prioritise improvements to road conditions, in particular for quicker maintenance and upgrades: Most important - 40 Least important - 3
Comment	Advocate to trial free or further subsidised public transport in our area to encourage uptake in public transport use to minimise the cost of transport, as well as to contribute to meeting our climate goals: Most important - 36 Least important - 6
Comment	Advocate for the targeted rate funding from the Climate Action, Natural Environment and Water Quality targeted rates to be prioritised for communities that need them the most: Most important - 35 Least important - 6
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A



Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

Takanini Library Activation - Final Data collected

Event Name	Takanini Library Activation - Final Data collected
Event Date	Month Long Activation boards
Number of Participants (optional)	N/A
Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	20
Event type	Library Sticky dot activation month long

Local boards

- Papakura

Demographics

Gender

Male	N/A
Female	5
Another gender	N/A

Age

Under 15	1
15-17	1
18-24	2
25-34	1
35-44	2
45-54	N/A
55-64	2
65-74	N/A
74+	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	2
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	2
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	1
<i>Tongan</i>	2
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	1
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	1	N/A	14
<i>Water</i>	N/A	1	7

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
City and local development	3	N/A	3
Environment and regulation	N/A	2	4
Parks and Community	N/A	N/A	14
Economic and cultural development	N/A	1	5
Council support	N/A	1	7

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal	6
Support most of the proposal	5
Do not support most of the proposal	1
Don't support any of the proposal	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

Keep the stadium precinct as it is	1
Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct	1
Change the operational management	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

Proceed with the proposal	2
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding	N/A

Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council	3
Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund	1
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

Continue to use it to fund council services	N/A
Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund	1
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and	1
---	---

Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.	
No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations	2
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area	N/A
Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years	1
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)	1	5	N/A	N/A
Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)	1	1	N/A	N/A
Long Term Differential Strategy	1	2	N/A	N/A
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	N/A	2	N/A	N/A

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. Tell us why

Comment	Support - Build tracks that enable faster trains
Comment	Support - Makes buses more reliable
Comment	Support - Offer Faster buses for commuters
Comment	Support - Way more funding for the local boards
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here

Comment	Continue council group operation - Because it would coast more!
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A



Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

Q6_B_Comment. 6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

Comment	Support - Rates funded collection roll out continue - Please make the removal of waste free and more inorganics
Comment	Don't Support - Long term strategy diff - Cut down tax rate too much
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

PPK 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Papakura in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

PPK 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>We know you value the community being brought together through free events</i>	3	2	N/A	N/A
<i>We will continue to support Māori-led initiatives and aspirations with Mātauranga Māori (Māori knowledge), including the Māori Wardens.</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>We have recently been working on enhancements to the Te Koiwi Reserve pond</i>	2	1	N/A	N/A
<i>We will continue to support the Takanini Business Association in their Business Improvement District (BID) establishment.</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Community arts programme.</i>	2	1	N/A	N/A

PPK 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:



Comment	More services for those who need support, more recreation for those with disabilities both physical and mental.
Comment	Looking into roaming dogs for animal management
Comment	Infrastructure - Put more planning and money into fixing our roads, public transport, energy, water and telecommunications.
Comment	Road management needs improvement especially during peak hours. Have more libraries become open spaced like Takanini also including quiet spaces. This will attract more people
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A



Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

PPK 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Papakura proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A



Botany Library

<i>Event Name</i>	Botany Library
<i>Event Date</i>	28/03/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	90
<i>Number of People (required) that provided feedback</i>	90
<i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	
<i>Event type</i>	Interactive boards in libraries

Local boards

- Howick

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	38
<i>Female</i>	49
<i>Another gender</i>	3

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	18
<i>15-17</i>	17
<i>18-24</i>	13
<i>25-34</i>	11
<i>35-44</i>	17
<i>45-54</i>	8
<i>55-64</i>	5



65-74	3
74+	7

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	18
<i>Other European</i>	2
<i>Māori</i>	8
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	1
<i>Samoan</i>	2
<i>Tongan</i>	1
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	57
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	14
<i>Korean</i>	15
<i>Indian</i>	8
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	2
<i>Latin American</i>	3
<i>African</i>	7
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	24

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
--	-----



<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>				
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HW 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Howick in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	122
<i>I support most priorities</i>	40
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	5
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

HW 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Heritage Plan.</i>	10	11	N/A	N/A
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Tourism Plan.</i>	13	7	5	N/A
<i>Encourage community groups to adopt a reserve, park, or waterway etc, and provide for restoration and maintenance activities with council support.</i>	27	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Rescope the Industrial Pollution Prevention Programme to broaden its outreach and include all businesses.</i>	27	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop a community-led climate action plan.</i>	28	4	N/A	N/A
<i>Explore the development of a Howick Ward 'business collective', or other group, to provide support for small business owners outside of the established Business Improvement Districts.</i>	17	13	N/A	N/A

HW 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Howick proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	<p>Increase tree canopy coverage on public land from 15% to 18%, with an overall goal of 30%: Support - 58 Partially support - 5</p>
----------------	--



<i>Comment</i>	Partner with the southern local boards for local landfill diversion facilities for south-east Auckland – eg: a recovery centre and hazardous waste disposal: Support - 46 Partially support - 3
<i>Comment</i>	All new assets and facilities to be designed and managed in an environmentally sustainable manner: Support - 43 Partially support - 2
<i>Comment</i>	Provide services and facilities that cater to the changing demographics of the Howick Local Board: Support - 36 Partially support - 9
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to advocate to the Governing Body to start construction of the Flat Bush community centre and library as soon as possible: Most important - 37 Least important - 10
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to advocate for funding to implement sustainable measures to manage coastal erosion and inundation – including loss of sand from local beaches: Most important - 32 Least important - 3
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to increase monitoring of illegal discharge into stormwater, waterways and onto our beaches: Most important - 33 Least important - 3
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification: Most important - 40 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to change the procurement policy to allow greater use of smaller, local businesses: Most important - 20 Least important - 4
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A





Highland Park Library

<i>Event Name</i>	Highland Park Library
<i>Event Date</i>	28/3/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	89
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	89
<i>Event type</i>	N/A

Local boards

- Howick

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	29
<i>Female</i>	58
<i>Another gender</i>	2

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	41
<i>15-17</i>	2
<i>18-24</i>	2
<i>25-34</i>	4
<i>35-44</i>	15



45-54	7
55-64	3
65-74	8
74+	10

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	28
<i>Other European</i>	0
<i>Māori</i>	6
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	0
<i>Samoaan</i>	0
<i>Tongan</i>	0
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	0
<i>Chinese</i>	83
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	21
<i>Korean</i>	9
<i>Indian</i>	3
<i>Other Asian</i>	0
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	7
<i>Latin American</i>	5
<i>African</i>	6
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	17

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates</i>	N/A



<i>increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HW 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Howick in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	111
<i>I support most priorities</i>	36
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	0
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	16
<i>Other</i>	6
<i>I don't know</i>	0



HW 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Heritage Plan.</i>	15	10	5	1
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Tourism Plan.</i>	8	13	3	2
<i>Encourage community groups to adopt a reserve, park, or waterway etc, and provide for restoration and maintenance activities with council support.</i>	36	6	4	1
<i>Rescope the Industrial Pollution Prevention Programme to broaden its outreach and include all businesses.</i>	22	2	0	2
<i>Develop a community-led climate action plan.</i>	14	4	2	0
<i>Explore the development of a Howick Ward 'business collective', or other group, to provide support for small business owners outside of the established Business Improvement Districts.</i>	16	1	2	0

HW 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Howick proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	"Increase tree canopy coverage on public land from 15% to 18%, with an overall goal of 30%": Support 33
----------------	--



	<p>Partially support 10 Do not support 8 Other 0</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Partner with the southern local boards for local landfill diversion facilities for south-east Auckland – eg: a recovery centre and hazardous waste disposal: Support 28 Partially support 7 Do not support 0 Other 0</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>"Provide services and facilities that cater to the changing demographics of the Howick Local Board": Support 31 Partially support 3 Do not support 0 Other 0</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Continue to advocate to the Governing Body to start construction of the Flatbush Community centre and Library as soon as possible: Support 43 Do not support 11</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Continue to advocate for funding to implement sustainable measures to manage coastal erosion and inundation - including loss of sand from local beaches: Support 35 Do not support 3</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body to increase monitoring of illegal discharge into stormwater, waterways and onto our beaches: Support 42 Do not support 5</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>"Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification": Support 63 Do not support 4</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body to change the procurement policy to allow greater use of smaller, local businesses: Support 50 Do not support 14</p>
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A





Howick Library

<i>Event Name</i>	Howick Library
<i>Event Date</i>	28/3/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	79
<i>Number of People (required) that provided feedback</i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	79
<i>Event type</i>	Interactive Boards in Libraries

Local boards

- Howick

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	20
<i>Female</i>	57
<i>Another gender</i>	2

Age

If you did not collect this information, leave them blank

<i>Under 15</i>	21
<i>15-17</i>	8
<i>18-24</i>	4
<i>25-34</i>	1
<i>35-44</i>	10



<i>45-54</i>	10
<i>55-64</i>	7
<i>65-74</i>	13
<i>74+</i>	11

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	41
<i>Other European</i>	13
<i>Māori</i>	4
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	2
<i>Samoaan</i>	0
<i>Tongan</i>	0
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	0
<i>Chinese</i>	20
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	12
<i>Korean</i>	60
<i>Indian</i>	0
<i>Other Asian</i>	0
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	0
<i>Latin American</i>	0
<i>African</i>	4
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	4

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates</i>	N/A



<i>increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HW 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Howick in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	286
<i>I support most priorities</i>	55
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	0
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	67
<i>Other</i>	26
<i>I don't know</i>	0



HW 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Heritage Plan.</i>	42	9	9	4
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Tourism Plan.</i>	25	13	15	4
<i>Encourage community groups to adopt a reserve, park, or waterway etc, and provide for restoration and maintenance activities with council support.</i>	66	3	3	1
<i>Rescope the Industrial Pollution Prevention Programme to broaden its outreach and include all businesses.</i>	63	4	9	1
<i>Develop a community-led climate action plan.</i>	50	9	22	3
<i>Explore the development of a Howick Ward 'business collective', or other group, to provide support for small business owners outside of the established Business Improvement Districts.</i>	40	17	9	13

HW 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Howick proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	"Increase tree canopy coverage on public land from 15% to 18%, with an overall goal of 30%." Support 109
----------------	---



	<p>Partially support 9 Do not support 5 Other 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Partner with the southern local boards for local landfill diversion facilities for south-east Auckland – e.g.: a recovery centre and hazardous waste disposal Support 86 Partially support 12 Do not support 3 Other 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>"All new assets and facilities to be designed and managed in an environmentally sustainable manner." Support 112 Partially support 11 Do not support 5 Other 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>"Provide services and facilities that cater to the changing demographics of the Howick Local Board." Support 100 Partially support 26 Do not support 4 Other 0</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Continue to advocate to the Governing Body to start construction of the Flatbush Community centre and Library as soon as possible: Support 36 Do not support 11</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Continue to advocate for funding to implement sustainable measures to manage coastal erosion and inundation - including loss of sand from local beaches: Support 48 Do not support 2</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body to increase monitoring of illegal discharge into stormwater, waterways and onto our beaches: Support 56 Do not support 0</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>"Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification": Support 89 Do not support 0</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body to change the procurement policy to allow greater use of smaller, local businesses: Support 31 Do not support 10</p>
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Mt Roskill Library Feedback boards

Event Name	Mt Roskill Library Feedback boards
Event Date	28 February - 28 March 2024
Number of Participants (optional)	32
Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	32
Event type	Feedback boards

Local boards

- Puketāpapa

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council’s Long-term Plan?

Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt	1
Proceed with the central proposal	3
Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt	5
Other	N/A
I don’t know	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
Transport	N/A	N/A	9
Water	N/A	N/A	5
City and local development	N/A	1	2
Environment and regulation	1	1	5
Parks and Community	N/A	2	3
Economic and cultural development	4	N/A	2
Council support	1	N/A	1

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal	N/A
Support most of the proposal	N/A
Do not support most of the proposal	N/A
Don't support any of the proposal	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

Keep the stadium precinct as it is	N/A
Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct	N/A
Change the operational management	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
---	-----



Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.	N/A
No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area	N/A
Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Long Term Differential Strategy	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

PTP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Puketāpapa in 2024/2025?

I support all priorities	N/A
I support most priorities	N/A
I do not support most priorities	N/A
I do not support any priorities	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

PTP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
Invest in opportunities to support local	32	4	14	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>community leadership.</i>				
<i>Invest in climate change response initiatives and support volunteer groups</i>	21	5	3	N/A
<i>Consider our investment in facilities and services to see if there are opportunities to do better.</i>	20	4	N/A	N/A
<i>Support initiatives that improve and encourage walking and cycling opportunities.</i>	25	1	1	N/A
<i>Help coordinate and support local business groups.</i>	13	11	3	N/A



19-Mar Rodney Local Board Hearing

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	3
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	2
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	1

Local boards

- Rodney

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council’s Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment),</i>	N/A



<i>with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A



<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the</i>	N/A



<i>proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council</i>	N/A



<i>to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RD 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Rodney in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
---------------------------------	-----



<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

RD 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Deliver new and/or improved playground and play spaces</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support communities to develop local community emergency leadership groups and emergency action planning in response to the findings</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Provide additional activities and programmes for children and young people</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to support our local arts centres</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to support community groups and mana whenua to keep our waterways clean and healthy and restore biodiversity.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support the community to</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>minimise waste, turn it into resources, and promote education on waste reduction.</i>				
<i>Develop and refurbish toilet facilities in Glasgow Park,</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop pathway connections in Green Road Park.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RD 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	A Plan is to plan to succeed. To not to plan is a plan to Fail.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

RD 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Rodney proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?



<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Tourism - Warkworth is one of the most attractive small towns in the Auckland Area. We need to be more proactive to capitalize on this feature.</p> <p>Warkworth and the surrounding town need to be promoted as a great place to visit not just for a morning but for a day then a weekend and a week. We need to encourage small businesses to provide activities and attractions and coordinate these into packages for tourists in the same way as Norfolk Island and Lord Howe Island does. Restaurants and cafes need to open 7 days. We need a better entrance to Warkworth. The NOR1 plan is very underwhelming and is very autocentric like Silverdale and Westgate (see photos). We need to do a lot better. We need urban planning that the growth industries buy into. It is suggested that the approaches to the town be lined with avenues of trees at both North (Pac and Save area) and South (South Warkworth Development).</p> <p>Welcome to Warkworth signage is needed just south of the end of the motorway and also at Puhoi.</p> <p>The Hill St intersection needs a Welcome to Warkworth feature. A sculpture perhaps?</p> <p>We need to make Warkworth an attractive and worthwhile destination for walkers and cyclists.</p> <p>Cycleways to the Cementworks and the Falls Reserve and walkways on the other side of the river to the Coombs and Daldy Lime works and beyond. The Sesquicentennial Walk is being extended but the river promenade has been closed beside the weir. The track stops at Lucy Moore where it becomes very wet in winter. The Watercare pumping station has closed the track to Rivendell for more than 2 years. The walkway cycleway needs to be upgraded to all weather and cycle friendly, and linked to Rivendell and right through to the cementworks.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Schools - We need off road walkways and cycleways to existing and new schools. Eg a route from Warkworth South parallel to the river to the behind Mahurangi College and on to the primary schools (see plan). This could be carried out as a joint project with Watercare doubling as a pipeline route.</p> <p>Walking and Cycling routes to the Sports fields and the future Swimming pool and connecting to Warkworth Ridge. RLB needs to fill the gaps.</p> <p>We need to develop better use of the river and to develop the Heritage Warkworth Cementworks as a heritage and archaeological destination with an information centre.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Warkworth Centre Plan 2023 – 2033 Draft issued 23 May 2023 Have you seen the Centre Plan? Probably not because hard copies have not been distributed.</p>



	<p>I lobbied for this Plan since the AUP hearing in 2014 and finally got Plan funded by RLB. Let us use it. It is full of good practical ideas and now needs to be actioned in the 10 year plan. Actions include-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Village Green with community gathering and eating space like a Fale or Maneaba (Pacifica Community in Warkworth is significant) attractive to all cultures. • Wharf St Improvements. • Raised Walkway behind shops and Laneways through the shopping precinct. • Water treatment plant needs to repurposed for boat ramps and parking so that the public can use the river. The ramp in the centre of town is unsuitable for this purpose.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Urban Growth – We do accept the growth but we need the infrastructure to match it. Water and Wastewater must be completed without delay. We need roading beyond that supplied by the developers. We need the NORs to be actioned. We need parks to be improved Lucy Moore Park needs the promenade extended as far as it can go and a link to the cementworks, possibly with a boardwalk across the inlet that could carry a Watercare pipeline. The Falls Road Reserve needs to be developed as the new centre of the Warkworth growth plan. We can start now with a lookout at the confluence of the 2 branches of the Mahurangi and add new parks areas so that it rivals Lucy Moore Park.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Public Transport – Growth needs alternatives to cars and carparks. Do all families need 2 cars? The under 18’s and the over 80’s need transport. A frequent internal bus system starting with a shuttle service is essential.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Environment The environment is our biggest asset. We have some fine areas to build on. I refer to the Sesquicentennial Walkway and the Kowhai Park. The river water quality needs to be improved. More riparian planting inside and outside Warkworth, river and erosion control and clearing of fallen trees is a good start. More monitoring is required. Stormwater outlets need trap pits and watergardens. Weed control needs to be actioned. Our roadsides are a mass of privet and other weeds. Pests are destroying our environment. Serious pest control is now being actioned through the community but needs guaranteed funding support to be continued to a successful outcome.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	Road Safety



Puketapapa Network Meeting

<i>Event Name</i>	Puketapapa Network Meeting
<i>Event Date</i>	20 Marcy 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	40
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	40
<i>Event type</i>	Workshop in existing community meeting

Local boards

- Puketāpapa

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	2
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates</i>	N/A



<i>increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	4	6
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	12
<i>City and local development</i>	4	N/A	1
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	2	7
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	1	9
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	2	5	3
<i>Council support</i>	1	1	4

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	9
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	4
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	2
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	7
<i>Other</i>	1



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	2
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	6
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	2
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	3
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public</i>	2



<i>benefit, within 15 years</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Comment Prompt. Did you collect regional comments for this event?

- Yes

Comment Prompt 2. Which questions?



- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you would need to pay less?
- 4a. Tell us why

Q1_D. 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you would need to pay less?

<i>Comment</i>	Do better with funds, don't waste them! Coordinate plans instead of redoing land work and repulling up some roads/paths
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_A_Comment. 4a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	always bought back later for more \$1
<i>Comment</i>	Buy the airport
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PTP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Puketāpapa in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

PTP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Invest in opportunities to support local community leadership.</i>	14	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in climate change response initiatives and support volunteer groups</i>	8	6	1	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Consider our investment in facilities and services to see if there are opportunities to do better.</i>	10	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Support initiatives that improve and encourage walking and cycling opportunities.</i>	13	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Help coordinate and support local business groups.</i>	6	4	N/A	N/A



Sir Edmund Hillary Library Activation Final Data

<i>Event Name</i>	Sir Edmund Hillary Library Activation Final Data
<i>Event Date</i>	Month Long Activation
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People (required) that provided feedback</i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	20
<i>Event type</i>	Library Sticky Dot Board Activation

Local boards

- Papakura

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council’s Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	7
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates</i>	5



<i>increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	5
<i>Water</i>	N/A	2	3
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	1	3
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	2	5
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	3	8
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	1	4
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	2

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	6
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	1
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	2
<i>Change the operational management</i>	4



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	2
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	7
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	5
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	6
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	3
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	1
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	3
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	4
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public</i>	1



<i>benefit, within 15 years</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A

Comment Prompt. Did you collect regional comments for this event?

- Yes

Comment Prompt 2. Which questions?



- 4a. Tell us why
- 4b. Tell us why
- 4c. Tell us why
- 5a. Tell us why

Q4_A_Comment. 4a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Don't Proceed - This is a strategic asset that should remain in the hands of people of Auckland.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	Continue Council Group Operation of port - Another strategic asset we should keep
<i>Comment</i>	Lease the operation of the Port - This will generate a significant upfront of around \$2.1 billion providing immediate finance resources. Long-term stability, providing stable revenue streams of over 35yrs this will ensure financial predictability. This option will allow the council to focus on core responsibilities while leveraging private sector expertise. Not only does this benefit the council and our Auckland port, but it will also benefit the



	community through improved services and potential environmental protections.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_C_Comment. 4c. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund - Offers long-term stability, creating elable financial resources that can be utilized for strategic initiatives (infrastruture projects, economic development opportunities for approximately the next generation and beyond. It serves as a buffer against economic uncertainties and ensures a steady stream of funding essential projects reducing reliance on shor-term revenue sources from the port operations. Investments can go toward future renewable energy infrastructure, public transport systems, housing developments (affordable) and initiatives to address climate change.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q5_A_Comment. 5a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	No Change - Where will goods come from? Tauranga? Whangarei? How would transport by rail or road be guaranteed? Transport in Tauranga is chaotic. Transport via Brinderwyns erratic!
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PPK 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Papakura in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

PPK 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>We know you value the community being brought together through free events</i>	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>We will continue to support Māori-led initiatives and aspirations with Mātauranga Māori (Māori knowledge), including the Māori Wardens.</i>	6	4	N/A	N/A
<i>We have recently been working on enhancements to the Te Koiwi Reserve pond</i>	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>We will continue to support the Takanini Business Association in their Business Improvement District (BID) establishment.</i>	7	N/A	N/A	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Glenn Innes Library feedback dot boards

<i>Event Name</i>	Glenn Innes Library feedback dot boards
<i>Event Date</i>	28 february - 28 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	26
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	26
<i>Event type</i>	feedback dot boards

Local boards

- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council’s Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	4
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	2
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates</i>	4



<i>increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	3	14
<i>Water</i>	N/A	1	26
<i>City and local development</i>	1	6	4
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	2	9
<i>Parks and Community</i>	1	4	11
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	1	2	3
<i>Council support</i>	1	2	6

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public</i>	N/A



<i>benefit, within 15 years</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

MT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A



<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support community groups and community-led activities</i>	3	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Building the capacity and capability of local community and sporting groups</i>	3	1	1	N/A
<i>Empowering community groups and organisations to deliver community events</i>	2	1	1	N/A
<i>Collaborate with mana whenua and neighbouring local boards to protect and restore our waterways through Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum and Manukau Harbour Forum.</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Encourage our rangatahi / youth and community to be leaders in climate action</i>	3	1	1	N/A
<i>Support business associations to continue supporting local businesses and ongoing growth,</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>development and liveliness of town centres, including assisting Onehunga Business Associations proposed BID expansion.</i>				

MT BID. 7d. Onehunga Business Association is seeking an expansion of its Business Improvement District programme boundary area. If it is successful, businesses ratepayers and owners located within the expansion area will become members of the Onehunga BID programme and pay the associated BID target rate.

Do you support the expansion of the Onehunga Business Improvement District (BID) programme and associated BID targeted rate?

<i>Support</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Event Evaluation

<i>What went well?</i>	N/A
<i>What didn't work / was missing</i>	N/A
<i>What could we do differently next time?</i>	word the central collateral better. it wasn't clear that the do more option supports the pay more option.



16-Mar Otahuhu Family Fun Day

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	157
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	157
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	N/A

Local boards

- Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	36
<i>Female</i>	119
<i>Another gender</i>	2

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	18
<i>15-17</i>	9



<i>18-24</i>	9
<i>25-34</i>	40
<i>35-44</i>	51
<i>45-54</i>	16
<i>55-64</i>	2
<i>65-74</i>	2
<i>74+</i>	2

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	9
<i>Other European</i>	1
<i>Māori</i>	33
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	11
<i>Samoan</i>	18
<i>Tongan</i>	12
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	4
<i>Chinese</i>	5
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	7
<i>Korean</i>	1
<i>Indian</i>	6
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	5
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	2
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	10

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?



<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and</i>	N/A



<i>wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

MO 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	353
<i>I support most priorities</i>	42
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	5
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MO 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Strengthen partnerships with local mana whenua through project delivery</i>	97	10	N/A	1
<i>Deliver community climate initiatives such as Low Carbon Lifestyles, and Māngere Bike Hub with our community partners.</i>	61	7	4	N/A
<i>Deliver a community-driven safety action plan</i>	73	6	N/A	N/A
<i>Improve employment and</i>	63	8	1	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>economic opportunities through our local economic broker programme.</i>				
<i>Support community-led activations at our parks and facilities</i>	59	11	N/A	N/A

MO 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Explore how our community facilities and services are better used to reduce growing costs in maintaining these assets: Support - 69 Partially support - 12 Do not support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to partner with Māori to support their aspirations by working on projects together and activating shared governance arrangements: Support - 52 Partially support - 12 Other - 2
<i>Comment</i>	Collaborate with locals to enhance their ability to withstand and prepare for the impacts of climate change: Support - 42 Partial support - 6 Do not support - 5
<i>Comment</i>	Support new and innovative ways to deliver events, programmes and activities that reflect and celebrate our diverse communities: Support - 49 Partial support - 8
<i>Comment</i>	Help strengthen the skills and abilities of community groups, facilitate opportunities for local leaders, and secure sustainable funding sources: Support - 53 Partial support - 7
<i>Comment</i>	Urge the Council group and central government to allocate resources fairly for our recovery and strengthen community resilience to future disasters: Most important - 78



<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to retain and increase the Local Board Transport Capital Fund: Most important - 41 Least important - 11
<i>Comment</i>	Implement fairer resource allocation, including the distribution of environment targeted rates: Most important - 44 Least important - 8
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate for further local decision-making opportunities, allowing locals to have a greater say in transportation, climate change, and water quality-related decisions: Most important - 46 Least important - 3
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification: Most important - 54 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Diversity Forum

<i>Event Name</i>	Diversity Forum
<i>Event Date</i>	23/3/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	11
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	11
<i>Event type</i>	Local Board Event

Local boards

- Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	4
<i>Female</i>	4
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	N/A
<i>25-34</i>	1
<i>35-44</i>	N/A



<i>45-54</i>	2
<i>55-64</i>	N/A
<i>65-74</i>	1
<i>74+</i>	3

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	1
<i>Other European</i>	1
<i>Māori</i>	2
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	1
<i>Samoan</i>	3
<i>Tongan</i>	1
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	1
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council)</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	N/A



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

OP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Ōtara-Papatoetoe in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	42
<i>I support most priorities</i>	3
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

OP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Through grants, support community-led events and initiatives that create safe neighbourhoods and promoting active living, sustainable practices.</i>	9	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support activities to increase social cohesion, neighbourly connections, better outreach to people from smaller ethnic groups and connect</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>newer settlers to local services.</i>				
<i>Increase youth empowerment</i>	7	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Identify and promote 'Play advocacy' for local opportunities in projects that can provide spaces for play in places beyond playgrounds.</i>	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to support and look to increase environmental and sustainability projects to address climate change and environmental challenges</i>	6	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Explore options for ways of delivering increased local economic outcomes for small to large businesses.</i>	6	1	N/A	N/A

OP 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Ōtara-Papatoetoe proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Increase focus on Māori outcomes, including Māori input in local governance and engagement: Support - 8
<i>Comment</i>	Securing funding and delivering the Manukau Sports Bowl masterplan: Support - 9
<i>Comment</i>	Secure funding and deliver the Old Papatoetoe Community hub with Eke Panuku: Support - 5



<i>Comment</i>	Assess our community leases and assets to ensure they are financially sustainable, and prioritising local needs and the changing demographics: Support - 8
<i>Comment</i>	Prioritise environmental initiatives to protect and care for our environment and mitigate the effects of climate change: Support - 3 Partial support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to deliver improved sports fields and lighting to ensure our community has access to high quality facilities for formal or informal recreation: Support - 7
<i>Comment</i>	Prioritise addressing inequity of funding in the first 3 years of the Long-term Plan so that underfunded local boards have the financial tools to begin to address service provision: Most important - 7
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body for the reinvestment of the council's capital expenditure and include Ōtara into the 'transform' category to collaborate with Eke Panuku: Most important - 7
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body and Auckland Transport to retain and increase the Local Board Transport Capital Fund to the pre-COVID-19 level: Most important - 2 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate for equity in funding to address the disparity in asset management with priorities based on the need of the area: Most important - 7
<i>Comment</i>	Request Auckland Transport to increase investment to prioritise improvements to road conditions, in particular for quicker maintenance and upgrades: Most important - 5
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to trial free or further subsidised public transport in our area to encourage uptake in public transport use to minimise the cost of transport, as well as to contribute to meeting our climate goals: Most important - 4 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate for the targeted rate funding from the Climate Action, Natural Environment and Water Quality targeted rates to be prioritised for communities that need them the most: Most important - 6



	Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Auckland Brit & Euro Car Show

<i>Event Name</i>	Auckland Brit & Euro Car Show
<i>Event Date</i>	3/03/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	12
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	12
<i>Event type</i>	Stand at community event

Local boards

- Howick

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	7
<i>Female</i>	5
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	1
<i>18-24</i>	2
<i>25-34</i>	N/A
<i>35-44</i>	N/A



<i>45-54</i>	3
<i>55-64</i>	N/A
<i>65-74</i>	5
<i>74+</i>	3

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	5
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	1
<i>Samoan</i>	N/A
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	3
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	4
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	1
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council)</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	N/A



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HW 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Howick in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	45
<i>I support most priorities</i>	8
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

HW 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Heritage Plan.</i>	4	5	N/A	N/A
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Tourism Plan.</i>	7	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Encourage community groups to adopt a reserve, park, or waterway etc, and provide for restoration and maintenance activities with council support.</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rescope the Industrial Pollution Prevention</i>	9	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Programme to broaden its outreach and include all businesses.</i>				
<i>Develop a community-led climate action plan.</i>	6	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Explore the development of a Howick Ward 'business collective', or other group, to provide support for small business owners outside of the established Business Improvement Districts.</i>	11	N/A	N/A	N/A

HW 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Howick proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Increase tree canopy coverage on public land from 15% to 18%, with an overall goal of 30%: Support - 9 Partially support - 1 Do not support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Partner with the southern local boards for local landfill diversion facilities for south-east Auckland – eg: a recovery centre and hazardous waste disposal: Support - 9
<i>Comment</i>	All new assets and facilities to be designed and managed in an environmentally sustainable manner: Support - 10
<i>Comment</i>	Provide services and facilities that cater to the changing demographics of the Howick Local Board: Support - 11
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to advocate to the Governing Body to start construction of the Flat Bush community centre and library as soon as possible: Most important - 5 Least important - 4



<i>Comment</i>	Continue to advocate for funding to implement sustainable measures to manage coastal erosion and inundation – including loss of sand from local beaches: Most important - 8
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to increase monitoring of illegal discharge into stormwater, waterways and onto our beaches: Most important - 10
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification: Most important - 11
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to change the procurement policy to allow greater use of smaller, local businesses: Most important - 7
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Celebrating Cultures

<i>Event Name</i>	Celebrating Cultures
<i>Event Date</i>	23/03/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	73
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	73
<i>Event type</i>	Stand at a community event

Local boards

- Howick

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	22
<i>Female</i>	51
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	9
<i>15-17</i>	5
<i>18-24</i>	7
<i>25-34</i>	14
<i>35-44</i>	19



<i>45-54</i>	6
<i>55-64</i>	9
<i>65-74</i>	5
<i>74+</i>	2

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	8
<i>Other European</i>	4
<i>Māori</i>	4
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	4
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	15
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	3
<i>Korean</i>	4
<i>Indian</i>	12
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	17
<i>Latin American</i>	5
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	7

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council)</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	N/A



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HW 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Howick in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	177
<i>I support most priorities</i>	69
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	10
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

HW 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Heritage Plan.</i>	20	18	3	N/A
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Tourism Plan.</i>	24	13	4	N/A
<i>Encourage community groups to adopt a reserve, park, or waterway etc, and provide for restoration and maintenance activities with council support.</i>	39	11	3	N/A
<i>Rescope the Industrial Pollution Prevention</i>	36	12	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Programme to broaden its outreach and include all businesses.</i>				
<i>Develop a community-led climate action plan.</i>	29	8	N/A	N/A
<i>Explore the development of a Howick Ward 'business collective', or other group, to provide support for small business owners outside of the established Business Improvement Districts.</i>	29	7	N/A	N/A

HW 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Howick proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Increase tree canopy coverage on public land from 15% to 18%, with an overall goal of 30%: Support - 53 Partially support - 14
<i>Comment</i>	Partner with the southern local boards for local landfill diversion facilities for south-east Auckland – eg: a recovery centre and hazardous waste disposal: Support - 59 Partially support - 9
<i>Comment</i>	All new assets and facilities to be designed and managed in an environmentally sustainable manner: Support - 46 Partially support - 8
<i>Comment</i>	Provide services and facilities that cater to the changing demographics of the Howick Local Board: Support - 44 Partially support - 7
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to advocate to the Governing Body to start construction of the Flat Bush community centre and library as soon as possible:



	<p>Most important - 54 Least important - 4</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Continue to advocate for funding to implement sustainable measures to manage coastal erosion and inundation – including loss of sand from local beaches: Most important - 46 Least important - 4</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body to increase monitoring of illegal discharge into stormwater, waterways and onto our beaches: Most important - 49 Least important - 4</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification: Most important - 45 Least important - 6</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body to change the procurement policy to allow greater use of smaller, local businesses: Most important - 42 Least important - 13</p>
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Mt Albert Library Feedback dot boards

<i>Event Name</i>	Mt Albert Library Feedback dot boards
<i>Event Date</i>	28 February - 28 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	14
<i>Number of People (required) that provided feedback</i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	14
<i>Event type</i>	feedback dot boards

Local boards

- Albert-Eden

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment),</i>	N/A



<i>with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	6
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	3
<i>City and local development</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	6
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	1	6
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	3

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A



<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the</i>	N/A



<i>lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



AE 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Albert-Eden in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

AE 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Celebrating different people and cultures</i>	11	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continuing our environmental work</i>	14	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Planning for how our parks and open space can respond to growth</i>	12	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting our community groups with funding, information, learning new skills and building their capability and networks.</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Settling in at the new, medium-term location for the Pt Chevalier library</i>	11	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Working with the community on activations in the Mt Albert Civic Square</i>	1	4	N/A	N/A
<i>Making our parks rubbish-bin free to minimise waste and</i>	7	1	7	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>improve environmental and climate outcomes.</i>				
--	--	--	--	--



Panmure Library Feedback dot board

<i>Event Name</i>	Panmure Library Feedback dot board
<i>Event Date</i>	28 February - 28 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	32
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	32
<i>Event type</i>	Feedback dot board

Local boards

- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council’s Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	4
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	4
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment),</i>	2



<i>with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	13	7	10
<i>Water</i>	9	4	4
<i>City and local development</i>	6	6	9
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	8	1	6
<i>Parks and Community</i>	12	3	10
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	3	6	9
<i>Council support</i>	4	6	5

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A



<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront</i>	N/A



<i>payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Auckland operational area</i>	
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

MT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support community groups and community-led activities</i>	32	3	4	N/A
<i>Building the capacity and capability of local community and sporting groups</i>	14	5	4	N/A
<i>Empowering community groups and organisations to deliver community events</i>	22	2	3	N/A
<i>Collaborate with mana whenua and neighbouring local boards to protect and restore our waterways through Tāmaki Estuary</i>	24	0	0	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Environmental Forum and Manukau Harbour Forum.</i>				
<i>Encourage our rangatahi / youth and community to be leaders in climate action</i>	16	4	1	N/A
<i>Support business associations to continue supporting local businesses and ongoing growth, development and liveliness of town centres, including assisting Onehunga Business Associations proposed BID expansion.</i>	13	8	0	N/A

MT BID. 7d. Onehunga Business Association is seeking an expansion of its Business Improvement District programme boundary area. If it is successful, businesses ratepayers and owners located within the expansion area will become members of the Onehunga BID programme and pay the associated BID target rate.

Do you support the expansion of the Onehunga Business Improvement District (BID) programme and associated BID targeted rate?

<i>Support</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Epsom Library Feedback Dot boards

<i>Event Name</i>	Epsom Library Feedback Dot boards
<i>Event Date</i>	28 February - 28 March
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	72
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	72
<i>Event type</i>	Feedback dot board

Local boards

- Albert-Eden

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	14
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	8
<i>Do more (increase council</i>	11

<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	18	3	58
<i>Water</i>	5	17	23
<i>City and local development</i>	17	9	12
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	15	9	35
<i>Parks and Community</i>	10	14	30
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	7	11	14
<i>Council support</i>	6	10	24

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	N/A



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

AE 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Albert-Eden in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

AE 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Celebrating different people and cultures</i>	44	6	3	N/A
<i>Continuing our environmental work</i>	72	5	3	N/A
<i>Planning for how our parks and open space can respond to growth</i>	24	6	2	N/A
<i>Supporting our community groups with funding, information, learning new skills and building their capability and networks.</i>	24	6	4	N/A
<i>Settling in at the new, medium-term</i>	6	9	5	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>location for the Pt Chevalier library</i>				
<i>Working with the community on activations in the Mt Albert Civic Square</i>	6	4	9	N/A
<i>Making our parks rubbish-bin free to minimise waste and improve environmental and climate outcomes.</i>	16	11	17	N/A



2-Mar Diversity Festival

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	74
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	74
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	N/A

Local boards

- Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	26
<i>Female</i>	48
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	6
<i>15-17</i>	7
<i>18-24</i>	10
<i>25-34</i>	11
<i>35-44</i>	19



<i>45-54</i>	8
<i>55-64</i>	6
<i>65-74</i>	7
<i>74+</i>	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	10
<i>Other European</i>	4
<i>Māori</i>	23
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	6
<i>Samoan</i>	10
<i>Tongan</i>	6
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	4
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	2
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	1
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	10

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council)</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	N/A



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

OP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Ōtara-Papatoetoe in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	250
<i>I support most priorities</i>	41
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

OP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Through grants, support community-led events and initiatives that create safe neighbourhoods and promoting active living, sustainable practices.</i>	62	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support activities to increase social cohesion, neighbourly connections, better outreach to people from smaller ethnic groups and connect</i>	40	4	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>newer settlers to local services.</i>				
<i>Increase youth empowerment</i>	40	7	N/A	N/A
<i>Identify and promote 'Play advocacy' for local opportunities in projects that can provide spaces for play in places beyond playgrounds.</i>	36	7	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to support and look to increase environmental and sustainability projects to address climate change and environmental challenges</i>	46	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Explore options for ways of delivering increased local economic outcomes for small to large businesses.</i>	26	21	N/A	N/A

OP 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Ōtara-Papatoetoe proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Increase focus on Māori outcomes, including Māori input in local governance and engagement: Support - 59 Partially support - 9 Do not support - 2
<i>Comment</i>	Securing funding and delivering the Manukau Sports Bowl masterplan: Support - 19 Partially support - 15 Do not support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Secure funding and deliver the Old Papatoetoe Community hub with Eke Panuku:



	<p>Support - 23 Partially support - 3 Do not support - 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Assess our community leases and assets to ensure they are financially sustainable, and prioritising local needs and the changing demographics: Support - 27 Partially support - 4</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Prioritise environmental initiatives to protect and care for our environment and mitigate the effects of climate change: Support - 34 Partially support - 2</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Continue to deliver improved sports fields and lighting to ensure our community has access to high quality facilities for formal or informal recreation: Support - 36 Partially support - 5</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Prioritise addressing inequity of funding in the first 3 years of the Long-term Plan so that underfunded local boards have the financial tools to begin to address service provision: Most important - 41 Least important - 3</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body for the reinvestment of the council's capital expenditure and include Ōtara into the 'transform' category to collaborate with Eke Panuku: Most important - 39 Least important - 4</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body and Auckland Transport to retain and increase the Local Board Transport Capital Fund to the pre-COVID-19 level: Most important - 31 Least important - 3</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate for equity in funding to address the disparity in asset management with priorities based on the need of the area: Most important - 34 Least important - 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Request Auckland Transport to increase investment to prioritise improvements to road conditions, in particular for quicker maintenance and upgrades: Most important - 38 Least important - 1</p>



<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to trial free or further subsidised public transport in our area to encourage uptake in public transport use to minimise the cost of transport, as well as to contribute to meeting our climate goals: Most important - 36 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate for the targeted rate funding from the Climate Action, Natural Environment and Water Quality targeted rates to be prioritised for communities that need them the most: Most important - 32 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Puketapapa Network meeting

<i>Event Name</i>	Puketapapa Network meeting
<i>Event Date</i>	20 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	40
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	40
<i>Event type</i>	workshop at existing event

local boards

- Puketāpapa

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	0
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	2
<i>Do more (increase council</i>	0



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	0	4	6
<i>Water</i>	0	0	12
<i>City and local development</i>	4	0	1
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	0	2	7
<i>Parks and Community</i>	0	1	9
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	2	5	3
<i>Council support</i>	1	1	4

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	9
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	4
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	2
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	7



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	2
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	6
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	2
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	3
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	5	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	1	7	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	1	4	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Comment Prompt. Did you collect regional comments for this event?

- Yes

Comment Prompt 2. Which questions?

- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you would need to pay less?
- 4a. Tell us why

Q1_D. 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you would need to pay less?

<i>Comment</i>	do better with funds don't waste them! coordinate plans instead of redoing land work and repulling up some roads/paths
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_A_Comment. 4a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	always bought back later for more \$1
<i>Comment</i>	Buy the airport
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PTP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Puketāpapa in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A



<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

PTP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Invest in opportunities to support local community leadership.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in climate change response initiatives and support volunteer groups</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Consider our investment in facilities and services to see if there are opportunities to do better.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support initiatives that improve and encourage walking and cycling opportunities.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Help coordinate and support local business groups.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



10-Mar Kiwi Anthems

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	143
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	143
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local boards

- Howick

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	65
<i>Female</i>	77
<i>Another gender</i>	1

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	29
<i>15-17</i>	4
<i>18-24</i>	6



<i>25-34</i>	11
<i>35-44</i>	49
<i>45-54</i>	26
<i>55-64</i>	20
<i>65-74</i>	8
<i>74+</i>	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	79
<i>Other European</i>	18
<i>Māori</i>	7
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	2
<i>Tongan</i>	2
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	14
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	2
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	4
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	2
<i>African</i>	1
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	13

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?



<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and</i>	N/A



<i>wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HW 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Howick in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	317
<i>I support most priorities</i>	141
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	12
<i>Other</i>	13
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

HW 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Heritage Plan.</i>	34	31	1	4
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Tourism Plan.</i>	33	31	1	4
<i>Encourage community groups to adopt a reserve, park, or waterway etc, and provide for restoration and maintenance activities with council support.</i>	78	17	1	2
<i>Rescope the Industrial Pollution</i>	67	16	1	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Prevention Programme to broaden its outreach and include all businesses.</i>				
<i>Develop a community-led climate action plan.</i>	53	28	4	N/A
<i>Explore the development of a Howick Ward 'business collective', or other group, to provide support for small business owners outside of the established Business Improvement Districts.</i>	52	18	4	2

HW 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Howick proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Increase tree canopy coverage on public land from 15% to 18%, with an overall goal of 30%: Support - 135 Partially support - 11
<i>Comment</i>	Partner with the southern local boards for local landfill diversion facilities for south-east Auckland – eg: a recovery centre and hazardous waste disposal: Support - 102 Partially support - 9 Do not support - 2
<i>Comment</i>	All new assets and facilities to be designed and managed in an environmentally sustainable manner: Support - 84 Partially support - 24
<i>Comment</i>	Provide services and facilities that cater to the changing demographics of the Howick Local Board: Support - 76 Partially support - 28 Do not support - 2



<i>Comment</i>	Continue to advocate to the Governing Body to start construction of the Flat Bush community centre and library as soon as possible: Most important - 62 Least important - 15
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to advocate for funding to implement sustainable measures to manage coastal erosion and inundation – including loss of sand from local beaches: Most important - 80 Least important - 3
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to increase monitoring of illegal discharge into stormwater, waterways and onto our beaches: Most important - 100 Least important - 2
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification: Most important - 89 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to change the procurement policy to allow greater use of smaller, local businesses: Most important - 59 Least important - 14
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Onehunga Library dot-feedback

<i>Event Name</i>	Onehunga Library dot-feedback
<i>Event Date</i>	28 April - 28 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	54
<i>Event type</i>	Feedback dot boards

Local boards

- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council’s Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	5
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	2
<i>Do more (increase council</i>	10



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	1	2	12
<i>Water</i>	1	5	18
<i>City and local development</i>	2	1	16
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	1	1	14
<i>Parks and Community</i>	3	2	17
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	5	3	14
<i>Council support</i>	4	3	13

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	N/A



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

MT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support community groups and community-led activities</i>	32	12	6	0
<i>Building the capacity and capability of local community and sporting groups</i>	26	7	4	0
<i>Empowering community groups and organisations to deliver community events</i>	16	8	3	0
<i>Collaborate with mana whenua and</i>	54	5	0	0



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>neighbouring local boards to protect and restore our waterways through Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum and Manukau Harbour Forum.</i>				
<i>Encourage our rangatahi / youth and community to be leaders in climate action</i>	21	9	6	0
<i>Support business associations to continue supporting local businesses and ongoing growth, development and liveliness of town centres, including assisting Onehunga Business Associations proposed BID expansion.</i>	29	7	3	0

MT BID. 7d. Onehunga Business Association is seeking an expansion of its Business Improvement District programme boundary area. If it is successful, businesses ratepayers and owners located within the expansion area will become members of the Onehunga BID programme and pay the associated BID target rate.

Do you support the expansion of the Onehunga Business Improvement District (BID) programme and associated BID targeted rate?

<i>Support</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



I Love Takapuna Easter Carnival

<i>Event Name</i>	I Love Takapuna Easter Carnival
<i>Event Date</i>	Saturday 23 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	150
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	10
<i>Event type</i>	Drop in at event

Local boards

- Devonport-Takapuna

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	1
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	5
<i>Do more (increase council</i>	3



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	0	8	0
<i>Water</i>	0	1	3
<i>City and local development</i>	2	6	0
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	0	1	2
<i>Parks and Community</i>	0	6	2
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	0	3	0
<i>Council support</i>	0	2	1

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	0
---	---



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	2
<i>Change the operational management</i>	6
<i>Other</i>	0
<i>I don't know</i>	0

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	0
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	1



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	0
<i>I don't know</i>	0

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	3	0	0	0
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	3	0	0	0
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	3	0	0	0
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	3	0	0	0
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	0	1	2	0
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	0	2	1	0



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Comment Prompt. Did you collect regional comments for this event?

- Yes

Comment Prompt 2. Which questions?

N/A

DT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Devonport-Takapuna in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

DT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support implementation of aspects of the new Destination Management Plan.</i>	3	1	0	0
<i>Complete the Devonport-Takapuna Local Parks Management Plan</i>	5	1	0	0



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Implement priority actions from the Devonport Takapuna Ethnic Plan.</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>Continue to build relationships with Iwi and Mataawaka</i>	4	0	0	0
<i>Invest in the delivery of key events in our town centres</i>	0	3	0	0
<i>Continue to renew and improve community facilities including the playground at Achilles Reserve and toilets and changing facilities at Becroft Park.</i>	0	2	1	0
<i>Continue support of our valued art partners</i>	2	4	1	0

DT Comment prompt. Did you collect local comments for this event?

- Yes

DT 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Translate summary is not enough. You need full version translated to have meaningful submission
<i>Comment</i>	Simple english is essential. Too professional terminology is to hard to engage grassroots people
<i>Comment</i>	Timing was not the best. March is somewhere in between Ramadan, less people participate
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

DT 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Devonport-Takapuna proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



19-Mar Devonport Takapuna Local Board Hearing

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	1
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	1
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local boards

- Devonport-Takapuna

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	N/A
<i>Female</i>	1
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A



<i>18-24</i>	N/A
<i>25-34</i>	N/A
<i>35-44</i>	N/A
<i>45-54</i>	N/A
<i>55-64</i>	N/A
<i>65-74</i>	1
<i>74+</i>	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	1
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	N/A
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	1
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	1	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	1	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?



<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	1
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	1
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and</i>	N/A



<i>wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	1
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	1
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Comment Prompt. Did you collect regional comments for this event?

- Yes

Comment Prompt 2. Which questions?

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 3. Tell us why
- 4a. Tell us why
- 4b. Tell us why
- 6. Do you have feedback on any proposed changes to fees and charges? (Please be clear which proposal you are talking about?)
- 8. Do you have any other comments?
- 2. Tell us why

Q1_C. 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?

<i>Comment</i>	Council doesn't have a good history of delivering more than they charge for
<i>Comment</i>	Strongly only a small proportion that would easily pay more and that 14% would be too much
<i>Comment</i>	Don't want to cut back further - would be quality of life that will impact the poorest
<i>Comment</i>	Large proportion would be faced with considerable hardship
<i>Comment</i>	Don't want to cut back further - detrimental to quality of life and effect the vulnerable and poorer communities
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Priorities ok
<i>Comment</i>	Knee jerk reaction on the cycling
<i>Comment</i>	Bus lane development needs more
<i>Comment</i>	With the ending of Regional Fuel Tax - transport will lose some things which was supercharging them. This means it will be fairer as they will also need to see cut backs as everything should be cut slightly
<i>Comment</i>	Support bus lane development - north shore bus lane has been transformation and this could be a fundamental improvement across Auckland
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q3_NHS Comment. 3. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Population growth north of Auckland, there will be demand for it if other sports could be centred there. Big loss, we'd never get it back. Redevelopment might be fine, but not demolition.
<i>Comment</i>	Encourage more people to use it
<i>Comment</i>	If we lose it - would never get it back
<i>Comment</i>	Functioning event centre
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_A_Comment. 4a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Varied views in their group
<i>Comment</i>	Many international funds - have them in their portfolios don't they?



<i>Comment</i>	If a lease - have tight conditions - 35yrs is a long time
<i>Comment</i>	Upfront of \$ - that's not going to look very much if no return
<i>Comment</i>	Not another CCO!!
<i>Comment</i>	What do we get when sell off the assets?
<i>Comment</i>	Some didn't want to see strategic assets sold as we would lose control over
<i>Comment</i>	Ensure that the fund is invested with reputable people
<i>Comment</i>	Not another CCO - need to have strong controls around the fund to prevent just removing from the base fund
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	Need an efficient and well located port - Bledisloe area of the wharf should stay - would keep the Port at its location and wouldn't want the Bledisloe wharf extended out into the harbour
<i>Comment</i>	Support cook and marsden wharves been transferred to council
<i>Comment</i>	Port relocated - others saying you need sufficient port - large city 1 - can't not have one with population
<i>Comment</i>	If a lease - have tight conditions - 35yrs is a long time
<i>Comment</i>	Upfront of \$ - that's not going to look very much if no return
<i>Comment</i>	Wouldn't want it extended to harbour more tho
<i>Comment</i>	Not fundamentally opposed to the ports lease
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q6_B_Comment. 6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

<i>Comment</i>	Targeted rates in general - Support the targeted rates continuing - not on top of rates - built within..
<i>Comment</i>	Targeted rate for 9 Kitchener Rd
<i>Comment</i>	Support the continuation of the targeted rates
<i>Comment</i>	Disagree with the long term differential strategy
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Q8. 8. Do you have any other comments?

<i>Comment</i>	Need control over CCOs
<i>Comment</i>	Major events, ATEED, how much does it actually give us? At a time like this maybe need to go back to basics.
<i>Comment</i>	Still a feeling that CCO's are doing their own thing
<i>Comment</i>	Reorg of local boards - don't support it - need proper enquiry of councils format
<i>Comment</i>	you could cut the consultation process - need to get onto central government and get it changed
<i>Comment</i>	Difficult to focus on some of these issues and tease them out
<i>Comment</i>	We prefer a slice off everything
<i>Comment</i>	The problem with online questions - feedback form - you feel manipulated
<i>Comment</i>	Feel strongly only a small portion of Aucklanders could afford to pay more
<i>Comment</i>	Queen St will always be a work in progress, okay to scale back some of those projects
<i>Comment</i>	Slash consultation process – get onto the govt to change this. Waste of money and time... Resent the waste of my time of the consultation and the amount of money it represents/wastes
<i>Comment</i>	Do less of - some of the urban regeneration projects such as in the city centre - maybe push some of the projects out
<i>Comment</i>	Aim to do some of the smaller projects
<i>Comment</i>	Its okay to scale back some of the larger regeneration projects
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
----------------	-----

DT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Devonport-Takapuna in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	1
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

DT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support implementation of aspects of the new Destination Management Plan.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Complete the Devonport-Takapuna Local Parks Management Plan</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement priority actions from the Devonport Takapuna Ethnic Plan.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to build relationships with Iwi and Mataawaka</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in the delivery of key events in our town centres</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>Continue to renew and improve community facilities including the playground at Achilles Reserve and toilets and changing facilities at Becroft Park.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue support of our valued art partners</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

DT Comment prompt. Did you collect local comments for this event?

- Yes

DT 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	you are facing the gun really, yours are very reasonable and modest. The major - library / community hub - I like the library, it is wonderful - space - research room - upgraded and bring in a development hub - maybe small bites - stretch out and do smaller things.. you have done well, have used the renewals budget and get some good results - playgrounds / public toilets are nice - nice environment...
<i>Comment</i>	Love the library, would love to see it developed to become a community hub. Could this maybe be done in smaller bites?
<i>Comment</i>	Playgrounds and toilets in our area are of a very good standard
<i>Comment</i>	Would like to see the local board managing projects and bringing in local contractors... See prices increase as soon as Council is involved in the procurement
<i>Comment</i>	Modest local priorities - reasonable
<i>Comment</i>	Lots of small fruit sitting in the Milford Centre Plan - such as an avenue of street trees - would like to see these gradually be implemented
<i>Comment</i>	The Boardwalk from the playground to the toilet - this is currently in the work programme
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

DT 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Devonport-Takapuna proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Kaipātiki LB Stakeholder Hui

<i>Event Name</i>	Kaipātiki LB Stakeholder Hui
<i>Event Date</i>	Monday 25 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	8
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Event type</i>	Q&A session

Local boards

- Kaipātiki

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	2
<i>Female</i>	6
<i>Another gender</i>	0

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	N/A
<i>25-34</i>	N/A
<i>35-44</i>	2



<i>45-54</i>	4
<i>55-64</i>	1
<i>65-74</i>	1
<i>74+</i>	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	8
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	N/A
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council)</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the</i>	N/A



<i>port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

KPT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Kaipātiki in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

KPT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Investing in the maintenance and renewal of our parks, playgrounds, recreation facilities, and other public spaces</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting a community-led approach for the delivery of relevant and diverse services that connect the community.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting environmental groups, community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>volunteers, and our diverse communities to carry out environmental restoration projects</i>				
<i>Begin implementing the Mini Shoreline Adaptation Plan for the Little Shoal Bay / Te Wai Manawa</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting a community climate activation programme to support and amplify community initiatives identified in the Kaipātiki Climate Action Plan.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Building relationships with local iwi and mataawaka groups so that Kaipātiki is rich with Māori identity and culture.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Albany Village Library drop-in session

<i>Event Name</i>	Albany Village Library drop-in session
<i>Event Date</i>	14 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	15
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	11
<i>Event type</i>	Drop-in

Local boards

- Rodney

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- No

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A

<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public</i>	N/A



<i>benefit, within 15 years</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RD 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Rodney in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
---------------------------------	-----

<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

RD 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Deliver new and/or improved playground and play spaces</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support communities to develop local community emergency leadership groups and emergency action planning in response to the findings</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Provide additional activities and programmes for children and young people</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to support our local arts centres</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to support community groups and mana whenua to keep our waterways clean and healthy and restore biodiversity.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support the community to minimise waste, turn</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>it into resources, and promote education on waste reduction.</i>				
<i>Develop and refurbish toilet facilities in Glasgow Park,</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop pathway connections in Green Road Park.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RD Comment Prompt. Did you collect local comments for this event?

- Yes

RD 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Library is very important and a good idea to have a facility co-located at the stadium.
<i>Comment</i>	Library is very important and a good idea to have a facility co-located at the stadium.
<i>Comment</i>	Need a library in Albany. This one is OK but bigger is better.
<i>Comment</i>	A least a small local library is vital
<i>Comment</i>	Like existing library space as next to park
<i>Comment</i>	Support multi-use library facility
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



RD 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Rodney proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Takanini Library Activation - Final Data collected

<i>Event Name</i>	Takanini Library Activation - Final Data collected
<i>Event Date</i>	Month Long Activation boards
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	20
<i>Event type</i>	Library Sticky dot activation month long

Local boards

- Papakura

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	N/A
<i>Female</i>	5
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	1
<i>15-17</i>	1
<i>18-24</i>	2
<i>25-34</i>	1
<i>35-44</i>	2
<i>45-54</i>	N/A
<i>55-64</i>	2
<i>65-74</i>	N/A

74+	N/A
-----	-----

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	2
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	2
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	1
<i>Tongan</i>	2
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	1
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	1	N/A	14
<i>Water</i>	N/A	1	7
<i>City and local development</i>	3	N/A	3
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	2	4
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	14
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	1	5
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	1	7

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	6
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	5
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	1
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	1
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	2
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	3
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
--	-----

<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	1
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment</i>	1	5	N/A	N/A

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>				
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	1	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Support - Build tracks that enable faster trains
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Makes buses more reliable
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Offer Faster buses for commuters
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Way more funding for the local boards
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	Continue council group operation - Because it would coast more!
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q6_B_Comment. 6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

<i>Comment</i>	Support - Rates funded collection roll out continue - Please make the removal of waste free and more inorganics
<i>Comment</i>	Don't Support - Long term strategy diff - Cut down tax rate too much
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PPK 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Papakura in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

PPK 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>We know you value the community being brought together through free events</i>	3	2	N/A	N/A
<i>We will continue to support Māori-led initiatives and</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>aspirations with Mātauranga Māori (Māori knowledge), including the Māori Wardens.</i>				
<i>We have recently been working on enhancements to the Te Koiwi Reserve pond</i>	2	1	N/A	N/A
<i>We will continue to support the Takanini Business Association in their Business Improvement District (BID) establishment.</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Community arts programme.</i>	2	1	N/A	N/A

PPK 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	More services for those who need support, more recreation for those with disabilities both physical and mental.
<i>Comment</i>	Looking into roaming dogs for animal management
<i>Comment</i>	Infrastructure - Put more planning and money into fixing our roads, public transport, energy, water and telecommunications.
<i>Comment</i>	Road management needs improvement especially during peak hours. Have more libraries become open spaced like Takanini also including quiet spaces. This will attract more people
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PPK 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Papakura proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Tupu Youth Library - Otara Papatoetoe

Event Name	Tupu Youth Library - Otara Papatoetoe
Event Date	28 March 2024
Number of Participants (optional)	36
Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	36
Event type	Interactive boards at libraries

Local boards

- Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Demographics. Did you collect any demographic information (gender, age, ethnicity) during the event?

- Yes

Demographics

Gender

Male	21
Female	13
Another gender	2

Demographics.

Age

If you did not collect this information, leave them blank

Under 15	12
15-17	12
18-24	3
25-34	6



35-44	1
45-54	1
55-64	1
65-74	N/A
74+	N/A

Ethnicity

Pākehā/NZ European	4
Other European	N/A
Māori	7
Cook Island Māori	7
Samoan	14
Tongan	8
Other Pasifika	N/A
Chinese	4
Southeast Asian	1
Korean	1
Indian	5
Other Asian	N/A
Middle Eastern	N/A
Latin American	1
African	N/A
Other Ethnicity	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt	N/A
Proceed with the central proposal	N/A
Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
Transport	N/A	N/A	N/A
Water	N/A	N/A	N/A
City and local development	N/A	N/A	N/A
Environment and regulation	N/A	N/A	N/A
Parks and Community	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economic and cultural development	N/A	N/A	N/A
Council support	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal	N/A
Support most of the proposal	N/A
Do not support most of the proposal	N/A
Don't support any of the proposal	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

Keep the stadium precinct as it is	N/A
Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct	N/A
Change the operational management	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

Proceed with the proposal	N/A
----------------------------------	-----



<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

OP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Ōtara-Papatoetoe in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	45
<i>I support most priorities</i>	7
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	2
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

OP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>Through grants, support community-led events and initiatives that create safe neighbourhoods and promoting active living, sustainable practices.</i>	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support activities to increase social cohesion, neighbourly connections, better</i>	5	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>outreach to people from smaller ethnic groups and connect newer settlers to local services.</i>				
<i>Increase youth empowerment</i>	14	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Identify and promote 'Play advocacy' for local opportunities in projects that can provide spaces for play in places beyond playgrounds.</i>	8	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to support and look to increase environmental and sustainability projects to address climate change and environmental challenges</i>	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Explore options for ways of delivering increased local economic outcomes for small to large businesses.</i>	6	1	N/A	2

OP 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Ōtara-Papatoetoe proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

Comment	Increase focus on Māori outcomes, including Māori input in local governance and engagement: Support - 7 Do not support - 1 Other - 1
Comment	Securing funding and delivering the Manukau Sports Bowl masterplan: Partially support - 2 Do not support - 3
Comment	Secure funding and deliver the Old Papatoetoe Community hub with Eke Panuku: Support - 1 Partially support - 3 Do not support - 1
Comment	Assess our community leases and assets to ensure they are financially sustainable, and prioritising local needs and the changing demographics:

	<p>Support - 1 Partially support - 3 Do not support - 1</p>
Comment	<p>Prioritise environmental initiatives to protect and care for our environment and mitigate the effects of climate change: Support - 4 Partially support - 1</p>
Comment	<p>Continue to deliver improved sports fields and lighting to ensure our community has access to high quality facilities for formal or informal recreation: Support - 1 Partially support - 2 Do not support - 3 Other - 1</p>
Comment	<p>Prioritise addressing inequity of funding in the first 3 years of the Long-term Plan so that underfunded local boards have the financial tools to begin to address service provision: Most important - 4 Least important - 2</p>
Comment	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body for the reinvestment of the council's capital expenditure and include Ōtara into the 'transform' category to collaborate with Eke Panuku: Most important - 8 Least important - 2</p>
Comment	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body and Auckland Transport to retain and increase the Local Board Transport Capital Fund to the pre-COVID-19 level: Most important - 4 Least important - 1</p>
Comment	<p>Advocate for equity in funding to address the disparity in asset management with priorities based on the need of the area: Most important - 3 Least important - 1</p>
Comment	<p>Request Auckland Transport to increase investment to prioritise improvements to road conditions, in particular for quicker maintenance and upgrades: Most important - 4</p>
Comment	<p>Advocate to trial free or further subsidised public transport in our area to encourage uptake in public transport use to minimise the cost of transport, as well as to contribute to meeting our climate goals: Most important - 4 Least important - 1</p>
Comment	<p>Advocate for the targeted rate funding from the Climate Action, Natural Environment and Water Quality targeted rates to be prioritised for communities that need them the most: Most important - 5 Least important - 2</p>
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A



Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A





Beach Haven Fun Run drop-in session

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	350
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	80
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local boards

- Kaipātiki

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	36
<i>Female</i>	42
<i>Another gender</i>	0

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	0
<i>15-17</i>	0
<i>18-24</i>	15
<i>25-34</i>	36
<i>35-44</i>	21
<i>45-54</i>	5
<i>55-64</i>	0
<i>65-74</i>	2



74+	0
-----	---

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	17
<i>Other European</i>	5
<i>Māori</i>	6
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	0
<i>Samoan</i>	2
<i>Tongan</i>	2
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	0
<i>Chinese</i>	14
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	0
<i>Korean</i>	5
<i>Indian</i>	6
<i>Other Asian</i>	0
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	0
<i>Latin American</i>	2
<i>African</i>	0
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	0

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	0
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	17
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	0
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	6	1	12
<i>Water</i>	0	3	19
<i>City and local development</i>	1	1	2
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	0	0	13
<i>Parks and Community</i>	1	3	12
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	5	3	2
<i>Council support</i>	0	3	4

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	0
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	4
<i>Change the operational management</i>	16
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	11
----------------------------------	----



<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	3
<i>Other</i>	4
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	2
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	6
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	4
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	3



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	9	0	0	0



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	8	0	0	0
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	6	0	0	0
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	0	10	1	0
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	7	6	0	0
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Comment Prompt. Did you collect regional comments for this event?

- Yes

Comment Prompt 2. Which questions?

N/A

KPT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Kaipātiki in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

KPT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Investing in the maintenance and renewal of our parks, playgrounds, recreation facilities, and other public spaces</i>	32	7	0	0
<i>Supporting a community-led approach for the delivery of relevant and diverse services that connect the community.</i>	10	10	3	0
<i>Supporting environmental groups, community volunteers, and our diverse communities to carry out environmental restoration projects</i>	32	4	0	0
<i>Begin implementing the Mini Shoreline Adaptation Plan for the Little Shoal Bay / Te Wai Manawa</i>	7	14	2	0
<i>Supporting a community climate activation programme to support and amplify community initiatives identified in the Kaipātiki Climate Action Plan.</i>	13	5	2	0
<i>Building relationships with local iwi and mataawaka groups so that Kaipātiki is rich with Māori identity and culture.</i>	14	8	2	0

KPT 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Save costs by reducing AT overspending on unnecessary and over budget projects hold AT accountable
<i>Comment</i>	Free buses - more safe bike routes
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

KPT 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Kaipātiki proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Mangere Community House

<i>Event Name</i>	Mangere Community House
<i>Event Date</i>	28 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	5
<i>Number of People (required) that provided feedback</i>	
<i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	5
<i>Event type</i>	Interactive boards in libraries

Local boards

- Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	1
<i>Female</i>	4
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	1
<i>25-34</i>	2
<i>35-44</i>	1
<i>45-54</i>	1
<i>55-64</i>	N/A
<i>65-74</i>	N/A



74+	N/A
-----	-----

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	1
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	3
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	2
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
----------------------------------	-----



<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

MO 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	29
<i>I support most priorities</i>	4
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MO 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Strengthen partnerships with local mana whenua through project delivery</i>	7	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Deliver community climate initiatives such as Low Carbon Lifestyles, and Māngere Bike Hub with our community partners.</i>	3	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Deliver a community-driven safety action plan</i>	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Improve employment and economic opportunities through our local economic broker programme.</i>	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support community-led activations at our parks and facilities</i>	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

MO 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Explore how our community facilities and services are better used to reduce growing costs in maintaining these assets: Support - 5
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to partner with Māori to support their aspirations by working on projects together and activating shared governance arrangements: Support - 6 Partially support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Collaborate with locals to enhance their ability to withstand and prepare for the impacts of climate change: Support - 5
<i>Comment</i>	Support new and innovative ways to deliver events, programmes and activities that reflect and celebrate our diverse communities: Support - 8
<i>Comment</i>	Help strengthen the skills and abilities of community groups, facilitate opportunities for local leaders, and secure sustainable funding sources:

	Support - 6
<i>Comment</i>	Urge the Council group and central government to allocate resources fairly for our recovery and strengthen community resilience to future disasters: Most important - 6
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to retain and increase the Local Board Transport Capital Fund: Most important - 4 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Implement fairer resource allocation, including the distribution of environment targeted rates: Most important - 4 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate for further local decision-making opportunities, allowing locals to have a greater say in transportation, climate change, and water quality-related decisions: Most important - 5
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification: Most important - 8
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

MO 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Manurewa Library Activation

<i>Event Name</i>	Manurewa Library Activation
<i>Event Date</i>	March 27-29
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	4
<i>Event type</i>	Library Dropin

Local boards

- Manurewa

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	3
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	2
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	1	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	2
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	1	3
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	2
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	3

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	2
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	1
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
----------------------------------	-----

<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

MN 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Manurewa in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MN 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Continue to support, deliver and fund</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>initiatives that contribute to positive youth development.</i>				
<i>Invest in evidence-based projects that focus on crime prevention, safer communities and injury prevention.</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Fund and support activities that include older people and foster their community participation with a specific focus on reaching older migrants.</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in community led projects and initiatives that respond to social connection and cohesion, build climate resilience and contribute to climate action.</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop a masterplan for Mountfort Park</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Identify options for recreational activities to support people of all ages and abilities being casually active.</i>	3	N/A	1	N/A
<i>Investigate community lease options to support Ngāti Tamaoho aspirations for a cultural hub at Te Pua/Keith Park.</i>	N/A	N/A	1	N/A
<i>Investigate the feasibility of an arts</i>	N/A	N/A	1	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>broker programme to nurture creative expression with a focus on supporting Māori and Pacific creative arts.</i>				
--	--	--	--	--

MN 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	More parks! Playgrounds to cater for older children
<i>Comment</i>	Council ratings based on property is an unfair tax. A single household pays the same as one occupied by two or more adults. who if paid their fair share it would be fairer.
<i>Comment</i>	Brown needs to go!
<i>Comment</i>	I don't see why our rates have to increase so much when your staff are paid so well and arts and sculptures etc continue when this is a luxury that not necessary when people ore struggling to live and pay their rates.
<i>Comment</i>	No rate increase better money management
<i>Comment</i>	Put money into people that live here and keep the city functioning plus less into areas of tourism/environment when there's not enough funds. Bring consequences to those that use and destroy rather than putting up costs on ratepayers.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

MN 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Manurewa proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Coatesville Market

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	30
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local boards

- Rodney

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an</i>	N/A

<i>Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RD 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Rodney in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

RD 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Deliver new and/or improved playground and play spaces</i>	0	0	2	N/A
<i>Support communities to</i>	7	1	0	N/A

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>develop local community emergency leadership groups and emergency action planning in response to the findings</i>				
<i>Provide additional activities and programmes for children and young people</i>	1	3	0	N/A
<i>Continue to support our local arts centres</i>	2	0	2	N/A
<i>Continue to support community groups and mana whenua to keep our waterways clean and healthy and restore biodiversity.</i>	5	0	0	N/A
<i>Support the community to minimise waste, turn it into resources, and promote education on waste reduction.</i>	6	1	0	N/A
<i>Develop and refurbish toilet facilities in Glasgow Park,</i>	1	0	0	N/A
<i>Develop pathway connections in Green Road Park.</i>	7	0	0	N/A

RD 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Large skate park/wheel play Riverhead
<i>Comment</i>	Bus-stop on (school bus) corner of Wake and Coatesville Highway
<i>Comment</i>	Opportunities to create revenue through leveraging local unique tourist opportunities ie wine tours, art tours and live music
<i>Comment</i>	Just like to acknowledge work of the Local Board, much appreciated and doesn't go un-noticed

<i>Comment</i>	Thank you for all your work and a music festival at Greens Road
<i>Comment</i>	Refurbish the Huapai Domain playground and add suncover
<i>Comment</i>	Flood mitigation Kumeu Huapai
<i>Comment</i>	Get bypass in
<i>Comment</i>	Need a college at Kumeu
<i>Comment</i>	Keep North Harbour Stadium
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

RD 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Rodney proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.

<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads

	<p>in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Fairly important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Fairly important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.</p>

<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Not important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.

<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Fairly important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A





Otahuhu Library

<i>Event Name</i>	Otahuhu Library
<i>Event Date</i>	28 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	25
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	25
<i>Event type</i>	Interactive boards at library

Local boards

- Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	8
<i>Female</i>	14
<i>Another gender</i>	3

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	3
<i>15-17</i>	1
<i>18-24</i>	3
<i>25-34</i>	5
<i>35-44</i>	6
<i>45-54</i>	3
<i>55-64</i>	N/A
<i>65-74</i>	N/A

74+	1
-----	---

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	2
<i>Other European</i>	3
<i>Māori</i>	5
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	5
<i>Samoan</i>	6
<i>Tongan</i>	5
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	2
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	1
<i>Korean</i>	1
<i>Indian</i>	1
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	4

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
--	-----

<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>				
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

MO 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	54
<i>I support most priorities</i>	10
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	3
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MO 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Strengthen partnerships with local mana whenua through project delivery</i>	12	1	1	N/A
<i>Deliver community climate initiatives such as Low Carbon Lifestyles, and Māngere Bike Hub with our community partners.</i>	8	N/A	N/A	2
<i>Deliver a community-driven safety action plan</i>	10	5	N/A	N/A
<i>Improve employment and economic opportunities through our local economic broker programme.</i>	12	1	N/A	1
<i>Support community-led activations at our parks and facilities</i>	12	3	1	N/A

MO 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

MO 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	<p>Explore how our community facilities and services are better used to reduce growing costs in maintaining these assets: Support - 9 Partial support - 1 Do no support - 1 Other - 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Continue to partner with Māori to support their aspirations by working on projects together and activating shared governance arrangements: Support - 13 Do not support - 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Collaborate with locals to enhance their ability to withstand and prepare for the impacts of climate change: Support - 12 Do not support - 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Support new and innovative ways to deliver events, programmes and activities that reflect and celebrate our diverse communities: Support - 12</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Help strengthen the skills and abilities of community groups, facilitate opportunities for local leaders, and secure sustainable funding sources: Support - 16 Partially support - 2</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Urge the Council group and central government to allocate resources fairly for our recovery and strengthen community resilience to future disasters: Most important - 11 Least important - 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Advocate to the Governing Body to retain and increase the Local Board Transport Capital Fund: Most important - 9 Least important - 1</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Implement fairer resource allocation, including the distribution of environment targeted rates: Most important - 8</p>



<i>Comment</i>	Advocate for further local decision-making opportunities, allowing locals to have a greater say in transportation, climate change, and water quality-related decisions: Most important - 9 Least important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure adequate infrastructure is in place before approving housing intensification: Most important - 16 Least important - 2
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Manurewa Library Manurewa Local Board Drop-in event

Event Name	Manurewa Library Manurewa Local Board Drop-in event
Event Date	27 March 2024
Number of Participants (optional)	10
Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	10
Event type	Library Drop in but activation numbers

Local boards

- Manurewa

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	1
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	2	4



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Water</i>	N/A	2	8
<i>City and local development</i>	1	N/A	1
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	1	N/A	3
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	1	6
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	2	N/A	5
<i>Council support</i>	1	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	3
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	2
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	2
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	1
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	4
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an</i>	4

<i>Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	1
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	1
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	3	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Q3_NHS Comment. 3. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Change Operational Management - User Pays What is in it for South Auckland
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

MN 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Manurewa in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MN 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Continue to support, deliver and fund initiatives that contribute to positive youth development.</i>	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in evidence-based projects that focus on crime prevention, safer communities and injury prevention.</i>	3	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Fund and support activities that include older people and foster their community participation with a specific focus on reaching older migrants.</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in community led projects and initiatives that respond to social</i>	4	1	1	N/A

Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>connection and cohesion, build climate resilience and contribute to climate action.</i>				
<i>Develop a masterplan for Mountfort Park</i>	1	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Identify options for recreational activities to support people of all ages and abilities being casually active.</i>	3	N/A	2	N/A
<i>Investigate community lease options to support Ngāti Tamaoho aspirations for a cultural hub at Te Pua/Keith Park.</i>	1	N/A	3	N/A
<i>Investigate the feasibility of an arts broker programme to nurture creative expression with a focus on supporting Māori and Pacific creative arts.</i>	3	N/A	3	N/A

MN 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Mill road is urgent, should have 4 laned hill road and Porchester.
<i>Comment</i>	No rate increases, our income has gone down not up
<i>Comment</i>	The total budget will cost about \$30,000 per year for a family of 4. Who will be paying for it?
<i>Comment</i>	More upgrades to playgrounds and parks please
<i>Comment</i>	More planting so many trees are being lost daily to development.
<i>Comment</i>	Local initiatives for planting funding/projects
<i>Comment</i>	Disabilities accessible parks!
<i>Comment</i>	Keep Hill House café alive! And Nathan homestead as a vibrant community hub.
<i>Comment</i>	NoOgo ahead with the earthquake strengthening at Nathan Homestead. It is important to ensure safety over heritage sites over the short term needs of a coffee shop.



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Beach Haven Community Project Open Day

<i>Event Name</i>	Beach Haven Community Project Open Day
<i>Event Date</i>	2 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	200
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	10
<i>Event type</i>	Drop in

Local boards

- Kaipātiki

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	5
<i>Female</i>	3
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	N/A
<i>25-34</i>	N/A
<i>35-44</i>	1
<i>45-54</i>	2
<i>55-64</i>	2
<i>65-74</i>	1

74+	N/A
-----	-----

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	1
<i>Other European</i>	0
<i>Māori</i>	2
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	3
<i>Samoan</i>	0
<i>Tongan</i>	4
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	3
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	0
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	3
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	0	2	1
<i>Water</i>	0	1	0
<i>City and local development</i>	0	1	13
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	0	2	0
<i>Parks and Community</i>	0	8	1
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	0	1	2
<i>Council support</i>	0	0	2

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	1
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	3
<i>Change the operational management</i>	0
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	2
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	0
<i>I don't know</i>	0

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	2
--	---



<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	0
<i>Other</i>	0
<i>I don't know</i>	0

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>				
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	2	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	2	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

KPT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Kaipātiki in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

KPT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know



<i>Investing in the maintenance and renewal of our parks, playgrounds, recreation facilities, and other public spaces</i>	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting a community-led approach for the delivery of relevant and diverse services that connect the community.</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting environmental groups, community volunteers, and our diverse communities to carry out environmental restoration projects</i>	7	1	1	N/A
<i>Begin implementing the Mini Shoreline Adaptation Plan for the Little Shoal Bay / Te Wai Manawa</i>	9	4	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting a community climate activation programme to support and amplify community initiatives identified in the Kaipātiki Climate Action Plan.</i>	5	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Building relationships with local iwi and mataawaka groups so that Kaipātiki is rich with Māori identity and culture.</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A

KPT 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
----------------	-----



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

KPT 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Kaipātiki proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Warkworth A&P Lifestyle Show drop-in session

<i>Event Name</i>	Warkworth A&P Lifestyle Show drop-in session
<i>Event Date</i>	16 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	200
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	60
<i>Event type</i>	Drop-in

Local boards

- Rodney

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an</i>	N/A



<i>Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RD 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Rodney in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

RD 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Deliver new and/or improved playground and play spaces</i>	1	2	3	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support communities to develop local community emergency leadership groups and emergency action planning in response to the findings</i>	8	0	1	N/A
<i>Provide additional activities and programmes for children and young people</i>	4	1	0	N/A
<i>Continue to support our local arts centres</i>	1	1	4	N/A
<i>Continue to support community groups and mana whenua to keep our waterways clean and healthy and restore biodiversity.</i>	13	0	0	N/A
<i>Support the community to minimise waste, turn it into resources, and promote education on waste reduction.</i>	6	1	0	N/A
<i>Develop and refurbish toilet facilities in Glasgow Park,</i>	2	0	2	N/A
<i>Develop pathway connections in Green Road Park.</i>	1	1	3	N/A

RD 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Berm maintenance – don't spray – loose rubbish and mowing
<i>Comment</i>	Please seal the ENTIRE length of Jones Road Omaha!! Please!
<i>Comment</i>	USE XPORT LEVY TO FIX HILL STREET



<i>Comment</i>	Regular dirt road maintenance + clearing culverts etc.
<i>Comment</i>	Disband the Super City – it doesn’t work for Rodney
<i>Comment</i>	Disband the Super City – it doesn’t work for Rodney
<i>Comment</i>	Disband the Super City – it doesn’t work for Rodney
<i>Comment</i>	Disband the Super City – it doesn’t work for Rodney
<i>Comment</i>	Font load \$124M – seal road prior to seeking other funds.
<i>Comment</i>	Please don’t drop Brodifacoum on Kawau. Brodifacoum is a highly toxic poison that accumulates in non target species. It can only be used behind a predator proof fence on the mainland, See Doc paper.
<i>Comment</i>	Make downtown Auckland the most attractive city in the world.
<i>Comment</i>	Invest in walking and biking trails in Warkworth area for safe and healthy family activities.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

RD 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Rodney proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew

	<p>and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.

<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods

	<p>♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads

	<p>in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other</p>

	<p>methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.</p>

	<p>Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>

<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Not important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including: ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s</p>

	roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.

Movies in Park

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	3000
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	35
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local boards

- Kaipātiki

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	15
<i>Female</i>	20
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	2
<i>15-17</i>	0
<i>18-24</i>	5
<i>25-34</i>	8
<i>35-44</i>	14
<i>45-54</i>	2
<i>55-64</i>	4

<i>65-74</i>	0
<i>74+</i>	0

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	5
<i>Other European</i>	3
<i>Māori</i>	5
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	2
<i>Samoan</i>	0
<i>Tongan</i>	8
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	0
<i>Chinese</i>	5
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	0
<i>Korean</i>	2
<i>Indian</i>	1
<i>Other Asian</i>	0
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	0
<i>Latin American</i>	1
<i>African</i>	1
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	2

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	0
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	2
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	0
<i>Other</i>	0

<i>I don't know</i>	0
---------------------	---

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	0	3	24
<i>Water</i>	0	0	22
<i>City and local development</i>	0	3	12
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	0	1	15
<i>Parks and Community</i>	0	6	14
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	0	0	14
<i>Council support</i>	1	0	15

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	0
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	10
<i>Change the operational management</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	0
<i>I don't know</i>	0

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	1
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	0
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	1

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	0
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	2
<i>I don't know</i>	0

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	0
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	0
<i>I don't know</i>	0

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	8	2	2	0
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	5	0	0	4
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	13	0	0	0
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	1	1	0	5
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	1	11	0	0
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	14	0	0	0
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q1_C. 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?

<i>Comment</i>	Transport = funding buses not new road surfaces
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_A_Comment. 4a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Future fund = I like the idea of a diversified investment fund, don't like selling AIAL
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	Ports = retain ownership but turn it into a park
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

KPT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Kaipātiki in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

KPT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Investing in the maintenance and renewal of our parks, playgrounds, recreation facilities,</i>	30	1	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>and other public spaces</i>				
<i>Supporting a community-led approach for the delivery of relevant and diverse services that connect the community.</i>	13	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting environmental groups, community volunteers, and our diverse communities to carry out environmental restoration projects</i>	20	1	1	N/A
<i>Begin implementing the Mini Shoreline Adaptation Plan for the Little Shoal Bay / Te Wai Manawa</i>	8	4	0	N/A
<i>Supporting a community climate activation programme to support and amplify community initiatives identified in the Kaipātiki Climate Action Plan.</i>	11	1	1	N/A
<i>Building relationships with local iwi and mataawaka groups so that Kaipātiki is rich with Māori identity and culture.</i>	12	0	4	N/A

KPT 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Save costs by reducing AT overspending on unnecessary and over budget projects hold AT accountable
<i>Comment</i>	Free buses - more safe bike routes
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Helensville village market drop-in session

<i>Event Name</i>	Helensville village market drop-in session
<i>Event Date</i>	17 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	50
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	25
<i>Event type</i>	Drop-in

Local boards

- Rodney

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an</i>	N/A



<i>Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RD 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Rodney in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

RD 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Deliver new and/or improved playground and play spaces</i>	3	0	0	N/A

Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support communities to develop local community emergency leadership groups and emergency action planning in response to the findings</i>	2	1	0	N/A
<i>Provide additional activities and programmes for children and young people</i>	2	1	0	N/A
<i>Continue to support our local arts centres</i>	1	1	0	N/A
<i>Continue to support community groups and mana whenua to keep our waterways clean and healthy and restore biodiversity.</i>	5	0	0	N/A
<i>Support the community to minimise waste, turn it into resources, and promote education on waste reduction.</i>	1	0	0	N/A
<i>Develop and refurbish toilet facilities in Glasgow Park,</i>	0	0	0	N/A
<i>Develop pathway connections in Green Road Park.</i>	0	2	0	N/A

RD 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Support Helensville Lions Project for an Art Installation next to the museum on Mill Road
<i>Comment</i>	Overall improvements of road in Rodney (hole etc) – keeping roadside drains clear



<i>Comment</i>	Keep rubbish collection weekly
<i>Comment</i>	Charges for septic tanks need to be fair and equitable – checking needs to be rates based and then people pay if needs cleaning
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate for public transport (helps roads too!)
<i>Comment</i>	Fix rail-line to get trucks off road
<i>Comment</i>	Would pay more to get more
<i>Comment</i>	Fix Evans Road potholes
<i>Comment</i>	Don't mind paying more for rates if it is spent properly and efficiently and not wasted
<i>Comment</i>	Plastic silage/hay bale covering is littering the waterways and it is a major concern. 1 word, POTHOLES Improve complainece (we've got some good regulations, but....!!)
<i>Comment</i>	1 word, POTHOLES
<i>Comment</i>	Improve compliance (we've got some good regulations, but....!!)
<i>Comment</i>	Fordyce Rd Parakai gravel section
<i>Comment</i>	Regular [road] maintenance
<i>Comment</i>	Remove privet roadsides
<i>Comment</i>	Overflow from tanks / stormwater from private properties (escarpment Muriwai) Auckland's playground is more than downtown/central Auckland. It's the beaches and outer areas also, regional parks etc. Overflow from tanks / stormwater from private properties (escarpment Muriwai)
<i>Comment</i>	Straighten ourselves out with water/drainage etc and then can focus on other areas.
<i>Comment</i>	Auckland's playground is more than downtown/central Auckland. It's the beaches and outer areas also, regional parks etc.
<i>Comment</i>	Kaukapakapa <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • needs more footpaths, • had to build its own playgrounds • want somewhere to walk dogs- people are walking them on playing fields which isn't ideal • Don't even have a market in Kaukapakapa • Helensville and Kaukapakapa are dead after 6pm
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

RD 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Rodney proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:
----------------	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan. 	
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan. 	
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan. 	
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan. 	
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods 	

	<p>♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads

	<p>in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Fairly Important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.

<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Fairly Important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Fairly Important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>N/A</p>



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Pukekohe Library at Franklin The Centre Interactive Display



<i>Event Name</i>	Pukekohe Library at Franklin The Centre Interactive Display
<i>Event Date</i>	28/03/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People (required) that provided feedback</i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	4
<i>Event type</i>	Interactive display

Local boards

- Franklin

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
----------------------------------	-----



<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



FR 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Franklin in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

FR 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Develop fit for purpose facilities</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>and respond to growth challenges</i>				
<i>Fund three-year Strategic Community Partnerships with local organisations</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support environmental and cultural restoration programmes in partnership with Iwi including Te Kete Rukuruku (place naming) and Te Korowai Papatuaanuku (environmental restoration).</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop “Franklin Community Occupancy Guidelines”</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Find ways to reduce Franklin’s maintenance costs</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress the development and delivery of the Franklin Paths Programme.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Deliver a refreshed approach to enabling young people in Franklin to access services and participate in their communities.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress a Pukekohe Cemetery memorial project that acknowledges the unmarked graves at the site.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A





FR Targeted Rate. 7d. We are proposing to introduce the Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.



What do you think of this proposal?

<i>Support</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

FR Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	No temple or gurudhawan in Pukekohe
<i>Comment</i>	No hindu temple. Please make.
<i>Comment</i>	Have alternative to trains as well as trains. Love more trees, better footpaths. I love Pukekohe's openness, trees and friendliness, do more to enable community.
<i>Comment</i>	Concerning young people accessibility, services and participating in community - have the library open on a Sunday, will benefit others too.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

FR TR Comm. Do you have any additional thoughts on the proposed Franklin Paths Targeted Rate?

<i>Comment</i>	Support this but need limited traffic management. Too many cones, and too much time.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Waiuku Library interactive display

<i>Event Name</i>	Waiuku Library interactive display
<i>Event Date</i>	28/03/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	13
<i>Event type</i>	Interactive display

Local boards

- Franklin

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
----------------------------------	-----

<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



FR 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Franklin in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

FR 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Develop fit for purpose facilities</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>and respond to growth challenges</i>				
<i>Fund three-year Strategic Community Partnerships with local organisations</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support environmental and cultural restoration programmes in partnership with Iwi including Te Kete Rukuruku (place naming) and Te Korowai Papatuaanuku (environmental restoration).</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop “Franklin Community Occupancy Guidelines”</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Find ways to reduce Franklin’s maintenance costs</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress the development and delivery of the Franklin Paths Programme.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Deliver a refreshed approach to enabling young people in Franklin to access services and participate in their communities.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress a Pukekohe Cemetery memorial project that acknowledges the unmarked graves at the site.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



FR Targeted Rate. 7d. We are proposing to introduce the Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.



What do you think of this proposal?

<i>Support</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

FR Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Fix the roads not any fancy stuff please!!!
<i>Comment</i>	Check out very dangerous trees on Magnolia Drive, Do something about them!!
<i>Comment</i>	Potholes! Fixed efficiently.
<i>Comment</i>	Rise in rates too much. Cost - pay raises to councillors not pensioners.
<i>Comment</i>	Whiteside Pool needs an upgrade. Cameras installed. Maybe heated so it could be used more than 3 months of the year
<i>Comment</i>	Roads in Waiuku and around Waiuku are in a horrible state. Are there any plans to improve it?
<i>Comment</i>	Construct a bridge at eel pond Waiuku.
<i>Comment</i>	Council rates need to be used only for infrastructure for the people not culture art or any unnecessary expenses
<i>Comment</i>	Roadside weeds! Noxious weeds! Get rid!
<i>Comment</i>	Taking responsibility for the maintenance of the Waiuku Whiteside Pool by Auckland Council. The Waiuku community has been trying to bring this problem to the attention of Auckland Council for years. Auckland Council shifts the responsibility to Belgravia as the contractor. The condition of this pool is unacceptable. Lifeguards, who should be solely concentrating on keeping swimmers safe, are doing everything, being repeatedly subjected to unsocial behaviour because there is no security. Vandalism occurs at night, glass bottles and other objects are thrown in, and the pool has to be closed for several hours the next day.
<i>Comment</i>	Why do you 'consult' with public when concerns like these (Waiuku pool comments) have been raised for years and no action taken?
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

FR 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Franklin proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

FR TR Comm. Do you have any additional thoughts on the proposed Franklin Paths Targeted Rate?

<i>Comment</i>	Good idea as I pay no rates.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Franklin Local Board Hearing

Number of Participants (optional)	N/A
Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	1
Hardcopy forms received	N/A

Local boards below

- Franklin

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt	N/A
Proceed with the central proposal	N/A
Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
Transport	N/A	N/A	N/A
Water	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
City and local development	N/A	N/A	N/A
Environment and regulation	N/A	N/A	N/A
Parks and Community	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economic and cultural development	N/A	N/A	N/A
Council support	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal	N/A
Support most of the proposal	N/A
Do not support most of the proposal	N/A
Don't support any of the proposal	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

Keep the stadium precinct as it is	N/A
Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct	N/A
Change the operational management	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

Proceed with the proposal	N/A
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding	N/A



Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council	N/A
Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

Continue to use it to fund council services	N/A
Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and	N/A
---	-----



Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.	
No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area	N/A
Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Long Term Differential Strategy	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

FR 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Franklin in 2024/2025?

I support all priorities	N/A
I support most priorities	N/A
I do not support most priorities	N/A
I do not support any priorities	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

FR 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
Develop fit for purpose facilities and respond to growth challenges	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fund three-year Strategic Community Partnerships with local organisations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Support environmental and cultural restoration programmes in partnership with Iwi including Te Kete Rukuruku (place naming) and Te Korowai Papatuuaanuku	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>(environmental restoration).</i>				
<i>Develop “Franklin Community Occupancy Guidelines”</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Find ways to reduce Franklin’s maintenance costs</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress the development and delivery of the Franklin Paths Programme.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Deliver a refreshed approach to enabling young people in Franklin to access services and participate in their communities.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress a Pukekohe Cemetery memorial project that acknowledges the unmarked graves at the site.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

FR Targeted Rate. 7d. We are proposing to introduce the Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.

What do you think of this proposal?

<i>Support</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

FR Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

FR 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Franklin proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

FR TR Comm. Do you have any additional thoughts on the proposed Franklin Paths Targeted Rate?

Comment	Process to get permission for corridor access is difficult for community groups, no problem with delivery.
Comment	A focus on the Franklin-wide trails plan allows communities who have nothing in current proposal to put forward what is important to them, and get involved in delivering it. The benefit is having a network and another option for travel.
Comment	Need a standardised approach to working with schools and community groups to deliver trails - its hard to set up the first time but then easy to repeat.



Comment	Need a standardised approach to working with schools and community groups to deliver trails - its hard to set up the first time but then easy to repeat.
Comment	Need a standardised approach to working with schools and community groups to deliver trails - its hard to set up the first time but then easy to repeat.
Comment	Level of frustration and irritation in the community, we want to get things done, we're capable, were held up by permissions and requirements
Comment	In Clarks Beach/Waiiau Pa the highest priority is the route between Clarks Beach and the Waiiau Pa School. Sooner you can do it the better - will create credibility for council.
Comment	Signage and mapping is also important - pretty much all the Hunua Traverse is. Should be looking at including signage and mapping in the PTR.
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A



Franklin Local Board Hearing

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	3
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	3
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local boards below

- Franklin

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	2
<i>Female</i>	1
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	N/A
<i>25-34</i>	N/A
<i>35-44</i>	N/A
<i>45-54</i>	N/A
<i>55-64</i>	1
<i>65-74</i>	2



74+	N/A
-----	-----

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	3
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	N/A
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
--	-----



<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>				
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

FR 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Franklin in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

FR 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Develop fit for purpose facilities and respond to growth challenges</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Fund three-year Strategic Community Partnerships with local organisations</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support environmental and cultural restoration programmes in partnership with Iwi including Te Kete Rukuruku (place naming) and Te Korowai Papatuuuanuku (environmental restoration).</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop “Franklin Community Occupancy Guidelines”</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Find ways to reduce Franklin’s maintenance costs</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress the development and delivery of the Franklin Paths Programme.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Deliver a refreshed approach to enabling young people in Franklin to access services and participate in their communities.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress a Pukekohe Cemetery memorial project that acknowledges the unmarked graves at the site.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

FR TR Comm. Do you have any additional thoughts on the proposed Franklin Paths Targeted Rate?

<i>Comment</i>	Support but have concerns about the size, ambition, and different understandings of 'low cost'. Would like to see this work happen quickly, concerned it wont go far enough, fast enough
<i>Comment</i>	Auckland Transport should be doing this work for us.
<i>Comment</i>	2 hours 15 minutes to drive from Clarks Beach to Papakura - getting more common -cycleway corridor may be tthe apid transport corridor we need. With size and number of people going to our communities we know what is going to happen to our roads - there is a problem coming, linking communities needs to happen sooner rather than later.
<i>Comment</i>	Goal of Franklin Active Trails is to get 36 Franklin communities linked together so Support development of Franklinwide trails overlay plan being included in the PTR. Its crazy that Auckland is the only regiona where trail development is left to developers. In other areas eg Otago, almost all communities connected via trails network and they have way less budget than Auckland. Dunedin to Queenstown is one of their routes. Other parts of the country finding solutions.
<i>Comment</i>	Communities have got capability and wilingness to build trails. We can do anything, but the issues is permission. WE need help with getting the approvals process through.
<i>Comment</i>	We've got people wanting to set up structures to bid for the work
<i>Comment</i>	Encourage the local board to input into the four tracking of rail corridor between Drury/Paerata/Pukekohe - need to ensure that land is also put aside for active mode corridor. - route protection needed now. Request the board to crank up the pressure.
<i>Comment</i>	Concerned about active mode aspects of big projects being left out to save money - local board has a role to connect with community and advocacy groups and seek help with advocacy, from lots of people.
<i>Comment</i>	concerned about cost of PTR on top of other rates increased



<i>Comment</i>	Other feedback - why not make it \$2 week and just get on with it.
<i>Comment</i>	What we want from the local board is advocacy. Doesn't cost money. Get out there and tell people about getting of there and cycling as it also makes it better for cars; active transport stories, getting kids to school on bikes etc.
<i>Comment</i>	Issues around ongoing maintenance and two organisations - AC and AT - controlling the corridor space - puts blocks in the way to opening up corridor space, and neither AT or AC will drive trail development, no staff working on it other than Chris Charles in AC.
<i>Comment</i>	Lots of investment by families from Franklin elsewhere eg Hugh Green paid for swing-bridge on Dunstan trails and Barfoots sponsored swing-bidge across Kawerau river . Nothing of worth to invest in in Franklin.
<i>Comment</i>	Easy option to create trails is using the railway corridor and unformed legal access roads. In particular a link between Pukekohe and Waiuku via the rail corridor. Nobody is looking at these sorts of opportunities. Franklin Active Trails did the application to Stage One application as nobody from AT/AC working in this type of thing.
<i>Comment</i>	Working with Waiau Pa School – transport survey, 200 + kids, travel 680000km. 3 kids who ride bikes, 5 kids who walk, roads are scary so kids get delivered. Cars to two trips a day. If could use active mode who would – fair chunk would if it was safe. Independent kids not reliant on parents.
<i>Comment</i>	Pedestrians give way to vehicles signs in Pukekohe. Those signs are the opposite of what we are wanting to achieve. Please do something about it. So setting the wrong culture.
<i>Comment</i>	Trails thing is dysfunctional in this area compared with other parts of NZ. Very challenging to volunteers to go through process to get permissions.
<i>Comment</i>	Disappointed that Five Summits in Pukekohe does not appear on Council documents and maps
<i>Comment</i>	Taihiki estuary - two landowners keen to give enduring public access to cycling, what is needed is advocacy from FLB to go to the next steps.
<i>Comment</i>	Challenge of resistance from AT and AC - neither what the cost of ongoing maintenance of trails. Maintenance cost put forward as barrier when community wants to deliver trails.



Long-Term Plan Hui at Hoani Waititi Marae For our mataawaka and Whanau Māori Communities



Event Name	Long-Term Plan Hui at Hoani Waititi Marae For our mataawaka and Whanau Māori Communities
Event Date	25 March
Number of Participants (optional)	100
Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	20
Event type	Hui

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt	N/A
Proceed with the central proposal	N/A
Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
Transport	N/A	N/A	N/A
Water	N/A	N/A	N/A
City and local development	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
Environment and regulation	N/A	N/A	N/A
Parks and Community	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economic and cultural development	N/A	N/A	N/A
Council support	N/A	N/A	N/A



Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal	N/A
Support most of the proposal	N/A
Do not support most of the proposal	N/A
Don't support any of the proposal	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

Keep the stadium precinct as it is	N/A
Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct	N/A
Change the operational management	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

Proceed with the proposal	N/A
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding	N/A
Other	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore,</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>				
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q8. 8. Do you have any other comments?

Comment	Pono – truth telling
Comment	Whakapono – belief, trust
Comment	Whakawhanaungatanga – relationships
Comment	Kotahitanga – unity
Comment	Mahitahitanga – collaboration, cooperation (work as equals)
Comment	Do with not to.
Comment	Include Māori in the process (co-design).
Comment	Ask us our priorities.
Comment	Too much disconnection between Māori and the kaunihera.
Comment	Step into te Ao Māori – talk to us from there (ehē to Council speak).
Comment	• Strategies to invest. • Aspirations and dreams of all.
Comment	Marae are for all our community – represents all points of view, inclusive of all peoples.
Comment	What's good for Māori is good for everyone.
Comment	Need to invest more pūtea to ensure our places (eg Marae) are fit for purpose. These are places where all people can come together, to wananga and support each other. Heart of community.
Comment	Want a fair deal, good schools, support for our mokopuna.
Comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Things are tough. No reprieve for the poor. • Paying for things don't want and not investing in whānau needs. • Borrowing from our future – not planning for our future especially for the poor and marginalised who continue to suffer. • Need a Kaupapa that allow all our whānau to plan for their own future and to thrive.
Comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Māori ward seats in Tāmaki Makaurau vital – need seats at the table. Houkura IMSB and Māori wards – always wanted both.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Delivery of services to Māori needs to be about Māori – but it hasn't been.
Comment	Māori seats/ Māori voice so important – have the largest Māori population in the world here in Tāmaki Makaurau!
Comment	Need to prioritise Māori in roles and positions of power – only way we will see the change.
Comment	This sort of engagement is important – but what did we get out of it? What happens the days after?
Comment	What can we do post this hui to ensure we maintain the connection?
Comment	We keep coming, saying the same thing, over and over. How can we make the change together?
Comment	Take back what you hear, talk to other elected members, other kaimahi about what you heard. Then we need action.
Comment	Elected members need to be inspirational and creative.
Comment	\How do we share power? (eg Māori ward seats, co-governance etc)



Community Hui

Event Participants. Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	18
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	8
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	11
<i>Female</i>	7
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	N/A
<i>25-34</i>	2
<i>35-44</i>	1
<i>45-54</i>	N/A
<i>55-64</i>	5
<i>65-74</i>	10
<i>74+</i>	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	16
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	N/A
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	1
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	1
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
----------------------------------	-----

<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	6
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q1_D. 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you would need to pay less?

<i>Comment</i>	Council's top up of the gold card free travel after 3pm should be scrapped
<i>Comment</i>	Increasing Maori outcomes seem like a central government function - there are other priorities - bilingual signs is a woke waste of money
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q2_More . 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?

<i>Comment</i>	Traffic is really bad. Need options to improve transport options access from West to South Auckland
<i>Comment</i>	Review and upgrade bus frequency of particular routes
<i>Comment</i>	Invest in smaller vehicles on less used routes such as shuttle busses
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q8. 8. Do you have any other comments?

Comment	Fairer funding - Concerns of current rates going to maintenance of swimming pools in other areas when we don't have one in our own area. I want a refund.
Comment	Equitable funding for boards an absolute must
Comment	Need fairer funding for boards
Comment	Fairer funding essential
Comment	Shocked to hear how LBs are funded. Whau can't keep missing out. Yes to fairer funding
Comment	Avondale racecourse is the perfect place for a multi sports complex and which would be a regional facility. AC needs to purchase
Comment	We need a swimming pool in the Whau - will also be a regional asset. Need wider council support
Comment	Concerns that there are no community infrastructure provision to match.
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A



Community Hui

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	1
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future</i>	N/A



<i>Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<i>Councillors</i>	Ken Turner
<i>Local Board Elected Members</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Brenda Brady - Brooke Loader - Chris Carter - Dan Collins - Ingrid Papau - Oscar Kightley
<i>Council Officers</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Adam Milina - Michelle Knudsen - Laura Hopkins - Zenovia Pappapetros
<i>Subject Matter Experts</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - David Rose - Lee Clark

Event Evaluation

<i>What went well?</i>	Go turn out of elected members
------------------------	--------------------------------



<i>What didn't work / was missing</i>	Only one person came - did not run the event. Hard to judge - last year a lot of community turned up because of proposed cuts to community funding and services.
<i>What could we do differently next time?</i>	Look at hosting hui in community. or take a completely different approach





Pop up at Henderson Valley Community Day

<i>Event Name</i>	Pop up at Henderson Valley Community Day
<i>Event Date</i>	16-Mar
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	40
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Event type</i>	Info Session

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future</i>	N/A



<i>Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A





Whau Local Board Drop in Session

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	29
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	4

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future</i>	N/A



<i>Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Pop up at Blockhouse Bay Markets

<i>Event Name</i>	Pop up at Blockhouse Bay Markets
<i>Event Date</i>	Mar-9
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	25
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Event type</i>	Info Session

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future</i>	N/A



<i>Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Henderson-Massey Local Board Drop in Session



<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	4
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future</i>	N/A



<i>Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Waitākere Ranges Local Board Drop in Session

Event Participants. Event Participants

Number of Participants (optional)	20
Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
Hardcopy forms received	0

Demographics

Gender

Male	3
Female	17
Another gender	N/A

Age

If you did not collect this information, leave them blank

Under 15	N/A
15-17	N/A
18-24	1
25-34	1
35-44	N/A
45-54	N/A
55-64	2
65-74	1
74+	1

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	N/A
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	N/A
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council’s Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don’t know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
Environment and regulation	N/A	N/A	N/A
Parks and Community	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economic and cultural development	N/A	N/A	N/A
Council support	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal	N/A
Support most of the proposal	N/A
Do not support most of the proposal	N/A
Don't support any of the proposal	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

Keep the stadium precinct as it is	N/A
Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct	N/A
Change the operational management	N/A
Other	N/A
I don't know	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

Proceed with the proposal	N/A
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding	N/A
Other	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to</i>	N/A
--	-----

<i>Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore,</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>				
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Pop up at Te Atatū Food Truck Friday

<i>Event Name</i>	Pop up at Te Atatū Food Truck Friday
<i>Event Date</i>	1-Mar
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	1
<i>Event type</i>	Info session

Local Board

N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
--	-----



<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>				
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



13-Mar Community Forum

Event Participants. Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	3
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	3
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Waiheke

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public</i>	N/A



<i>benefit, within 15 years</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Regional Information



Q8. 8. Do you have any other comments?

<i>Comment</i>	Not a lot on equity, a lot on environment.
<i>Comment</i>	I want to advocate for the higher rates and fairer funding.
<i>Comment</i>	Ports and airport shares - not keen on selling. Really important to keep assets. My further concern is that the airport shares were sold, there's a shortfall on what they were supposed to cover, and there's still a budget blow out.
<i>Comment</i>	I support higher rates, but there is an equity issue and a rates rebate is not a forgiving of rates but a slower payment – just defers it. And its really unfair on people who are often older, living in quite rundown places, to expect them to pay such high rates, But that's what's holding back putting up rates for everybody, because there's no mechanism to not have to pay high rates if you can't afford it. Need to make it fairer, perhaps income tested.
<i>Comment</i>	Airport selling shares is a very short term idea and it won't benefit us as a city or an island.
<i>Comment</i>	I think we're going to have to go for a higher rate, but also need some proposal in that by having a lower rate for people who are finding it very difficult. Helping people pay their rates. We look after our own water, our own sewage – we're completely different to Grey Lynn and Ponsonby. There will be more heavy rain and there will be more storms and cyclones, so yes, I think it will be necessary to have higher rates.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

WAI 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Waiheke in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

WAI 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Delivering core council operational services</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Programmes which protect, restore, and enhance the island's natural environment, and initiatives that provide opportunities for community connectedness, capability and resilience.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Working with our community and</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>businesses to progress actions within the Waiheke Island Climate Action Plan.</i>				
<i>Progressing recommended actions within the Waiheke Local Parks Management Plan and the Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park Reserve Management Plan.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Working with mana whenua and mataawaka to identify and respond to their needs and aspirations.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Capital projects including the Tawaipareira Reserve playground.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Local Information

WAI 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

WAI 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Waiheke proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Really pleased equestrian facilities is included, part of being an island is a fact that's something people should be able to enjoy
<i>Comment</i>	Increase decision-making over all assets on Waiheke.
<i>Comment</i>	Love the flood control to keep finding lower key solution, not to have massive stormwater pipes going across lots of places. Onetangi water quality seems to be not as good as it used to be, its harder and harder to find pipis. Thats already here, and keeping up with emptying the catch pits is great, but we can't have any more.
<i>Comment</i>	Fair ferry fares - great
<i>Comment</i>	It feels like more progress on social housing, and the fairer fares. We still have lots of young people hanging around not doing much, probably affected by the cost of travel. Most of the people I see in hospitality now are in their 20s living at home, working in higher positions so paid more or Working Holiday Visa people, not a sustainable way for the future.
<i>Comment</i>	I think its important to work on the climate action plan, but also work on youth needs assessment.
<i>Comment</i>	Really concerned about the use of Surfdale Hall – that its not being used that extensively for youth purposes, and that's what we decided as a Local Board.
<i>Comment</i>	Equity – we've got all these things happening in the local board, but taking away the service centre without telling one about it, is



	really hard. Make sure that we're still getting the planners coming over.
<i>Comment</i>	HOP card, you cannot travel on buses without the HOP card and you can't buy it with cash at the ferry which makes it really unfair on young people. Only place you can go is the library, which isn't good in terms of trying to get around with a HOP card.
<i>Comment</i>	If we could have a visitor levy, car levy, for all that come onto the island, [that'd raise funds] we'd get so many visitors. Would the money stay with us on Waiheke, or would it all go in a big pot?
<i>Comment</i>	<p>What about wharf tax? Waiheke should be treated completely different to the city. The transport proposals and the funding that we'll all have to pay for with our rates, is not coming back to us. We need to be treated differently, e.g. we won't be getting fast rail - we only have buses!</p> <p>Getting from the island to city appointments, we can't leave until 9am because that's the time when its free, but we need to ensure all our appointments are as late as possible on the afternoon. So cutting that late free portion out for Gold Cards for us, there's no equity, either you get an exemption just for Waiheke, but fundamentally it's the lifeline for the islanders, bearing in mind nearly a quarter of us are senior citizens. Most I know don't have the money.</p>
<i>Comment</i>	It is not fair that the library staff took on so much more work with no more pay - we treat people unfairly and its wrong.
<i>Comment</i>	If we can cut back on traffic on this island, all the better for everybody. I applaud the new causeway cycle lane. I've seen so many people using it, I'd like it to be bigger for walkers, but it is excellent. I'd like to see speed cameras on 2 or 3 areas. Mako, Ocean View.
<i>Comment</i>	It's so important to train people on the island and deliver services on the island, instead of having other teams come in, and how we can do that, in terms of restoration. There's a lot of moth-plant around. We need to keep young people here, its extremely important.
<i>Comment</i>	Food resilience - emergency planning, we really have to keep it local if we can.
<i>Comment</i>	At Kennedy Point you can't buy an AT Hop card either [how to use the bus from there?].
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



10-Mar Kiwi Anthems

Event Participants. Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	143
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	143
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Howick

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	65
<i>Female</i>	77
<i>Another gender</i>	1

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	29
<i>15-17</i>	4
<i>18-24</i>	6
<i>25-34</i>	11



<i>35-44</i>	49
<i>45-54</i>	26
<i>55-64</i>	20
<i>65-74</i>	8
<i>74+</i>	0

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	79
<i>Other European</i>	18
<i>Māori</i>	7
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	0
<i>Samoan</i>	2
<i>Tongan</i>	2
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	14
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	2
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	4
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	2
<i>African</i>	1
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	13

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A



<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of</i>	N/A



<i>about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HW 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Howick in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	317
<i>I support most priorities</i>	141
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	12
<i>Other</i>	13
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

HW 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Heritage Plan.</i>	34	31	1	4
<i>Review and refresh the Howick Tourism Plan.</i>	33	31	1	4
<i>Encourage community groups to adopt a reserve, park, or waterway etc, and provide for restoration and maintenance activities with council support.</i>	78	17	1	2
<i>Rescope the Industrial Pollution Prevention Programme to broaden its outreach</i>	67	16	1	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>and include all businesses.</i>				
<i>Develop a community-led climate action plan.</i>	53	28	4	1
<i>Explore the development of a Howick Ward 'business collective', or other group, to provide support for small business owners outside of the established Business Improvement Districts.</i>	52	18	4	2



2-Mar Diversity Festival

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	64
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	64
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	26
<i>Female</i>	48
<i>Another gender</i>	0

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	6
<i>15-17</i>	7
<i>18-24</i>	10
<i>25-34</i>	11
<i>35-44</i>	19



<i>45-54</i>	8
<i>55-64</i>	6
<i>65-74</i>	7
<i>74+</i>	0

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	10
<i>Other European</i>	4
<i>Māori</i>	23
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	6
<i>Samoan</i>	10
<i>Tongan</i>	6
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	4
<i>Chinese</i>	4
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	2
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	1
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	10

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A



<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the</i>	N/A



<i>lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



OP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Ōtara-Papatoetoe in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	250
<i>I support most priorities</i>	41
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

OP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Through grants, support community-led events and initiatives that create safe neighbourhoods and promoting active living, sustainable practices.</i>	62	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support activities to increase social cohesion, neighbourly connections, better outreach to people from smaller ethnic groups and connect newer settlers to local services.</i>	40	4	N/A	N/A
<i>Increase youth empowerment</i>	40	7	N/A	N/A
<i>Identify and promote 'Play advocacy' for local opportunities in</i>	36	7	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>projects that can provide spaces for play in places beyond playgrounds.</i>				
<i>Continue to support and look to increase environmental and sustainability projects to address climate change and environmental challenges</i>	46	2	1	N/A
<i>Explore options for ways of delivering increased local economic outcomes for small to large businesses.</i>	26	21	1	N/A



23-Mar ASB Polyfest Day 4

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People <u>that provided feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	1
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	N/A

Local Boards

N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	1	1
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	11
<i>City and local development</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	1
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	1
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	1

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



9-Mar Pasifika Festival Day 1

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	1
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	N/A

Local Board

- None

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	1
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	1
<i>City and local development</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	1	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	2
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	1
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	1
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	1
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	1
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Regional Information

Q8. 8. Do you have any other comments?

<i>Comment</i>	1a. Do less, spend less.
<i>Comment</i>	1b. Transport, do less traffic calming.
<i>Comment</i>	1b. City and local development, no do less.



<i>Comment</i>	1b. Environment and regulation, stop TMA chopping down trees. Restore tree protection
<i>Comment</i>	1b. Economic and cultural development, less events while sorting money.
<i>Comment</i>	1b. Council support, core services stop waste.
<i>Comment</i>	1b. Water, open streams where possible, maintain.
<i>Comment</i>	2. Transport plan, don't support most, stop cycleways and traffic calming. Stop reducing arterial roads to single lane
<i>Comment</i>	3. operational management, go back to community run. Intensification needs more facilities. Tell us why - spend money to improve.
<i>Comment</i>	3. Consider redevelopment, tell us why - Don't spend \$20 million to demolish.
<i>Comment</i>	4. Major investments, don't proceed - use resident properties under ? for future fund. Defund Panuku
<i>Comment</i>	4b. continue council group operation of the port, keep port with new CEO for next 3 years while he turns it around. Why? Current CEO is making money.
<i>Comment</i>	4c. Either continue or invest. Why? as long as panuku doesn't run it.
<i>Comment</i>	5a. proceed - Captain Cook and waves ? back to Auckland Council not stadium.
<i>Comment</i>	5b. Keep, leave with port to make money from.
<i>Comment</i>	6a. Water quality targeted rate, support - yes re-introduce recycling charges for schools - no, don't support. Rates funded refuse collection, don't support - all areas the same.
<i>Comment</i>	6a. Franklin Loal Board paths targeted rate, no don't support.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Franklin: The Centre, Pukekohe, interactive display

<i>Event Name</i>	Franklin: The Centre, Pukekohe, interactive display
<i>Event Date</i>	25/03/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	48
<i>Event type</i>	Interactive display

Local Board

- Franklin

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?



<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

FR 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Franklin in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A



<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

FR 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Develop fit for purpose facilities and respond to growth challenges</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Fund three-year Strategic Community Partnerships with local organisations</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support environmental and cultural restoration programmes in partnership with Iwi including Te Kete Rukuruku (place naming) and Te Korowai Papatuuuanuku (environmental restoration).</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop "Franklin Community Occupancy Guidelines"</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Find ways to reduce Franklin's maintenance costs</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Progress the development and delivery of the Franklin Paths Programme.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Deliver a refreshed approach to</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>enabling young people in Franklin to access services and participate in their communities.</i>				
<i>Progress a Pukekohe Cemetery memorial project that acknowledges the unmarked graves at the site.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

FR Targeted Rate. 7d. We are proposing to introduce the Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.

What do you think of this proposal?

<i>Support</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Local Information

FR Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Please upgrade Possum Borne playground, include shade
<i>Comment</i>	Indoor playground, should be more fun
<i>Comment</i>	We need a music recording studio
<i>Comment</i>	The infrastructure (schools, parks, parking, safe access to schools) needs to grow with the amount of new builds/growth in housing
<i>Comment</i>	Please do something with Montgomery Park, Pukekohe North. Needs facilities for kids too!
<i>Comment</i>	Need more doggy bins around parks
<i>Comment</i>	Could consider advising new people of weeds ie privit (asthma in children)
<i>Comment</i>	Where's the investment in Franklin compared to the CBD?



<i>Comment</i>	Community vegetable gardens
<i>Comment</i>	Indoor playgrounds. Indoor bicycle track will be awesome for winter seasons
<i>Comment</i>	Rubbish needs to be collected weekly, Food scraps.
<i>Comment</i>	We need more share over playgrounds/pools
<i>Comment</i>	Construct bridge on Jutland Road
<i>Comment</i>	Need more green spaces open to the public for walking, picnics etc
<i>Comment</i>	The eel pond (stream) next to Jutland road doesn't have a walking/crossing trail. It is very tough and risky for kids crossing stream in winter and rains, going to Tamaoho Schoo.
<i>Comment</i>	Increasingly harder to park in the town and the library due to increase in population, use of public meeting rooms etc. Dont keep reducing the number of parks and increasing the population.
<i>Comment</i>	I think the pond should be rebuilt with a bridge safe for kids
<i>Comment</i>	Fix the broken paths by all means but fix the cause! Trees on berms to narrow, roots lifting paths and no amount of repair is permanent solution
<i>Comment</i>	We need more places (playgrounds, play centre etc) for young child
<i>Comment</i>	They are stripping each town of their identities!!!!
<i>Comment</i>	i think we need more extra curricular activities for children. Also need a main park. Love the new crossings.
<i>Comment</i>	I am not in favour of more retail as some have suggested. There are large malls and shopping areas nearby (Manukau, Sylvia Park, Drury soon). I would rather try and retain local owned businesses and some rural identity.
<i>Comment</i>	I would love children to have the ability to safely cycle and/or walk to school. Better for the environment and population health. These same links to rail stations are important too.
<i>Comment</i>	The pond that comes between Jutland road has a trail which is used by kids to go to Tamaoho School, it's not accessible due to rains, needs immediate attention.
<i>Comment</i>	Encourage walking rroups to and from school again
<i>Comment</i>	More pools and more places to play pool andhave a good time with your mates



Comment	The Franklin community includes Tuakau, Onewhero and Pokeno. We need to link these North Waikato communities to Auckland, stations at Tuakau and Pokeno please
Comment	Please put playing lights on Rosa Birch cricket ground
Comment	We need more playgrounds please.
Comment	We need playgrounds to be more fun
Comment	The Jubilee pools need better cleaning, the water is cold and open.
Comment	Have businesses that are closer to us such as Kmart, Mecca/Sephora, Oporto, Gongcha cos why make two of everything for example the Maccas, countdown and now apparently a Chemist Warehouse
Comment	We also need more rose Gardens and a doggy picnic area
Comment	Jubilee Pools need new bathrooms, very very old
Comment	A serious programme is required to reduce and eliminate the number of feral cats
Comment	We need the Franklin Council to be independent from Auckland Council
Comment	Footpaths needed full length of Pokeno main street
Comment	Youth foundation work programmes
Comment	Potholes!
Comment	I believe we pay enough rates! Hard to be able to pay now!
Comment	I disagree with this targeted rate as we pay sufficient rates to cover footpath improvements
Comment	Jubilee pools needs to be open later in Jan - Feb, 5pm closing too early and it needs something to do man's off, some slides, floating things etc - stuff to 'activate' what is a basic pool to make it more fun. Also needs lockers as theft is an issue.
Comment	Beter footpaths in rural areas! So kids can safely get out and about.
Comment	I support a targeted rate. We need more foot crossings and foot path! We need sport activities for kids in open parks.
Comment	Make Franklin accessible, not just Auckland City. Some decent footpath in rural areas!
Comment	Paths should be provided as or right. Pedestrian improvements are over the top?
Comment	Just pedestrianise 1/2 the roads, especially in and around the shops



<i>Comment</i>	PTR - depends n how long traffic will be inconvenienced to make it - past history isn't great; PTR - not if you waaste millionis on over engineered pedestrian crossings!!!!
----------------	--

FR 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Franklin proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

FR TR Comm. Do you have any additional thoughts on the proposed Franklin Paths Targeted Rate?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



LTP Drop In/Month Long activation - Manurewa Library - Manurewa local Board

<i>Event Name</i>	LTP Drop In/Month Long activation - Manurewa Library - Manurewa local Board
<i>Event Date</i>	20 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	10
<i>Number of People (required) that provided feedback</i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	30
<i>Event type</i>	Dropin 20 March & Month Long activation

Local Board

- Manurewa

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	1
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	3	4
<i>Water</i>	N/A	2	4
<i>City and local development</i>	1	4	1
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	1	1	4
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	1	6
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	2	3	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	2	N/A	5

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	3
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	3
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	2
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	1
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	1
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	1



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Section 2 of 4

Regional Information

Q2. 2. Tell us why



<i>Comment</i>	Support - You wasted the last money on bus lane camera's to penalize motorists
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Auckland Transport needs to have more options to go across the city not into the city needs to be more affordable, maybe cheaper month passes or when you use the same path more often like heaper 10 ride ticket. It's expensive & often not working.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q3_NHS Comment. 3. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Keep as it is - I'm one of the many who never uses stadiums! Therefore I cannot accept ratepayers paying for more than already exists. I strongly believe that the users - sports people and concert goers pay for more if they think they need them.
<i>Comment</i>	Keep as is - Keep spending down for our children
<i>Comment</i>	Keep as is - Keep stadiums as is there are more important areas that need attention
<i>Comment</i>	Keep as is - No need, e important issues
<i>Comment</i>	Keep as is - Keeping spending down



<i>Comment</i>	Keep as it - Plenty of money on the north shore, more needs to be done in South Auckland
<i>Comment</i>	Change operational management - Currently having to pay high fees for the use of the stadium plus its facilities
<i>Comment</i>	Other - Sell the stadium
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

MN 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Manurewa in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MN 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Continue to support, deliver and fund initiatives that contribute to</i>	30	2	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>positive youth development.</i>				
<i>Invest in evidence-based projects that focus on crime prevention, safer communities and injury prevention.</i>	32	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Fund and support activities that include older people and foster their community participation with a specific focus on reaching older migrants.</i>	25	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in community led projects and initiatives that respond to social connection and cohesion, build climate resilience and contribute to climate action.</i>	29	4	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop a masterplan for Mountfort Park</i>	14	10	2	N/A
<i>Identify options for recreational activities to support people of all ages and abilities being casually active.</i>	19	6	8	N/A
<i>Investigate community lease options to support Ngāti Tamaoho aspirations for a cultural hub at Te Pua/Keith Park.</i>	14	6	9	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>Investigate the feasibility of an arts broker programme to nurture creative expression with a focus on supporting Māori and Pacific creative arts.</i>	20	6	6	N/A
---	----	---	---	-----

• Local Information

MN 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Safer communities and crime prevention is a top priority
<i>Comment</i>	More secure fencing @ playgrounds and Keith park & more toilet facilities
<i>Comment</i>	Mill road? Fix Hill road car jams
<i>Comment</i>	Increase the awareness required in digital world for youth
<i>Comment</i>	Trucks around school drop-off and pickup eg. Hill park school and kindies. No trucks coming thru 8:45am to :15am and no trucks 2:50pm - 3:20pm
<i>Comment</i>	Build secure off-lesh dog park in Mountfort park. Maybe in tree'd area by the toilets. This will enable people to exercise pups - dogs with limited recall off lesh.
<i>Comment</i>	Remove cycleway concrete ballards, dangerous to cyclists, drivers hitting them and the \$ to repair and dangerous
<i>Comment</i>	The walkway between Patricia place and Grande vue road needs care and clean-up please.
<i>Comment</i>	Kia ora, could you fix up the footpath at holmes. I have a disabled daughter in a wheelchair and I cannot take her for a walk
<i>Comment</i>	Crime prevention, safer roads around schools, Educating the community, how to do their part in preventing global warming!!!
<i>Comment</i>	Get rid of cycle ballards, get rid of yellow cycling/concrete markings on weymouth road. Waste of space, cyclists don't even use it. Trim overhanging trees from the footpaths.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



13-Mar Drop in Session

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	15
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	10
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Papakura

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	1
<i>Female</i>	7
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	1
<i>25-34</i>	3
<i>35-44</i>	3



<i>45-54</i>	N/A
<i>55-64</i>	1
<i>65-74</i>	N/A
<i>74+</i>	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	2
<i>Other European</i>	1
<i>Māori</i>	1
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	1
<i>Samoan</i>	2
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	1
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	1
<i>African</i>	1
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	1

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	1	2	4
<i>Water</i>	N/A	3	2
<i>City and local development</i>	4	1	1
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	1
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	3	5
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	2	2	5
<i>Council support</i>	1	3	3

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	3
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	3
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A



<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	2
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	1
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	1
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the</i>	N/A



<i>lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	1
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	1
---	---



<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Regional Information

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Highly support the RFT!! To cancel is really wasteful. Building this nation to become better fueled is a milestone that will be achieved with consistency. Its public friendly in way of cutting down travel time.
<i>Comment</i>	Build tracks that enable faster trains, makes buses more reliable and offer faster buses for commuters.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q3_NHS Comment. 3. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Who has 3.3 million to maintain let alone consider more development for the benefit of the NH community ???
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q6_B_Comment. 6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

<i>Comment</i>	Don't support - Long term Diff Strategy - Very wrong in a cost of living crisis. Council Should support people more and not just businesses.
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Rates funded refuse Collection rollout - Please stop charging people to pay for removing waste.
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Rates funded refuse Collection rollout - Please make removal of waste free. Have more inorganics s for free (Monthly would be great)
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PPK 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Papakura in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

PPK 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>We know you value the community being brought together through free events</i>	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
<i>We will continue to support Māori-led initiatives and aspirations with Mātauranga Māori (Māori knowledge), including the Māori Wardens.</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>We have recently been working on enhancements to the</i>	N/A	3	N/A	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Te Koiwi Reserve pond</i>				
<i>We will continue to support the Takanini Business Association in their Business Improvement District (BID) establishment.</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Community arts programme.</i>	3	1	N/A	N/A

Local Information

PPK 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Supporting Kaupapa Maori that aims to build and share maatauranga about this rohe
<i>Comment</i>	The council should help people a lot more. Free or cheaper waste removal. More free inorganics days. Quicker travel to work, lower rates for people rather than businesses.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PPK 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Papakura proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Whangaparaoa library drop-in session

<i>Event Name</i>	Whangaparaoa library drop-in session
<i>Event Date</i>	20 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	15
<i>Number of People (required) that provided feedback</i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	4
<i>Event type</i>	Drop-in

Local Board

- Hibiscus and Bays

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Regional Information

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Want to pay more for more services.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q8. 8. Do you have any other comments?

<i>Comment</i>	Was expecting to see Cr Watson and Cr Walker at the event.
<i>Comment</i>	Not enough room on the tell us why section on the feedback form.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

HB 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Hibiscus and Bays in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

HB 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support the development of community led resilience networks in our area</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support and advocate for further protection of our sea, soil and fresh water from</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>contamination and sedimentation</i>				
<i>Engage with our community and key stakeholders, including mana whenua, on the future uses of our undeveloped reserves, and older established ones</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to support activities that promote vibrancy, diversity and showcases creativity in our area</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to renew and enhance the paths network (greenways) to create a safer, off road, well-connected networks for active modes of transport.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Local Information

HB 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Increase parking at Western Reserve - extension of existing parking and more in grassed areas (supplied maps showing where additional parking could go). There are over 100 market stalls and no-where to park.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Community Meeting hosted by Hobsonville Community Trust and Hobsonville Point Residents Society

<i>Event Name</i>	Community Meeting hosted by Hobsonville Community Trust and Hobsonville Point Residents Society
<i>Event Date</i>	20 March 2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	50
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	16
<i>Event type</i>	Community meeting

Local Board

- Upper Harbour

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that</i>	N/A



<i>provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Regional Information

Q3_NHS Comment. 3. Tell us why



<i>Comment</i>	Don't believe Council has moral authority to sell North Harbour stadium.
<i>Comment</i>	Need to sort stadium management.
<i>Comment</i>	Should we change operational management?
<i>Comment</i>	Need to advocate for more community management.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q8. 8. Do you have any other comments?

<i>Comment</i>	Pay more/pay less is like having a gun held at ratepayers head.
<i>Comment</i>	What does it mean for rates if we don't lease the port?
<i>Comment</i>	Need to freeze Auckland Council staff wages and need more accountability with contractors.
<i>Comment</i>	Gone too far with supercity and need to involve community.
<i>Comment</i>	What happens when port and airport have a bad year? Generally people diversify.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

UH 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Upper Harbour in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

UH 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Progress with the detailed business case for a new multi-</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>purpose library facility in Albany.</i>				
<i>Continue to deliver stage 1b of Te Kori Scott Point</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement actions from the Upper Harbour Ethnic Peoples Plan.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to invest in projects that improve the environment and address climate change</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement actions from the Upper Harbour Engagement Strategy.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement actions from the Upper Harbour Greenways Plan.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement actions from the Upper Harbour Wheeled Recreation Service Assessment.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

UH 7D. 7d. We will prioritise investment in a Detailed Business Case for a new multi-purpose library facility in Albany, however given the financial constraints faced by Auckland Council we would like to explore alternate options to fund any budget shortfalls.

We want to hear your views regarding the local board investigating options to sell land or exploring the introduction of a targeted rate to enable investment in building a new multi-purpose library facility in Albany (noting that there will be a robust public consultation process on any sale of land or the introduction of a targeted rate following investigation of viable options).



Which of the following options do you support?

<i>Investigate options to sell land</i>	N/A
<i>Investigate options to introduce a targeted rate</i>	N/A
<i>Investigate options to sell land and introduce a targeted rate</i>	N/A
<i>None of the above</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Local Information

UH 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Public rubbish bins around the walkway (Hobsonville) have disappeared - why?
<i>Comment</i>	Concerned how Hobsonville has been developed as a dormitory suburb. Is there a plan to get Hobsonville a heart e.g. a bank, shopping mall, post-office.
<i>Comment</i>	Don't intensify in areas with old and ageing infrastructure.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

UH 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Upper Harbour proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

UH 7D Comment. Do you have any other thoughts or ideas on potential options to fund budget shortfalls associated with building a new multi-purpose library facility in Albany?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



21-Mar Upper Harbour Local Board Hearing

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	0
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Upper Harbour

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

UH 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Upper Harbour in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A



<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

UH 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Progress with the detailed business case for a new multi-purpose library facility in Albany.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to deliver stage 1b of Te Kori Scott Point</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement actions from the Upper Harbour Ethnic Peoples Plan.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Continue to invest in projects that improve the environment and address climate change</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement actions from the Upper Harbour Engagement Strategy.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement actions from the Upper Harbour Greenways Plan.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Implement actions from the Upper Harbour Wheeled Recreation Service Assessment.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



UH 7D. 7d. We will prioritise investment in a Detailed Business Case for a new multi-purpose library facility in Albany, however given the financial constraints faced by Auckland Council we would like to explore alternate options to fund any budget shortfalls.

We want to hear your views regarding the local board investigating options to sell land or exploring the introduction of a targeted rate to enable investment in building a new multi-purpose library facility in Albany (noting that there will be a robust public consultation process on any sale of land or the introduction of a targeted rate following investigation of viable options).

Which of the following options do you support?

<i>Investigate options to sell land</i>	N/A
<i>Investigate options to introduce a targeted rate</i>	N/A
<i>Investigate options to sell land and introduce a targeted rate</i>	N/A
<i>None of the above</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



14-Mar Mt Albert Community Library, Drop-in session

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	26
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	26
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	N/A

Local Board

- Albert-Eden

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	1
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	4
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	3
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	13
<i>Water</i>	N/A	2	10
<i>City and local development</i>	1	2	13
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	3	10
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	6	8
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	2	2	10
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	6	5

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	5
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	3
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	1
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	2
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	7
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	3
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	4
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	1
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	9	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	9	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	2	6	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	6	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Regional Information

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	do not support the removal of street parking in town centre
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q3_NHS Comment. 3. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Divest
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q5_B_Comment. 5b. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	keep the port in AUckland
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

AE 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Albert-Eden in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	6
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

AE 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Celebrating different people and cultures</i>	12	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Continuing our environmental work</i>	8	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Planning for how our parks and open space can respond to growth</i>	12	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Supporting our community groups with funding, information, learning new skills and building their capability and networks.</i>	8	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Settling in at the new, medium-term location for the Pt Chevalier library</i>	6	3	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Working with the community on activations in the Mt Albert Civic Square</i>	5	2	1	N/A
<i>Making our parks rubbish-bin free to minimise waste and improve environmental and climate outcomes.</i>	2	2	7	N/A



Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board Cup of Tea and a chat HYS

Event Name	Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board Cup of Tea and a chat HYS
Event Date	18 March 2024
Number of Participants (optional)	16
Number of People (required) <u>that provided feedback</u> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	14
Event type	drop in

Local Board

- Maungakiekie-Tāmaki

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	1
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	3	2
<i>Water</i>	N/A	3	4
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	2	3
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	2	6
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	7	3
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	1	2
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	1	3

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	4
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	3
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	3
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	2
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?



<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	2
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	4
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	1
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	4
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	2	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Regional Information

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	don't support any. Stop deforestation because it hurts animals leading them to danger. Plan more trees, stop cutting trees, use electric cards, stop pollution, save the world.
----------------	---



<i>Comment</i>	support the proposal. it would improve our bus transport, but also prefer not wasting cast due to the fact it might cause pollution.
<i>Comment</i>	support. we need to change. petrol becoming too expensive, this petrol need to change to save lives.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	no selling council assets, especially port lands and Onehunga car park
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

MT 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Maungakiekie-Tāmaki in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MT 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support community groups and community-led activities</i>	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Building the capacity and capability of local community and sporting groups</i>	13	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Empowering community groups and organisations to</i>	5	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>deliver community events</i>				
<i>Collaborate with mana whenua and neighbouring local boards to protect and restore our waterways through Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum and Manukau Harbour Forum.</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Encourage our rangatahi / youth and community to be leaders in climate action</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support business associations to continue supporting local businesses and ongoing growth, development and liveliness of town centres, including assisting Onehunga Business Associations proposed BID expansion.</i>	6	N/A	1	N/A

MT BID. 7d. Onehunga Business Association is seeking an expansion of its Business Improvement District programme boundary area. If it is successful, businesses ratepayers and owners located within the expansion area will become members of the Onehunga BID programme and pay the associated BID target rate.

Do you support the expansion of the Onehunga Business Improvement District (BID) programme and associated BID targeted rate?

<i>Support</i>	3
<i>Do not support</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

MT BID Tell us why. 7d. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



12-Mar Mt Roskill Community Library, Drop-in Session

Event Participants

Number of Participants (optional)	18
Number of People that <u>provided feedback/ sticky dots</u> (required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate	18
Hardcopy forms received	1

Local Board

- Puketāpapa

Demographics

Gender

Male	9
Female	9
Another gender	N/A

Age

Under 15	N/A
15-17	1
18-24	2
25-34	3
35-44	2



45-54	3
55-64	3
65-74	4
74+	N/A

Ethnicity

Pākehā/NZ European	11
Other European	N/A
Māori	N/A
Cook Island Māori	N/A
Samoan	N/A
Tongan	N/A
Other Pasifika	1
Chinese	N/A
Southeast Asian	1
Korean	N/A
Indian	5
Other Asian	N/A
Middle Eastern	1
Latin American	N/A
African	N/A
Other Ethnicity	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt	N/A
Proceed with the central proposal	1
Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates	N/A



<i>increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	1	3
<i>Water</i>	N/A	2	3
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	3	2
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	4
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	1	4
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	1	1	2
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	3

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	2
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	2
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	3
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	2
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	1
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	2
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	1	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	2	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Transport/Traffic is probably Auckland's biggest problem
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

Q4_A_Comment. 4a. Tell us why

Comment	Not core Auckland Council business
Comment	funding of infrastructure more important
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here



Comment	the port should be phased out anyway, cargo etc diverted to North port
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

Q4_C_Comment. 4c. Tell us here

Comment	essential council services need upgrading
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A



Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

Q5_B_Comment. 5b. Tell us why

Comment	Alternatives have much greater carbon footprint
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A
Comment	N/A

PTP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Puketāpapa in 2024/2025?

I support all priorities	N/A
I support most priorities	1
I do not support most priorities	N/A



<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

PTP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Invest in opportunities to support local community leadership.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in climate change response initiatives and support volunteer groups</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Consider our investment in facilities and services to see if there are opportunities to do better.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support initiatives that improve and encourage walking and cycling opportunities.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Help coordinate and support local business groups.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



6-Mar Drop in session

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	30
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	30
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Papakura

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	8
<i>Female</i>	14
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	N/A
<i>25-34</i>	2
<i>35-44</i>	4



<i>45-54</i>	6
<i>55-64</i>	6
<i>65-74</i>	5
<i>74+</i>	2

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	13
<i>Other European</i>	2
<i>Māori</i>	10
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	N/A
<i>Samoan</i>	1
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	1
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	1
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	3
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	1
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	3
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	8
<i>Do more (increase council)</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	7	18
<i>Water</i>	N/A	5	19
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	12	2
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	1	7	14
<i>Parks and Community</i>	1	9	13
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	2	8	10
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	8	13

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	17
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	10
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	4
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	4



<i>Change the operational management</i>	11
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	4
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	18
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	8
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the</i>	13



<i>lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	13
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	8
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	9
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	7
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	10
---	----



<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	7
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	7	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	7	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	8	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	5	6	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	3	8	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	6	5	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	1	2	N/A	N/A



Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Support - Trains are not always working
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Public Transport needs to be more reliable, more extensive, I don't use it to commute because to get to work will take twice as long plus a long walk.
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Auckland wide Sunday Funday free public transport to encourage families to seek other things outside their own suburbs. Pay 1 fare + transport across all.
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Public transport is too expensive, lower fees + more passengers
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Trains barely work, cost too much, trains are grubby, no-one watching carparks for thieves.
<i>Comment</i>	Support most - Too much speed calming, cycleway spending out of hand.
<i>Comment</i>	Support Most - Safer walking/biking routes needed for kids. More + better public transport (frequency, reliability, cost, destinations), Bring back express routes.
<i>Comment</i>	Support most - Lower the fares for age 50 and workers make a workers trainline
<i>Comment</i>	Support most - No more cycleways, they are mostly unused, Tim tams are dangerous
<i>Comment</i>	Don't support most - High fares, more for cycling
<i>Comment</i>	Don't support most - I am not driving on Sat and Sunday. How I can go out when trains are not working and buses are taking very long time. Public transport is very expensive
<i>Comment</i>	Dont support most - Too many dogs roaming free
<i>Comment</i>	Don't support Any - Don't support AT
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here



<i>Comment</i>	Continue Council Group operation of the port - Major employer prospects of better returns to AC
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_C_Comment. 4c. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	other - Stop rate increases
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q6_B_Comment. 6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PPK 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Papakura in 2024/2025?



<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

PPK 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>We know you value the community being brought together through free events</i>	29	5	2	N/A
<i>We will continue to support Māori-led initiatives and aspirations with Mātauranga Māori (Māori knowledge), including the Māori Wardens.</i>	22	7	4	N/A
<i>We have recently been working on enhancements to the Te Koiwi Reserve pond</i>	14	10	N/A	N/A
<i>We will continue to support the Takanini Business Association in their Business Improvement District (BID) establishment.</i>	17	6	4	N/A
<i>Community arts programme.</i>	23	6	4	N/A



PPK 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Bus Rates & Lanes on the motorways, provide shade/cover @clocktower. Open toilets @ prince Edward park, improve crossing @ ray small park
<i>Comment</i>	Support for rough sleeps, regular free family activities 6+ to 70yrs, Arts, crafts weekly and dropins and more trains to hamilton
<i>Comment</i>	Council Hall hire is too expensive, bring costs down
<i>Comment</i>	No rubbish tags for Papakura
<i>Comment</i>	Road repairs, rethink road congestions charges, upgrade public transport
<i>Comment</i>	Roads in poor condition and parks shoddy
<i>Comment</i>	Free groups and gym ,cooking weaving and Te Reo, garden tool shed
<i>Comment</i>	re-route heavy trucks that heavily impact our road conditions, make Papakura main street only one way to curb heavy flows. Repair all local roading incl ophakeke / Ponga Roads
<i>Comment</i>	road maintenance and repairs
<i>Comment</i>	Please upgrade our Papakura town, Takanini developed, and drury is bring developed while Papakura being left behind
<i>Comment</i>	Road repairs, support Maturanga Maori.
<i>Comment</i>	Pot holes, rubbish, stray dogs, budgeting lessons
<i>Comment</i>	Mowing and potholes
<i>Comment</i>	Traffic and potholes
<i>Comment</i>	Public transport, the trains are always not working, more support for cancer society, more financial support from winz
<i>Comment</i>	Pot holes, rubbish and clean up our roads
<i>Comment</i>	Nothing is free, we all pay one way or another
<i>Comment</i>	Tidy up the entrances to Kirks bush Papakura
<i>Comment</i>	New park in Brylee drive for kids please
<i>Comment</i>	Filling potholes, rubbish and clean our roads
<i>Comment</i>	Road maintenance, restrict/divert heavy trucks around the area, Somewhere to support the homeless to stay locally
<i>Comment</i>	Reroute trucks to Great South Rd, night to reduce noise pollution on residential roads
<i>Comment</i>	Make train cheaper than parking in city (\$15 to park and \$14 to train)n



<i>Comment</i>	Penalise developers who break consents (ie. apartments across from LB building on East street), ensure safe walking
<i>Comment</i>	Roads and public transport
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

PPK 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Papakura proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



9-Mar Whakanui Te Manurewatanga - Celebrating Cultural Diversity in Manurewa

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	30
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Manurewa

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	N/A
<i>Female</i>	3
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	N/A
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	2
<i>25-34</i>	N/A



<i>35-44</i>	N/A
<i>45-54</i>	2
<i>55-64</i>	N/A
<i>65-74</i>	N/A
<i>74+</i>	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	2
<i>Other European</i>	N/A
<i>Māori</i>	1
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	1
<i>Samoan</i>	1
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	N/A
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	N/A

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	3



<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	6
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	12
<i>Water</i>	N/A	3	4
<i>City and local development</i>	1	N/A	3
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	10
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	3	8
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	10
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	1	8

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	16
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	7
---	---



<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	1
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	3
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	10
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	6
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of</i>	3



<i>about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	1
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	2
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	2
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	5
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?



<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	2
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	3
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	2	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	3	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	7	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	1	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Support - Cycleways
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Better public Transport
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Many elderly in this area and no seats for them to sit on and too many bus cancellations
<i>Comment</i>	Support - To reduce emissions and number of cars on the roads
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Increase frequency and decrease cost for affordability
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Less emissions
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Yes to public transport & Cycling
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Lobby govt to bring back fuel tax
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Reduce emissions
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Better public Transport
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Better public Transport
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Better public Transport
<i>Comment</i>	Support - More money allocated to railway lines
<i>Comment</i>	Support - More public transport and affordable and peoples first choice
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Cheaper public transport
<i>Comment</i>	Support - Public Transport
<i>Comment</i>	Do not support - Keep RFT - why change its a source we are already used to paying for
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_A_Comment. 4a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Proceed - More parks
<i>Comment</i>	Proceed - For children to play safely
<i>Comment</i>	Proceed - Change the structure to a trust
<i>Comment</i>	Do Not proceed - Don't sell
<i>Comment</i>	Do not proceed - Keep shares, do not sell. Think of our mokopuna



<i>Comment</i>	Do not proceed - Do not sell shares of airport
<i>Comment</i>	Do not proceed - Keep shares and don't sell
<i>Comment</i>	Do not proceed - Because then it no longer becomes an authentic place to be to stay in Aotearoa, NZ
<i>Comment</i>	Do not proceed - Don't sell
<i>Comment</i>	Do not proceed - Do not sell!!
<i>Comment</i>	Do not proceed - Don't sell shares they belong to South Auckland
<i>Comment</i>	Do not proceed - Do not sell any shares in Auckland International Airport
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	Continue - We have control when we own assets
<i>Comment</i>	Lease - Generates income
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_C_Comment. 4c. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	Other - Invest in Manurewa
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q5_A_Comment. 5a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Proceed - I see this as money taken away from South Auckland
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

MN 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Manurewa in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

MN 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Continue to support, deliver and fund initiatives that contribute to positive youth development.</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in evidence-based projects that focus on crime</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>prevention, safer communities and injury prevention.</i>				
<i>Fund and support activities that include older people and foster their community participation with a specific focus on reaching older migrants.</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Invest in community led projects and initiatives that respond to social connection and cohesion, build climate resilience and contribute to climate action.</i>	9	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Develop a masterplan for Mountfort Park</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Identify options for recreational activities to support people of all ages and abilities being casually active.</i>	1	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Investigate community lease options to support Ngāti Tamaoho aspirations for a cultural hub at Te Pua/Keith Park.</i>	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Investigate the feasibility of an arts broker programme to nurture creative expression with a focus on supporting</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>Māori and Pacific creative arts.</i>				
---	--	--	--	--

MN 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Silly thought!! More rubbish will end up on the road a public places
<i>Comment</i>	That's stupid. Weekly rubbish otherwise give us extra bins. Idiots.
<i>Comment</i>	Keep weekly rubbish collections please
<i>Comment</i>	Regular free community centre programes aimed for different aged youth- give them something positive to do and learn
<i>Comment</i>	Weekly recycling collection instead of 2x weekly (fortnightly)
<i>Comment</i>	Keep weekly rubbish
<i>Comment</i>	If fortnightly pick up on red bins the please give us 2 gaint red bins per house it is a must
<i>Comment</i>	Plz keep rubbish pickup weekly. If fortnightly will drive our whanau into poverty having to pay @transfer stations to get rid of household rubbish & can't afford. Rates are high paying for service.
<i>Comment</i>	We need a fair and equitable funding system
<i>Comment</i>	Waste collection every week
<i>Comment</i>	Unbelievable
<i>Comment</i>	thought to decrease rubbish pickups. Do not do this we want clean streets. The affects would be horrendous
<i>Comment</i>	Weekly rubbish in Manurewa, lots of households overfill bins as it is.
<i>Comment</i>	Food waste bin - good idea, tried it for two months but got sick of maggots
<i>Comment</i>	Deliver more services in community houses
<i>Comment</i>	No to fortnightly rubbish collections please
<i>Comment</i>	To have more skate parks around Manurewa and more activities for kids
<i>Comment</i>	More shows and events through the year
<i>Comment</i>	Weekly collection otherwise rubbish will be dumped over the whenua.
<i>Comment</i>	Too many freeloaders using the buses. More robust bus shelters please



MN 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Manurewa proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Cultural events in local communities, encourage diversity and pride. Share art, music, performances at local level
<i>Comment</i>	Buses are late (1/2 an hour sometimes) particularly late at night
<i>Comment</i>	Can we have a free place to take recycling nearby
<i>Comment</i>	Keep weekly rubbish
<i>Comment</i>	Speedbumps on Shifinal drive, Randwick park please
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



9-Mar Community BBQ

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	16
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	10
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Aotea/Great Barrier

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	1
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	2
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	2
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	1	N/A	2
<i>Parks and Community</i>	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	1
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	1
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	1
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q1_C. 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?

<i>Comment</i>	more funding for environment projects in the LTP
----------------	--



<i>Comment</i>	support more funding for local environmental projects - long-term benefit through environmental projects
<i>Comment</i>	support funding for climate change work - its such a big tricky topic so need to get the strategy correct
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q1_D. 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you would need to pay less?

<i>Comment</i>	'Do we really need a library?' (in order to pay less rates)
<i>Comment</i>	disagree with environmental policies of funding local groups like Windy Hill
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q2. 2. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Loss of Regional Fuel Tax is concern
<i>Comment</i>	road maintenance - important to ensure our unsealed roads are well maintained
<i>Comment</i>	Regional fuel tax - what is the alternative? Congestion charge? Motorway toll?
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



Q4_A_Comment. 4a. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	Don't sell the airport shares
<i>Comment</i>	future fund is a good idea in principle
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q4_B_Comment. 4b. Tell us here

<i>Comment</i>	Don't lease out the port operations - council needs to operate it more efficiently
<i>Comment</i>	move port to Whangarei
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q8. 8. Do you have any other comments?

<i>Comment</i>	Great work regionally on waste and the work Anamata do locally
<i>Comment</i>	Support the work that Tu Mai Taonga do
<i>Comment</i>	Getting on top of weeds early is a cost savings
<i>Comment</i>	Caulerpa is an issue and requires more funding in the LTP
<i>Comment</i>	Support funding for Tu Mai Taonga
<i>Comment</i>	The caulerpa crisis is the greatest issue for the island. Need more funding
<i>Comment</i>	The caulerpa crisis is the greatest issue for the island. Need more funding
<i>Comment</i>	Caulerpa is a big concern for island
<i>Comment</i>	Caulerpa funding is important
<i>Comment</i>	found online documentation very good and easy to navigate
<i>Comment</i>	Helicopters - against recreational helipads
<i>Comment</i>	supports central (default) option - not in favour of the 'do less' option and 'pay more' is too tough for ratepayers on GBI
<i>Comment</i>	favours option 4 for NETR not the default option 3. A little more money but produces more and not too much of a burden
<i>Comment</i>	waste: fortnightly rubbish will be difficult with dirty nappies.
<i>Comment</i>	waste: rates reduction as incentive needed
<i>Comment</i>	waste: if had to cut services then we could do it
<i>Comment</i>	waste: food scraps + green waste - worm farm or compost? Sometimes goes in rubbish bin



<i>Comment</i>	waste: Lord Howe island has bins in parks - very visible clearly identified bins for recycle, refuse, etc. There is a central composting system on the island. You can take a tour to see how it works , eg, glass recycle.
<i>Comment</i>	waste: reduce waste coming here - but how to do it?
<i>Comment</i>	waste: fortnightly collection ok

AGB 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Aotea/Great Barrier in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	1
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

AGB 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Continue the regular programme of funding for community groups to deliver services and environmental groups to deliver ecology works.</i>	2	N/A	1	N/A
<i>Continue our regular maintenance of parks and assets.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Investigate improvements for playground areas island-wide.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support implementation of aspects of the new</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>Destination Management Plan.</i>			
-------------------------------------	--	--	--

AGB 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	support funding for Oruawharo Medlands Ecology Group - value for money with Lotte and volunteer work
<i>Comment</i>	Support funding for Ahu Moana
<i>Comment</i>	Support for Ahu Moana
<i>Comment</i>	support the 1% funding for GBI
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

AGB 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Aotea/Great Barrier proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	submitted a document titled 'Okupe Ecology Group' thanking the council for the riparian planting at the Okupu reserve and for organising penguin surveys & signs. A request for more funding for plantings and a sign for historical info, bird protection and dog control signage'
<i>Comment</i>	Request for a covered recreation centre for the whole community to use and enjoy in the winter months. Could build a shelter over existing courts.



<i>Comment</i>	Staff at the service centre are very helpful and friendly
<i>Comment</i>	request an acknowledgement of the name change from 'Okupu' to 'Okupe' being recognised in the signage
<i>Comment</i>	Request for courtesy moorings for FitzRoy, Whangaparapara and Tryphena. Visitors are not coming to the island due to the CAN
<i>Comment</i>	Request for courtesy moorings due to the CAN
<i>Comment</i>	requests funding for compost processing plant
<i>Comment</i>	support for the visitor levy (noting some economic risks and employment opportunities)
<i>Comment</i>	suggest a project idea of 'street-side orchards'
<i>Comment</i>	supports Barrier becoming 'organic' and spray-free
<i>Comment</i>	Need more wharf accountability - wharfingers to track who is using the wharf and why
<i>Comment</i>	Do not support a visitor levy. Transport is so expensive to get here! That is enough of a control/expense. When DoC introduced a fee it stopped the campers.
<i>Comment</i>	visitor levy : how would you police and collect? What would you put it towards - walking tracks? Visitor amenities? Visitor experiences? Volunteer levy may not have high uptake
<i>Comment</i>	need for Palmers Beach access
<i>Comment</i>	request for baminton court - a sport for all ages
<i>Comment</i>	request for more signage regarding birds and dogs - a sign on bitterns with a brief about them. Sign about dogs on beach at Medlands near memory rock
<i>Comment</i>	Medlands Causeway: pedestrian pathway on beach-side
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



9-Mar Kumeu Show 2024

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	150
<i>Number of People <u>that provided feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	40
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Rodney

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	2
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	0
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	0
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	0	0	4
<i>Water</i>	0	0	3
<i>City and local development</i>	3	0	0
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	0	1	1
<i>Parks and Community</i>	0	0	1
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	1	0	0
<i>Council support</i>	0	0	1

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	1
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	0
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	2
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	3
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	0
<i>Change the operational management</i>	6
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	1
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	0
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	0
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	1
<i>Other</i>	0
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	0
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	0
<i>Other</i>	0
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	0
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	1
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	0



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	8	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	0	1	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	0	0	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	0	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	0	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	1	2	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	1	0	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	0	0	N/A	N/A

Q1_C. 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?



<i>Comment</i>	More cycle ways
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q2_More . 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?

<i>Comment</i>	Rosamund Ave New Windsor, right turn downhill corner - people go too fast, and narrowing road with parking on each side.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

Q3_NHS Comment. 3. Tell us why

<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

RD 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Rodney in 2024/2025?



<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

RD 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Deliver new and/or improved playground and play spaces</i>	1	2	1	N/A
<i>Support communities to develop local community emergency leadership groups and emergency action planning in response to the findings</i>	0	0	0	N/A
<i>Provide additional activities and programmes for children and young people</i>	3	1	0	N/A
<i>Continue to support our local arts centres</i>	0	1	0	N/A
<i>Continue to support community groups and mana whenua to keep our waterways clean and healthy</i>	3	0	0	N/A



	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>and restore biodiversity.</i>				
<i>Support the community to minimise waste, turn it into resources, and promote education on waste reduction.</i>	1	0	0	N/A
<i>Develop and refurbish toilet facilities in Glasgow Park,</i>	2	0	0	N/A
<i>Develop pathway connections in Green Road Park.</i>	0	2	0	N/A

RD Comment Prompt. Did you collect local comments for this event?

- Yes

RD 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Absence of public transport!
<i>Comment</i>	Waimauku bridge safety by school
<i>Comment</i>	Taupaki Road drains - deepened and cleaned
<i>Comment</i>	Drains Peak Road
<i>Comment</i>	Pest weeds on AT road verges - moth plant, ginger etc
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

RD 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Rodney proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.



<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.



<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.



<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.
<i>Comment</i>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport's Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland's roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport's Asset Management Plan.



<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to Auckland Transport for increased funding in the 10-year budget (long-term plan) for rural roads that are resilient including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♣ \$124 million for Auckland Transport’s Unsealed Roads Improvement Programme to improve unsealed roads through strengthening and other methods ♣ an increase of funding, ringfenced for maintenance and renewals exclusively, that allows Auckland Transport to renew and maintain at least eight to nine per cent of Auckland’s roads in any given year as per Auckland Transport’s Asset Management Plan.
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.</p>
<p><i>Comment</i></p>	<p>Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high</p>



	biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very important - Advocate to the Governing Body for sensitive planning to avoid any impact on our natural landscapes with high biodiversity values, working with the environment to protect and preserve it.
<i>Comment</i>	Very Important-Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Fairly Important-Advocate to the Governing Body for adequately resourced and effectively enforced environmental compliance to both public and private entities to protect our environment.
<i>Comment</i>	Rural connectivity broadband
<i>Comment</i>	Trains to Huapai
<i>Comment</i>	Trains to Waimauku
<i>Comment</i>	High school for Kumeu
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



2-Mar Summer Spectacular Festival

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People that provided <u>feedback/ sticky dots</u></i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	35
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Hibiscus and Bays

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HB 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Hibiscus and Bays in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
---------------------------------	-----



<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

HB 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support the development of community led resilience networks in our area</i>	16	0	1	N/A
<i>Support and advocate for further protection of our sea, soil and fresh water from contamination and sedimentation</i>	15	1	1	N/A
<i>Engage with our community and key stakeholders, including mana whenua, on the future uses of our undeveloped reserves, and older established ones</i>	13	4	1	N/A
<i>Continue to support activities that promote vibrancy, diversity and showcases creativity in our area</i>	13	2	1	N/A
<i>Continue to renew and enhance the paths network (greenways) to</i>	15	2	1	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>create a safer, off road, well-connected networks for active modes of transport.</i>				
---	--	--	--	--

HB 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	Great work with community events
<i>Comment</i>	Liveliness and events
<i>Comment</i>	Love the beaches, want them to stay safe and swimmable
<i>Comment</i>	Maintain the old school feel of the Bays. JeanPaul Ord Hamilton 0223739044
<i>Comment</i>	Improvement in stormwater systems.
<i>Comment</i>	Green your stream Yes!
<i>Comment</i>	Surf Clubs of the region need funding to upgrade their aging infrastructure
<i>Comment</i>	Ther is not many banks in Browns Bay and need to go to Albany, And they are only open 2-3 days/week
<i>Comment</i>	Support practical projects to improve storm resilience. Improved/increased water flow through our catchments.
<i>Comment</i>	Like events
<i>Comment</i>	Likes events
<i>Comment</i>	Events (free events, family friends)
<i>Comment</i>	Mairangi Bay Reserve Management Plan needs funding
<i>Comment</i>	Love library and community events locally
<i>Comment</i>	Love all of the events
<i>Comment</i>	Proper formed tracked in Bushglen Reserve
<i>Comment</i>	Strongly advocate for the continued support of protecting and restoring the catchments in the H&B
<i>Comment</i>	Need cleaning of beach and recycling
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

HB 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Hibiscus and Bays proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Let's get some fiscal discipline. Stop wasting money on vanity projects. Get back to basics.
----------------	--



Advocate to the Governing Body to ensure continued funding and support for the coastal projects along Ōrewa Beach, to ensure that the coastal margins remain safe and accessible. 11,0,1.

Advocate to the Governing Body and Central Government for greater education and practical advice for landowners, or those who own assets on leasehold land, whose assets are at risk from the effects of climate change, including erosion, increased temperatures or inundation. 14 0 1

Advocate to the Governing Body to fund the planned expansion of community recycling centres, especially those that will benefit the Hibiscus and Bays area. 8 4 1

Advocate to the Governing Body to adequately fund Auckland Transport to enable them, along with Waka Kotahi - New Zealand Transport Agency, to deliver the Whangaparāoa Transport interchange and the supporting public transport services are in place when O Mahurangi Penlink is open, as a crucial option to support the existing Gulf Harbour Ferry route in the area. - 9 2 1

Advocate to the Governing Body to adequately fund Auckland Transport to enable them to prioritise and fund the fast delivery of the Glenvar and East Coast Road improvements project. 7 1 1

Advocate to the Governing Body to adequately fund Auckland Transport to enable them to prioritise and deliver of the upgrades to Vaughans Road and Okura River Road areas for safer access for Long Bay, Okura, and Torbay. 8 1 0



2-Mar Coastival 2024

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	N/A
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	40
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Local Board

- Hibiscus and Bays

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council’s shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A



Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change – leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A



<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

HB 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Hibiscus and Bays in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A



<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

HB 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Support the development of community led resilience networks in our area</i>	15	1	0	N/A
<i>Support and advocate for further protection of our sea, soil and fresh water from contamination and sedimentation</i>	20	1	0	N/A
<i>Engage with our community and key stakeholders, including mana whenua, on the future uses of our undeveloped reserves, and older established ones</i>	12	3	0	N/A
<i>Continue to support activities that promote vibrancy, diversity and showcases creativity in our area</i>	9	6	0	N/A
<i>Continue to renew and enhance the paths network (greenways) to create a safer, off road, well-connected</i>	14	2	0	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

<i>networks for active modes of transport.</i>				
--	--	--	--	--

HB 7B Tell us why. Tell us why:

<i>Comment</i>	More community accessible gardens such as edible gardens, bee hives. Including benches and a place for social activity. It's important to keep the coast healthy, but also the coasties. A place to relax.
<i>Comment</i>	SB leisure centre – well used. Supportive of our libraries. Happy to have higher rates for good value facilities.
<i>Comment</i>	Better public transport!! It's better for the Earth and for us!
<i>Comment</i>	Link up the cycle way with a safe path through the grass reserve on Orewa beach front. Instead of cycling with children through the Orewa Surf Club carpark.
<i>Comment</i>	More events for kids. Lots of things for older people here (Rodders etc) but not enough for under 18yo.
<i>Comment</i>	In the reserve land by Centrestage people have created a dirt track that has damaged/destroyed all the trees.
<i>Comment</i>	Avoid spending on 'climate emergency' items as there's no such thing.
<i>Comment</i>	Corner Owen Chapman Drive and Taikura Ave on the Fletchers side of red beach – need play facilities/recreation facilities.
<i>Comment</i>	Don't take library funding it's an amazing service.
<i>Comment</i>	More local emphasis than region wide
<i>Comment</i>	Open up the Okura Walkway please!!
<i>Comment</i>	Bus connections from Dairy Flat that work. Bus direct Whangaparaoa to Orewa
<i>Comment</i>	Funding libraries important
<i>Comment</i>	Make sure a footpath is built 29 – to lights Hibiscus Hwy
<i>Comment</i>	Why is there 'no' funding for the Hibiscus Coast Youth Centre – provides public service, events, toilets, water, First Aid, community safety, youth development \$100k/a- CUT!!
<i>Comment</i>	Need to think about what brings people to the area – need to have beautiful toilets, manicured environment, litter free.
<i>Comment</i>	Local Board section in long version of LTP is too vague. Advocate means doing nothing.
<i>Comment</i>	Hard to comment on LB priorities as agree/disagree with some e.g. advocacy priorities – agree education, disagree climate



	change; agree Gulf Harbour ferry, don't agree Interchange as one more stop to get on and off PT. 2 bottom advocacy priorities don't apply to me.
<i>Comment</i>	Want a direct bus link between Orewa and Whangaparaoa Elderly who can't drive find it hard to use PT locally as connections are centred on Auckland central links.
<i>Comment</i>	N/A

HB 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Hibiscus and Bays proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	STOP REMOVING PUBLIC RUBBISH BINS!
<i>Comment</i>	Bring back rubbish bins
<i>Comment</i>	Bring back the rubbish bins – more rubbish around
<i>Comment</i>	Very concerned about indiscriminate removal of all rubbish bins on estuary walkway and Maygrove stormwater area. Where do our rates go!!!
<i>Comment</i>	All prices are too high, especially gas
<i>Comment</i>	Rubbish bins – major problem, worried about dog waste. Worried about people visiting out beaches like big bus loads of church groups – they need bins
<i>Comment</i>	Pot holes- roads in need of urgent repair.
<i>Comment</i>	More rubbish bins.
<i>Comment</i>	No traffic levies - traffic issues to be resolved – more public transport.
<i>Comment</i>	Collect rubbish & replace rubbish bins – a fundamental responsibility for a Council.
<i>Comment</i>	Bring back the rubbish bins
<i>Comment</i>	Fix the potholes Centreway
<i>Comment</i>	Stop RC for 3 storey houses on suburban sections which block sunlight and views.
<i>Comment</i>	Too small amount taken in the inorganic collection
<i>Comment</i>	You need to reinstate the public rubbish bins
<i>Comment</i>	Traffic further intensity & development, huge delays, road is crazy
<i>Comment</i>	Do the basics well
<i>Comment</i>	Selling down Assets isn't a problem as long as you retain majority ownership
<i>Comment</i>	More parking required on site



<i>Comment</i>	Bring back the bins
<i>Comment</i>	Stop removing public rubbish bins
<i>Comment</i>	Dog poo bag dispensers with dog poo bin (with smell inhibitors) along popular walk tracks
<i>Comment</i>	Realistic that rates have to rise in order for basic services that need to happen
<i>Comment</i>	Rates too high
<i>Comment</i>	Keep rubbish bins.
<i>Comment</i>	Keep Core Council items.
<i>Comment</i>	Haven't thought through documents. Hard to imagine anyone will read and contribute. Hard to do an online doc and feedback form at once.
<i>Comment</i>	Need to fight proposal to change park and ride Support P&R only for PT user but hard to police
<i>Comment</i>	AT need to be sorted out. Number of buses we have is not viable. Hub service is world class but if charge for P&R then will hurt useage.
<i>Comment</i>	Bring back public rubbish bins.
<i>Comment</i>	Bring back kerbside inorganic recycling.
<i>Comment</i>	Dairy Flat 986 connection no longer works. Need to look at Dairy Flat timetable. No weekend Dairy Flat buses and reduced services is not good.
<i>Comment</i>	No parking at Hibiscus Bus Station during day
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A



2-Mar Unity is Strength

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	8
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	1

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?



<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



1-Mar Community Meeting

Event Participants

<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	50
<i>Number of People that provided feedback/ sticky dots</i> <i>(required) Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	0
<i>Hardcopy forms received</i>	0

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?



	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A
<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?



<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?



<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A



<i>I don't know</i>	N/A
---------------------	-----

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Manukau Library

<i>Event Name</i>	Manukau Library
<i>Event Date</i>	28/03/2024
<i>Number of Participants (optional)</i>	11
<i>Number of People (required) that <u>provided feedback</u></i> <i>Please note that we will be using this number for our analysis. Estimates are acceptable but they must be reasonably accurate</i>	11
<i>Event type</i>	Interactive boards in libraries

Local Board

- Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Demographics

Gender

<i>Male</i>	4
<i>Female</i>	7
<i>Another gender</i>	N/A

Age

<i>Under 15</i>	1
<i>15-17</i>	N/A
<i>18-24</i>	1
<i>25-34</i>	3
<i>35-44</i>	1



<i>45-54</i>	3
<i>55-64</i>	1
<i>65-74</i>	1
<i>74+</i>	N/A

Ethnicity

<i>Pākehā/NZ European</i>	1
<i>Other European</i>	1
<i>Māori</i>	2
<i>Cook Island Māori</i>	1
<i>Samoan</i>	4
<i>Tongan</i>	N/A
<i>Other Pasifika</i>	1
<i>Chinese</i>	N/A
<i>Southeast Asian</i>	2
<i>Korean</i>	N/A
<i>Indian</i>	N/A
<i>Other Asian</i>	N/A
<i>Middle Eastern</i>	N/A
<i>Latin American</i>	N/A
<i>African</i>	N/A
<i>Other Ethnicity</i>	3

Q1_A. 1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

<i>Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt</i>	N/A
<i>Proceed with the central proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do more (increase council)</i>	N/A



<i>services/investment), with higher rates increases and more debt</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q1_B. 1b. What would you do more or less of?

	Do less	As proposed	Do more
<i>Transport</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>City and local development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Environment and regulation</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Parks and Community</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Economic and cultural development</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Council support</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q2. 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

<i>Support all of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Do not support most of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't support any of the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q3. 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

<i>Keep the stadium precinct as it is</i>	N/A
<i>Consider redeveloping the stadium precinct</i>	N/A



<i>Change the operational management</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_A. 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

<i>Proceed with the proposal</i>	N/A
<i>Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_B. 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?

<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and continue council group operation of the port (through Port of Auckland Limited), and implement the plan to deliver improved profitability and more dividends to council</i>	N/A
<i>Retain underlying council ownership of port land and wharves, and lease the operation of the port for a period of about 35 years and use the upfront payment from the</i>	N/A



<i>lease to invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q4_C. 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

<i>Continue to use it to fund council services</i>	N/A
<i>Invest in the proposed Auckland Future Fund</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_A. 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

<i>Proceed with the proposal to transfer Captain Cook and Marsden wharves from the port to Auckland Council so they can be used for something else that provides public benefit.</i>	N/A
<i>No change - leave Captain Cook and Marsden wharves to be managed as part of the port operations</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q5_B. 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

<i>Keep Bledisloe Terminal as a Port of Auckland operational area</i>	N/A
---	-----



<i>Transfer Bledisloe Terminal to council to be used for something else, that provides public benefit, within 15 years</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

Q6_A. 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

	Support	Do not support	Other	I don't know
<i>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Long Term Differential Strategy</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



OP 7B. 7b. What do you think of our proposed priorities for Ōtara-Papatoetoe in 2024/2025?

<i>I support all priorities</i>	16
<i>I support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support most priorities</i>	N/A
<i>I do not support any priorities</i>	N/A
<i>Other</i>	N/A
<i>I don't know</i>	N/A

OP 7B Priorities. More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed above?

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>Through grants, support community-led events and initiatives that create safe neighbourhoods and promoting active living, sustainable practices.</i>	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Support activities to increase social cohesion, neighbourly connections, better outreach to people from smaller ethnic groups and connect newer settlers to local services.</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Increase youth empowerment</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Identify and promote 'Play advocacy' for local opportunities in projects that can provide spaces for</i>	1	N/A	N/A	N/A



Very Important Fairly Important Not Important I don't know

	Very Important	Fairly Important	Not Important	I don't know
<i>play in places beyond playgrounds.</i>				
<i>Continue to support and look to increase environmental and sustainability projects to address climate change and environmental challenges</i>	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Explore options for ways of delivering increased local economic outcomes for small to large businesses.</i>	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

OP Comment Prompt. Did you collect local comments for this event?

- Yes

OP 7C Comment. 7c. What do you think of the Ōtara-Papatoetoe proposed priorities for the 10-year budget 2024-2034?

<i>Comment</i>	Increase focus on Māori outcomes, including Māori input in local governance and engagement: Support - 4
<i>Comment</i>	Securing funding and delivering the Manukau Sports Bowl masterplan: Support - 1 Partially support - 2
<i>Comment</i>	Secure funding and deliver the Old Papatoetoe Community hub with Eke Panuku: Partially support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Assess our community leases and assets to ensure they are financially sustainable, and prioritising local needs and the changing demographics: Support - 3 Partially support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Prioritise environmental initiatives to protect and care for our environment and mitigate the effects of climate change:



	Support - 3 Partially support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Continue to deliver improved sports fields and lighting to ensure our community has access to high quality facilities for formal or informal recreation: Support - 4 Partially support - 1
<i>Comment</i>	Prioritise addressing inequity of funding in the first 3 years of the Long-term Plan so that underfunded local boards have the financial tools to begin to address service provision: Most important - 2
<i>Comment</i>	Request Auckland Transport to increase investment to prioritise improvements to road conditions, in particular for quicker maintenance and upgrades: Most important - 2
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate to trial free or further subsidised public transport in our area to encourage uptake in public transport use to minimise the cost of transport, as well as to contribute to meeting our climate goals: Most important - 5
<i>Comment</i>	Advocate for the targeted rate funding from the Climate Action, Natural Environment and Water Quality targeted rates to be prioritised for communities that need them the most: Most important - 1
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A
<i>Comment</i>	N/A