IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT AUCKLAND

ENV-2016-AKL-000222

UNDER THE Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA") and the

Local Government (Auckland Transitional

Provisions) Act 2010 ("LGATPA")

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under section 156(1) of the LGATPA

AND

IN THE MATTER of section 274 of the RMA

AND

IN THE MATTER of hearing Topic 006 - RPS Natural Resources

("Topic 006") and Topic 035 - Air Quality ("Topic

035") of the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan

BETWEEN NEW ZEALAND STARCH LIMITED

Appellant

AND AUCKLAND COUNCIL

Respondent

NOTICE OF FULTON HOGAN LIMITED'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

3 OCTOBER 2016

RUSSELL MSVEAGH

B J Matheson | L J Eaton Phone +64 9 367 8000 Fax +64 9 367 8163 PO Box 8 DX CX10085 Auckland 1140 **To:** the Registrar of the Environment Court at Auckland

And to: the Appellant

And to: Auckland Council

FULTON HOGAN LIMITED ("Fulton Hogan") wishes to be a party to an appeal by New Zealand Starch Limited ("Appellant") against part of a decision by Auckland Council ("Council") to reject a recommendation by the Independent Hearings Panel ("Panel") in relation to the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan ("Unitary Plan").

Nature of interest

- 1. Fulton Hogan is one of New Zealand's largest roading and construction companies. Fulton Hogan has numerous sites across the Auckland region, including its two main depots at 4 Reliable Way, Mount Wellington and 26 40 Flexman Place, Silverdale.
- 2. Fulton Hogan was a submitter on Topic 006 and Topic 035 and was a party to a joint submission made by the Aggregate and Quarry Association of New Zealand, Holcim New Zealand Limited and Winstone Aggregates. Fulton Hogan also presented evidence at both the Topic 006 and Topic 035 hearings in relation to air quality matters.
- 3. Fulton Hogan is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C of the RMA.

Extent of interest and reasons

- 4. Fulton Hogan is interested in the entirety of the proceedings and supports the Appellant's appeal for the following reasons:
 - (a) the Ambient Air Quality Amenity Standards ("AAAQS") impose greater restrictions on activities than what is provided for in the National Environmental Standard for Air Quality;
 - (b) the imposition of those higher standards does not promote the purpose of the RMA;
 - (c) there is no justification for the inclusion of the AAAQS in terms of section 32 of the RMA; and
 - (d) there is no basis to apply more stringent air quality standards to the Auckland region.

Relief sought

- 5. Fulton Hogan supports the primary relief sought by the Appellant and in particular, seeks that:
 - (a) the air quality provisions be amended as per the Panel's recommendations on Topic 006 and Topic 035, in particular that the AAAQS be deleted from the Unitary Plan; and
 - (b) any consequential relief which may be required as a result of allowing all or any aspects of the appeal.

6.

FULTON HOGAN LIMITED by its solicitors and authorised agents Russell McVeagh:

Signature:

B J Matheson / L J Eaton

Date:

3 October 2016

Address for Service:

C/- L J Eaton Russell McVeagh Barristers and Solicitors

Level 30 Vero Centre

48 Shortland Street PO Box 8/DX CX10085 AUCKLAND **1140**

Telephone:

+64 9 367 8000

Facsimile:

+64 9 367 8163

Advice

1. If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.