Notes on RFA report to the quarter ending 31st March 2016

Quarter Highlights

- NDAT (Net Deficit after tax) \$0.8m
- Ongoing integration of Maori arts, stories and identity across the organisation
- Successful completion of Bi-cultural signage at Auckland Zoo
- The Art Gallery drew over 40,000 visitors in January, ending on a high in March announcing 4 finalists (notably 3 of Maori descent) for the prestigious biennial Walter Prize
- Auckland Lives "summer in Aotea Square Programme" drew over 130,000 people to Auckland's Civic Hub
- Successful negotiations to sustain Mt Smart as the home of the Warriors until 2028

Strategic Issues

- LTP measures for "Percentage of Patrons who believe Auckland Live provides them with a rich choice of arts and entertainment options" excluded reason given is "Measure to be refined as part of the 2016/17 Sol to be more appropriate for measuring RFA's performance".
- LTP measures for "Number of commercial event days at stadiums" reason given is The level of service target methodology has been refined to more accurately define level of event days. The baseline levels of services remains consistent with 2014/15. (Don't understand)
- LTP and Sol measures "Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Stadium venues" – reason RFA's Strategic capital investment plan approved in the LTP 2015-25 is expected to improve visitor satisfaction. The investments are not expected to formally commence until 2016/17. (Reason also applies to Sol measure for Visitor satisfaction on the condition of our facilitions).

Performance Measure issues

- Unlikely to meet year end targets for visitor satisfaction and stadium event day usage. Measure to be redefined and improved.
- Volatility in commercial markets have a significant impact on RFA revenue
- Further operational cost savings have a direct impact on generating additional revenue

Risks

- Financial Performance
 - Clearer concise statements about financial deficit. It is very difficult to understand because budget says \$2.8m surplus

- Are RFA on target, if not what is the forecasted variance for the full year (at third quarter)
- RFA note the unfavourable result is due to challenging revenue targets to meet group efficiency savings, but \$8.3m is unspent under grants and sponsorships??
- \$7m of capital spend will be deferred to the next financial year
 why
- Grants and Sponsorships budget \$9.2m but only \$941 has been spent – why is this

Health & Safety

• No issues raised