

## Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 (10-year budget)

Written Feedback

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Volume #3



Sub #	Organisation Name	Page Number
N/A	Individual Submissions Only	N/A





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bmitter	detail	s:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	detai	ls:
------	-------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only support this easy to access and fast for those who doesn't how to drive or who are don't understand about the map of NZ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value	

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.
Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only use this proposal

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bm	itter	de	etai	ls:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only support this easy to access and fast for those who doesn't how to drive or who are don't understand about the map of NZ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the prop Tell us here:	osal?
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount	

for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter de	tails:
--------------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Геll us why:
Sa. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in

Resume the **Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)** and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.

Resume the **Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)** and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

Tell us liere.
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only support this easy to access and fast for those who doesn't how to drive or who are don't understand about the map of NZ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the	

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submi	itter d	letails:
-------	---------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only support this easy to access and fast for those who doesn't how to drive or who are don't understand about the map of NZ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	if any other part of the proposar?	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environmen</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we the protection of native ecosystem increases rates for the average valued around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the property.	can continue to invest in ns and species. This alue residential property by	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targe</b> extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to programme operating and interest we can continue to fund the water harbours and streams across the	o only cover the annual t costs. This ensures that quality improvements in	

for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tel	I us why:
5b.	What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tel	I us why:
6a.	What do you think of these proposals?
an the ind ar	esume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This the creases rates for the average value residential property by the ound \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business toperty.
ex pr we ha	esume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and stend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual ogramme operating and interest costs. This ensures that e can continue to fund the water quality improvements in arbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount or next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

average value business property.







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only support this easy to access and fast for those who doesn't how to drive or who are don't understand about the map of NZ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?		
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?		
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?		
Tell us why:		
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?		
Tell us why:		
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?		
Tell us here:		
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?		
Tell us here:		





	4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?		
	Tell us here:		
	5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
	Tell us why:		
	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
	Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?			
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate		

from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submi	itter d	letails:
-------	---------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only support this easy to access and fast for those who doesn't how to drive or who are don't understand about the map of NZ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value	

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	detai	ls:
------	-------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only support this easy to access and fast for those who doesn't how to drive or who are don't understand about the map of NZ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsd	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate	

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes I just only support this easy to access and fast for those who doesn't how to drive or who are don't understand about the map of NZ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsd	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount	

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:	
------	-------	----------	--

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the		

Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that		

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

average value business property.

we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell	us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter de	tails:
--------------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to	

reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitte	r details:
----------	------------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Iell us nere:
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business

property.

Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





I AM NOT SUPPORT MOST OF THE PROPOSAL THE ONLY THING I SUPPORT IS TO MAKE PUBLIC TRANSPORT FASTER EASY FOR THE COMMUNITY ESPECIALLY FOR THOSE WHO CANT DRIVE.making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the prop	osal?
Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in	
the protection of native ecosystems and species. This	
increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and	
extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that	
we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in	
harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate	

from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details	ubmitter (	details:
-------------------	------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:	
------	-------	----------	--

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property. Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## Local board priorities

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in	

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

average value business property.

harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter d	letails:
------	---------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tel	I us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

## 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:

## 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tel	I us why:	

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>u</b>	~:::		acta	…

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell	us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

<u>,</u>	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	





Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

Tell us here:
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

Tell us here:
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)

Resume the **Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)** and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.

Resume the **Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)** and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the	

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

ba. what do you think of these proposals?	·
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.	







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Don't support any of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





## 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

Tell us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.	







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## Local board priorities

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

ren us nere.
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





I am not agree with these because not everyone use public transport and spend a lot of money.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





Δ	lot of mo	nev hut	only	10vaare	and it's	not worth
А	TOL OF THE	mev but	OHIV	ruvears	and its	HOLWOITH

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you preier for Captain Cook and Marsus	en whatves !
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





I am not agree with these because not everyone use public transport and spend a lot of money.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

,	oa. What option do you preier for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?
	Tell us why:
,	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
	Tell us why:
	6a. What do you think of these proposals?
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
	Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).
	Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here: 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	c , c p. c p c p. c p c p. c p c c p. p. c p c p. p. c p c p. c p c p. p. p. c p c p.
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	Tell us here:
	5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	Do more
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This

increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property. Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation). Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell	us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





Δ	lot of mo	nev hut	only	10vaare	and it's	not worth
А	TOL OF THE	mev but	OHIV	ruvears	and its	HOLWOITH

A lot of money but only Toyours and its not worth
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by		







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter de	etails:
--------------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

public transport public
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsd	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the	

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value	

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

average value business property.







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





I am not agree with these because not everyone use public transport and spend a lot of money.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell	us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





Δ	lot of mo	nev hut	only	10vaare	and it's	not worth
А	TOL OF THE	mev but	OHIV	ruvears	and its	HOLWOITH

A lot of money but only Toyours and its not worth
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wnarves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden w	harves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the	

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





Δ	lot of mo	nev hut	only	10vaare	and it's	not worth
А	TOL OF THE	mev but	OHIV	ruvears	and its	HOLWOITH

A lot of money but only Toyours and its not worth
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsus	en whatves :
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?			
Tell us why:			
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?			
Tell us why:			
6a. What do you think of these proposals?			
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.			
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual			

extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





Δ	lot of mo	nev hut	only	10vaare	and it's	not worth
А	TOL OF THE	mev but	OHIV	ruvears	and its	HOLWOITH

A lot of money but only Toyours and its not worth
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





Δ	lot d	of mo	nev k	out.	only	10vears	and	it'e	not v	worth
м	IOL (	טווו וט	nev i	Jul 1	OHIV	TUVEALS	anu	11.5	HOU	wolli

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsus	en whatves :
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





I am not agree with these because not everyone use public transport and spend a lot of money.

e. meney.
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	As proposed
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

oa. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.	







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# Local board priorities

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

Tell us here:
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)

Resume the **Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)** and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.

Resume the **Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)** and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.	







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# Local board priorities

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal? Tell us here:

ien us nere.	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsd	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)	
and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in	
the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by	
around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business	

property.

Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	n wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to	

the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	Do more
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# Local board priorities

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us wny:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.	







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# Local board priorities

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bmitter	detail	s:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

Need to improve on transport, etc trains & buses, more bus stops on streets without any.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

Preference to use public transport to travel to work during the week and to popular entertainement venues during the week

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411 <b>3</b> .

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

There is a need of a reliable transport system for the region that accommodates all residents in the area

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?				
Tell us why:				
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?				
Tell us why:				
6a. What do you think of these proposals?				
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.				
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.				
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).				
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by				







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Q.,	hm	itter	dota	ila
อน	DM	itter	aeta	IIIS:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





## Tell us why:

Having public transport access allows me to get to school and other venues without relying on my parents to take us everywhere

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:				
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?				
Tell us why:				
6a. What do you think of these proposals?				
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.				
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.				
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).				
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which				

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





	5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
	Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?			
	Tell us why:		
	6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).		

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

ı	act to	nlacoc	in	my own	ucina	tho	nublic	transport	
ı	aet to	blaces	ın	mv own	usina	tne	DUDIIC	transport	Ĺ

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411J.

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell	us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

## 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5	ia. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wharves?
7	ell us why:	
5	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
1	ell us why:	
6	a. What do you think of these proposals?	
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
	Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the	

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	As proposed
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





## Tell us why:

There is so much development in our area, public transport will alleviate the congestion on our roads and get to work and school

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	Do more
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	-	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of hus services funded by the		

Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

CATTR would still require consultation).







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	Do more
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	As proposed
Water	As proposed
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wh	ıarves?	
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Do less (reduce council services/ investment), lower rates increases and less debt

Transport	Do more
Water	As proposed
City and local development	Do less
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

Tell us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

#### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

1 1	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.	







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





<ul><li>5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?</li><li>Tell us why:</li><li>5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?</li><li>Tell us why:</li></ul>				
			6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
			Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
			Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).				

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





	5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?  Tell us why:		
	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
	Tell us why:		
	6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	Do more
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411J.

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).	

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
	Tell us why:
	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
	Tell us why:
	6a. What do you think of these proposals?
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).
	Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the	

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the	

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ieii us wny:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





# Tell us why: It's good for those who used public transport 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here:

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





## 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

CATTR would still require consultation).







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?			
	Tell us why:		
	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
	Tell us why:		
	6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	tails:
--------	--------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





<ul><li>5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?</li><li>Tell us why:</li><li>5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?</li></ul>				
		Tell us why:		
		6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.				
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.				
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).				

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	tails:
--------	--------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?			
Tell us why:			
	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
	Tell us why:		
	6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal



Tell us here:



# Tell us why: It's good for those who used public transport 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	1
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





	5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
	Tell us why:  5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?  Tell us why:		
	6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter detail	ils:
------------------	------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

I don't know





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:  5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?  Tell us why:		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal



Tell us here:



# Tell us why: It's good for those who used public transport 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

390

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	·	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by		







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detail	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	--------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Proceed with the proposal
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:
IVII MU IIVIVI





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding
Tell us why:
Post Covid the airport will make more money and AC benefit long term from shares
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter d	letails:
------	---------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

_				
<b>^</b>		144		
•	nm	ITTAL	COTS	nic.
OIL		itter	ueic	111.55.

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		,
T	Tell us why:	
5	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
i i	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
1	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
I don't know
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:
IVII AU IIVIVI





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
	Tell us why:
	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:	
	6a. What do you think of these proposals?
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
	Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detail	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	--------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Proceed with the proposal
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detail	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	--------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Proceed with the proposal
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?  I don't know
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fundand transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Proceed with the proposal
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:
TOIL NO HOLD.





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>u</b>	~:::		acta	…

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
I don't know
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>u</b>	~:::		acta	…

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Proceed with the proposal
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





ţ	5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
7	Tell us why:	
ţ	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
7	Tell us why:	
(	6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
	Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
	Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the	

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?				
Tell us why:  5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?  Tell us why:				
			6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
			Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.				
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).				

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	As proposed
Water	As proposed
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:  5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?			
Tell us why:			
<ul><li>5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?</li><li>Tell us why:</li><li>6a. What do you think of these proposals?</li></ul>			
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.			
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.			
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).			

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	tter de	etails:
--------	---------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal? Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Mars	den wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>u</b>	~:::		acta	…

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Proceed with the proposal
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property. Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).	

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fundand transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Proceed with the proposal
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:
TOIL NO HOLD.





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property. Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fundand transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Proceed with the proposal
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:  6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>u</b>	~:::		acta	…

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	As proposed
Water	As proposed
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property. Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	As proposed
Water	As proposed
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Don't proceed with establishing an Auckland Future Fund and transferring AIAL shareholding
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	As proposed
Water	As proposed
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	Do more
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us here:



Tell us why: 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Proceed with the proposal Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here: 4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	•
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	Do more
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





<ul><li>5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?</li><li>Tell us why:</li><li>5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?</li><li>Tell us why:</li></ul>			
		6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
		Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
		Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).			

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	As proposed
Water	As proposed
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ieii us wny:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property. Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to

reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

CATTR would still require consultation).







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	As proposed
Council support	As proposed

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.









We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	•
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411J.

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





### Tell us why:

SUPORT TO THIS PROPOSAL COZ I ALWAYS USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT I THINK IT WILL BE NO MORE DELAY IF WE DO THIS

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:



property.



5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell	us why:
5b.	What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell	us why:
6a.	What do you think of these proposals?
an the	esume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> Indicate extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This expresses rates for the average value residential property by

around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business

Resume the **Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)** and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes network optimization, reducing temporary traffic management requirements, and introducing dynamic lanes stopping some previously planned initiatives, such as some raised pedestrian crossings and cycleways.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:		
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wharves?	
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount		

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

average value business property.

for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	•
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	•
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property. Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to

reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

CATTR would still require consultation).







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	Do more
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?  Tell us here:





# 5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves? Tell us why: 5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal? Tell us why: 6a. What do you think of these proposals? Resume the Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR) and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property. Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property. Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bmitter	detail	s:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

SUPORT TO THIS PROPOSAL COZ I ALWAYS USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT I THINK IT WILL BE NO MORE DELAY

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	Do more
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

SUPORT TO THIS PROPOSAL COZ I ALWAYS USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT I THINK IT WILL BE NO MORE DELAY IF WE DO THIS

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	As proposed
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	Do more
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the		

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411 <b>3</b> .

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

SUPORT TO THIS PROPOSAL COZ I ALWAYS USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT I THINK IT WILL BE NO MORE DELAY IF WE DO THIS

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:			
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?			
Tell us why:			
6a. What do you think of these proposals?			
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.			
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.			
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).			
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which			

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes network optimization, reducing temporary traffic management requirements, and introducing dynamic lanes stopping some previously planned initiatives, such as some raised pedestrian crossings and cycleways.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount	

for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

Proceed with the central proposal

#### 1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	Do more
Water	Do more
City and local development	As proposed
Environment and regulation	As proposed
Parks and Community	As proposed
Economic and cultural development	Do more
Council support	Do more

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:  6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).		

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
-------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to

the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

_		4 4		
	nmı	tter c	10+01	$\sim$
.711			10141	•
Vи	viii	LLC: C	ıvtaı	

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

reii us nere.
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:

### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





NICE AND EASY FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to	

reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





NICE AND EASY FOR	こりらく エレ りししにらる り	VND EVCT

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by		







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:  5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the		

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

5a.	What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

Tell us why:

5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?

Tell us why:

### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

# **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:



property.



4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

	Tell us liele.
;	5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
•	Tell us why:
;	5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
•	Tell us why:
(	6a. What do you think of these proposals?
	Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business

Resume the **Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)** and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

## Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

public transport passes
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:



Tell us here:

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the



4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Do not support most of the proposal





### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

Tell us here:

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:

### 6a. What do you think of these proposals?

Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes network optimization, reducing temporary traffic management requirements, and introducing dynamic lanes stopping some previously planned initiatives, such as some raised pedestrian crossings and cycleways.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

average value business property.

for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submi	itter d	letails:
-------	---------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes network optimization, reducing temporary traffic management requirements, and introducing dynamic lanes stopping some previously planned initiatives, such as some raised pedestrian crossings and cycleways.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the propos  Tell us here:	sal?
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden	wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in	

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the **Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR)** to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

average value business property.

harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes network optimization, reducing temporary traffic management requirements, and introducing dynamic lanes stopping some previously planned initiatives, such as some raised pedestrian crossings and cycleways.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal? Tell us here:
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).

average value business property.

for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an an ath an ann an an an an an an an
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

5a. What option do you preier for Captain Cook and Marsus	en whatves :
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ieii us wny:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
System System State Stat
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
25. 13 there drighting you would spend less on:
2 Which antions do you cupport for the North Harbour Stadium?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell are autom
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us here:

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	1
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ieii us wny:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
System System State Stat
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
25. 13 there drighting you would spend less on:
2 Which antions do you cupport for the North Harbour Stadium?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell are autom
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	·
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





reil us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ieii us wny:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
System System State Stat
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
25. 13 there drighting you would spend less on:
2 Which antions do you cupport for the North Harbour Stadium?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell are autom
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann a 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tall we when		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	1	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall we have
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsus	en whatves :
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann a 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall we have
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
ieli us liele.
Ad Da van barra amu fa dhaale an amu ath an aast af tha array a 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us why:



Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:			
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?			
Tell us why:			
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.			
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.			
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).			
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by			

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bm	itter	deta	ils:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell ve have
Tell us here:
Ad Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:			
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?			
Tell us why:			
6a. What do you think of these proposals?			
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.			
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.			
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).			
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by			

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411J.

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an an an an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an ath an an ath an ath an an ath an an an ath an ath an an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an at
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsus	en whatves !
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us why:



Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an an an an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an ath an an ath an ath an an ath an an an ath an ath an an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an at
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an an an an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an ath an an ath an ath an an ath an an an ath an ath an an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an at
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us why:



Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Whatves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter de	tails:
--------------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submi	itter d	letails:
-------	---------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us why:



Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the	

CATTR would still require consultation).

Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
p p
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by		







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4h Which oution do you profes for the future of Dort of Avaldand?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Al Borre borre for Borre and the second
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an an an an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an ath an an ath an ath an an an ath an ath an an ath an ath an an ath ath an ath an ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath an ath an ath an ath an ath ath an ath an ath
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?



Tell us why:



Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall we have
Tell us here:
All December 200 (College Control of College C
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:
--------------------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4h Which oution do you profes for the future of Dort of Aveldand?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Al Borre borre for Borre and the second
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bm	itter	detai	ls:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall we have
Tell us here:
All December 200 (College Control of College C
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall we have
Tell us here:
All December 200 (College Control of College C
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4h Which oution do you profes for the future of Dort of Aveldand?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Al Borre borre for Borre and the second
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
ieli us liele.
Ad Da van barra amu fa dhaale an amu ath an aast af tha array a 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall us have
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





. , ,	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411J.

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an an an an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an ath an an ath an ath an an an ath an ath an an ath an ath an an ath ath an ath an ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath an ath an ath ath an ath an ath
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4h Which oution do you profes for the future of Dort of Aveldand?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Al Borre borre for Borre and the second
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an an ath an ann an
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter de	tails:
--------------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property b around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	У	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell we when
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
<b>—</b> W
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by









businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detail	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	--------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an an ath an ann an
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wnarves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detail	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	--------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an ann an ath an an an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an an ath an an ath an an an ath an an an ath ath an ath ath an ath an ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath ath an ath an ath an ath an ath an ath an ath ath an ath an ath ath an ath a
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bm	itter	deta	ils:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall we have
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
ieli us liele.
Ad Da van barra amu fa dhaale an amu ath an aast af tha array a 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter de	tails:
--------------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	·
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
p p
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	·
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell ve have
Tell us here:
Ad Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





reil us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





#2036

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	1
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Torm Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an an ath an ann an
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad Da van barra ann faadhaalt an ann ath an ann ath an ann an ath an ann an an ath an ann an
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surrounded as a surrounded to the line of the surrounded to the surroun
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	·
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





reil us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
As 164b, as well make a self-make as well the Double As allowed by well as
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Whatves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by		







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





### Tell us why:

	ACCECC	AND FAST WHE		O A TDAFFIC
EASY IU	Aいいトララ	AINI FASI WHE	-14    ((())  -5	U A IRAFFIL.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





### Tell us why:

EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST WHEN IT COMES TO A TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





## Tell us why:

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:

FASY TO	ACCESS AND	FAST WHEN I	T COMES TO A	TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:
--------------------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





### Tell us why:

EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST WHEN IT COMES TO A TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?





businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





## Tell us why:

EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST WHEN IT COMES TO A TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	1
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Su	bm	itter	detai	ils:
--------------------	----	----	-------	-------	------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

	ACCECC	AND FAST WHE		O A TDAFFIC
EASY IU	Aいいトララ	AINI FASI WHE	-14 11 ((())()(=5 1	U A IRAFFIL.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST WHEN IT COMES TO A TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	1
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why:

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST WHEN IT COMES TO A TRAFFIC
--

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST WHEN IT COMES TO A TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
p p
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





reil us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
As 164b, as well make a self-make as well the Double As allowed by well as
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:
--------------------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
p p
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surround and a surround to a stabilish on Avaldond Fature Found
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
ieli us liele.
Ad Da van barra amu fa dhaale an amu ath an aast af tha array a 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by		

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter de	tails:
--------------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surround and a surround to a stabilish on Avaldond Fature Found
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bm	itter	deta	ils:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall we have
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surround and a surround to a stabilish on Avaldond Fature Found
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
\Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
2 Which options do you cupport for the North Harbour Stadium?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
Ale Mileiale aution de vou profes fantiles frature of Dont of Availables de
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
p p
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surround and a surround to a stabilish on Analyzed Estant End
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

TS A GOOD IDEA TO LESS A BUSY TIME OF TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?
Tell us here:





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?
Tell us why:
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?
Tell us why:
6a. What do you think of these proposals?
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the  Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the  CATTR would still require consultation).
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by

businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers.







We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details	•
-------------------	---

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

TS A GOOD	IDEA TO	I ESS A RIISV	' TIME OF TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
ieli us liele.
Ad Da van barra amu fa dhaale an amu ath an aast af tha array a 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surround and a surround to a stabilish on Analyzed Estant End
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

TS A GOOD	IDEA TO	I ESS A RIISV	' TIME OF TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4. What is a surround and a surround to a stabilish on Analyzed Estant End
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
<b>-</b>
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
45. Which option do you prefer for the future of Fort of Adeciding:
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
Ad De van have any feedback on any other next of the many as 10
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wnarves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

TS A GOOD	IDEA TO	I ESS A RIISV	' TIME OF TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund
and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tall we have
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>u</b>	~:::		acta	…

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ieii us wny:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
System System State Stat
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
25. 13 there drighting you would spend less on:
2 Which antions do you cupport for the North Harbour Stadium?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell are autom
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you
prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsus	en whatves :
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





### Tell us why:

TS A GOOD	IDEA TO	I FSS A R	LISY TIME	OF TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





### Tell us why:

TO A OOO	$\neg$ $\neg$	1 EOO 4 DI 10//.	TIME OF TRAFFIC
1 ~ // (-1/1/1)	1 1 1 1 1 H / 1 / 1		

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411J.

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Wharves?		
Tell us why:		
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?		
Tell us why:		
6a. What do you think of these proposals?		
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.		
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.		
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).		
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which		

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter de	tails:
--------------	--------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





reil us why:
Agree
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport
Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
•
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why: TS A GOOD IDEA TO LESS A BUSY TIME OF TRAFFIC 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NET</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest it the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value busine property.	by
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures the we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this refrom what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	at n ınt
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	to
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





### Tell us why:

TS A GOOD	IDEA TO	I ESS A RIISV	' TIME OF TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsde	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





### Tell us why:

ITS A GOOD IDEA	TO LESS A BL	ISY TIME OF	TRAFFIC

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	,
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal



Tell us why:

Tell us here:



2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?

2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?

3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?

Tell us why:

4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?

Tell us here:

4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?





businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:
--------------------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD	ONE FOR THE	COMMUNITY FASY	Y TO ACCESS AND FA	ST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:			
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?			
Tell us why:			
6a. What do you think of these proposals?			
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.			
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.			
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).			
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by			

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?





businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate. Re-introduce recycling charges for schools. Continue the planned roll out of rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change. Introduce the Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area. Change the Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries. Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS	SVNDE	TOAL

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:			
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?			
Tell us why:			
6a. What do you think of these proposals?			
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.			
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.			
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).			
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by			

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	bm	itter	deta	ils:

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD	ONE FOR THE	COMMINITY	FASY TO ACCE	SS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:
--------------------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS	SVNDE	TOAL

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter of	details:
--------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ONE FOR THE	COMMINITY FACY T	O ACCESS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD	ONE FOR THE	COMMUNITY FASY	Y TO ACCESS AND FA	ST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?









businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS	SVNDE	TOAL

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by





## #20725



businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS	SVNDE	TOAL

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?





# **#20726**



businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?





### businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate. Re-introduce recycling charges for schools. Continue the planned roll out of rates funded refuse collection to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change. Introduce the Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area. Change the Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries. Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submi	itter d	letails:
-------	---------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsdo	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by





## #20728



businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	1
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by





# #20729



businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
--------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





ITS A GOOD ONE FOR THE COMMUNITY EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?





### #20731



businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

_		4 4		
	nmı	tter c	10+01	$\sim$
.711			10141	•
Vи	viii	LLC: C	ıvtaı	

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes network optimization, reducing temporary traffic management requirements, and introducing dynamic lanes stopping some previously planned initiatives, such as some raised pedestrian crossings and cycleways.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsd	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate	

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?

from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).





# #20744



Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





SUPPORT MOST OF IT COZ I TRAVEL A LOT ESPECCIALY TO THE CITY FOR WORK AND SCHOOL.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	1
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?





# **#20746**



businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### **Local board priorities**

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Su	bm	itter	detai	ls:
--------------------	----	----	-------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





SUPPORT MOST OF IT COZ I TRAVEL A LOT ESPECCIALY TO THE CITY FOR WORK AND SCHOOL.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:
--------------------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

THATS A GOOD IDEA TO LESS BUSY TRAFFIC AND ITS EASY FOR US TO ACCESS ESPECIALLY WHEN ITS BUSY.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

THATS A GOOD IDEA TO LESS BUSY TRAFFIC AND ITS EASY FOR US TO ACCESS ESPECIALLY WHEN ITS BUSY.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submit	ter de	etails:
--------	--------	---------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why:
EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST
2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fundand transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details:	Su	bm	itter	detai	ils:
--------------------	----	----	-------	-------	------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support all of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

making public transport faster, more reliable, and easier to use by investing in rapid transit network actions, such as making it easier to pay and introducing capped weekly public transport passes network optimization, reducing temporary traffic management requirements, and introducing dynamic lanes stopping some previously planned initiatives, such as some raised pedestrian crossings and cycleways.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:





Tell us here:	JOSAI ?
5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsd	en wharves?
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate	

from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the

Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the

average value business property.

CATTR would still require consultation).







Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

### Local board priorities

7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?

8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details	Sub	mitter	detai	ls:
-------------------	-----	--------	-------	-----

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

THATS A GOOD IDEA TO LESS BUSY TRAFFIC AND ITS EASY FOR US TO ACCESS ESPECIALLY WHEN ITS BUSY.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

<b>Submitter</b>	details:
------------------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





Tell us why: EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here:

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Su	hm	itter	deta	ils:
<b>U</b> u	viii	11101	ucu	411J.

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

THATS A GOOD IDEA TO LESS BUSY TRAFFIC AND ITS EASY FOR US TO ACCESS ESPECIALLY WHEN ITS BUSY.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

SUPPORT MOST OF IT COZ I TRAVEL A LOT ESPECCIALY TO THE CITY FOR WORK AND SCHOOL.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?





#### Tell us why:

SUPPORT MOST OF IT COZ I TRAVEL A LOT ESPECCIALY TO THE CITY FOR WORK AND SCHOOL.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden Whatves?	
Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





# Long-term Plan 2024-2034

Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Subm	itter	details:
------	-------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

SUPPORT MOST OF IT COZ I TRAVEL A LOT ESPECCIALY TO THE CITY FOR WORK AND SCHOOL.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the <b>Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the Long Term Differential Strategy which	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?

gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the <b>Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate</b> from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





# Long-term Plan 2024-2034

Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter	details:
-----------	----------

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





#### Tell us why:

THATS A GOOD IDEA TO LESS BUSY TRAFFIC AND ITS EASY FOR US TO ACCESS ESPECIALLY WHEN ITS BUSY.

2a. Is there anything you would spend more on?
2b. Is there anything you would spend less on?
3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium?
Tell us why:
4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)?
Tell us why:
4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland?
Tell us here:
4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used?
Tell us here:
4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:	
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?	
Tell us why:	
6a. What do you think of these proposals?	
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.	
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.	
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).	
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by	

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?





# Long-term Plan 2024-2034

Note: this simplified version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed, and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

_		4 4		
	nmı	tter c	10+01	$\sim$
.711			10141	•
Vи	viii	LLC: C	ıvtaı	

Organisation (if applicable):

Local Board: Māngere-Ōtāhuhu

#### Your feedback

1a. Which option do you prefer for the overall direction for council's Long-term Plan?

1b. What would you like Auckland Council to do more or less of?

Transport	
Water	
City and local development	
Environment and regulation	
Parks and Community	
Economic and cultural development	
Council support	

- 1c. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do more of that you would be prepared to pay more for?
- 1d. Is there anything else you would like Auckland Council to do less of so that you could pay less?
- 2. What do you think of the transport proposal?

Support most of the proposal





Tell us why: EASY TO ACCESS AND FAST 2a. Is there anything you would spend more on? 2b. Is there anything you would spend less on? 3. Which options do you support for the North Harbour Stadium? Tell us why: 4a. What is your preference on the proposal to establish an Auckland Future Fund and transfer Auckland Council's shareholding in Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) into this fund (enabling the shares to be sold)? Tell us why: 4b. Which option do you prefer for the future of Port of Auckland? Tell us here: 4c. If the council group continues to operate the Port of Auckland how would you prefer the profits and dividends to be used? Tell us here:

4d. Do you have any feedback on any other part of the proposal?





Tell us why:				
5b. What option do you prefer for Bledisloe Terminal?				
Tell us why:				
6a. What do you think of these proposals?				
Resume the <b>Natural Environment Targeted Rate (NETR)</b> and extend it to 2034/2035 so we can continue to invest in the protection of native ecosystems and species. This increases rates for the average value residential property by around \$20.04 and \$152.71 for the average value business property.				
Resume the Water Quality Targeted Rate (WQTR) and extend it to 2034/2035 at a level to only cover the annual programme operating and interest costs. This ensures that we can continue to fund the water quality improvements in harbours and streams across the region, at a lower amount for next year than previously planned. This reduces this rate from what was previously planned for the average value residential property by around \$6.53 and \$17.10 for the average value business property.				
Broaden the description of bus services funded by the Climate Action Transport Targeted Rate (CATTR) to reduce the need to consult each year for minor changes to the bus programme (any changes to the settings of the CATTR would still require consultation).				
Discontinue the <b>Long Term Differential Strategy</b> which gradually lowers the share of general rates paid by				

5a. What option do you prefer for Captain Cook and Marsden wharves?







businesses and raises the share paid by other ratepayers. We also propose to raise the share businesses pay of the NETR, WQTR, and CATTR to align to the general rate.	
Re-introduce recycling charges for schools.	
Continue the planned roll out <b>of rates funded refuse collection</b> to the North Shore, Waitākere and Papakura in 2024/2025, and Franklin and Rodney in 2025/2026, replacing the current pay as you throw service, and consequent rates change.	
Introduce the <b>Franklin Local Board Paths Targeted Rate</b> of \$52 per SUIP (Separately Used or Inhabited Part) to provide increased investment in paths in the Franklin Local Board area.	
Change the <b>Rodney Drainage Districts Targeted Rate</b> to reflect public feedback and updated analysis of the benefits to properties and boundaries.	
Increase the Waitākere Rural Sewerage Targeted Rate from \$296.75 to \$336.80 (per year) for the 2024/2025, 2025/2026, and 2026/2027 years to maintain cost recovery in the three-year contract cycle, and avoid an annual subsidy of around \$117,000 from general rates, with the next cost review scheduled for the 2027/2028 year.	

6b. Do you have any other feedback on the proposals in question 6a, the changes to our Revenue and Financing Policy, or other changes to fees and charges?

- 7a. Which local board area does your feedback relate to?
- 8. Do you have any other comments?