
Under the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**)

In the matter of the Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010 (**LGATPA**) and the RMA

And

In the matter of an appeal under section 156(1) of the LGATPA against a decision of the Auckland Council on a recommendation of the Auckland Unitary Plan Independent Hearings Panel (**Hearings Panel**) on the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan (**Proposed Plan**)

And

In the matter of Proposed Plan Hearing Topics 006 RPS Natural Resources and 035 Air Quality

Between **Pact Group (NZ) Limited**

Appellant

And **Auckland Council**

Respondent

Notice of New Zealand Starch Limited's wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 Resource Management Act 1991

05 October 2016

New Zealand Starch Limited's solicitors:
Anderson Lloyd
S W Christensen | R E Hill
Level 2, 13 Camp Street, Queenstown 9300
PO Box 201, Queenstown 9348
DX Box ZP95010 Queenstown
p + 64 3 450 0700 | f + 64 3 450 0799
stephen.christensen@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Auckland

- 1 New Zealand Starch Limited (**NZ Starch**) wishes to be a party to the following proceedings, being an appeal under section 156(1) of the LGATPA regarding the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan (**Proposed Plan**):
 - (a) *Pact Group (NZ) Limited v Auckland Council* (ENV-2016-AKL-228).
- 2 NZ Starch is a person who made a submission and further submission about the subject matter of the appeal.
- 3 NZ Starch is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
- 4 NZ Starch is interested in all parts of the appeal.
- 5 NZ Starch supports the relief sought in the appeal in full on the following grounds:
 - (a) The relief sought is consistent with the relief sought in the appeal by NZ Starch on the Proposed Plan;
 - (b) The relief sought will be the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose and principles of the RMA, and the objectives of the Proposed Plan;
 - (c) The Independent Hearing Panel's recommendations regarding air quality that are sought to be reinstated under the appeal will provide a more appropriate method of managing air quality than the decisions made by the Auckland Council.
- 6 NZ Starch agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 05th day of October 2016



S W Christensen/R E Hill
Counsel for New Zealand Starch Limited