Summary of issues

• Mangrove expansion can lead to:
  – Perceived reduction in amenity values
  – Loss of access to and along the CMA

• Mangrove removal can create a risks of:
  – Coastal erosion
  – Biodiversity impacts
  – Contaminant release from sediment
  – Potential natural character effects

• Mangrove removal can be:
  – Costly (consents 3-30%, monitoring and physical works)
  – Require ongoing maintenance
Draft UP approach

Stage 1 (Notified UP)
Enable the removal of mangroves in areas that were free of mangroves in 1996 from:
• the General Coastal Marine zone; and
• Significant Ecological Areas that are wading bird habitats; and
• Significant Ecological Areas where the values are not from mangroves.

Stage 2 (Future plan change)
Undertake a prioritised investigation through Marine Spatial Planning and mapping exercise to identify further areas where mangrove removal has a low environmental risk and can be permitted.
Draft approach

Rationale

• Response to a community view of a need for a level of permitted removal beyond seedlings

• 1996 is widest available aerial photography

• 1996 is relatively recent, so environmental risk of removal likely to be low if standards are met

• If permitted mangrove removal is appropriate, it should apply to wading bird habitats but not be constrained by date in this area
Draft approach

Standards for permitted removal

- Disposal outside the CMA.
- No herbicides
- Removal by hand or by hand-held tools.
- Removal is not in areas where mangroves are serving to mitigate coastal erosion from wave action.
- Removal will not damage or disturb areas of salt marsh or sea grass.
- Written notice to council 10 working days prior to removal, advising location, extent, timing, method, evidence the area was clear in 1996.
- In a wading bird habitat removal timed to avoid bird roosting and nesting seasons.
General feedback

~140 received on mangrove management
~30% generally supportive or asking that it goes further (an earlier date)
~35% generally of the view that approach is too enabling of removal
~15% views unclear
~10% seeking a proactive approach by council

The cost of consents, experts and monitoring is too high

Allowing removal without adjacent land owner or Mana Whenua approval is inappropriate

The approach does not give effect to NZCPS

The date is too conservative and should be pushed back
General feedback

The approach strikes a good balance

Identifying areas where mangroves are mitigating coastal erosion will be difficult without expertise

Identifying areas with salt marsh or sea grass will be difficult without expertise

Disputes may arise where 1996 aerial photography isn’t held by Council
Mana Whenua Feedback

Disagree with permitted activity status

Mana Whenua need to be involved because of the risk of environmental damage or disturbing taonga

Support removal to enable access to marae or areas of traditional use

Mangroves are a result of sedimentation, and need to be addressed holistically
## Forum attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auckland Conservation forum</th>
<th>Info about SEA</th>
<th>Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auckland University School of Biological Sciences</td>
<td>Kawau Island Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Rayonier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Waitakere Ranges R&amp;R Group</td>
<td>Landowners &amp; Contractors Protection Association</td>
<td>Sandspit R&amp;R group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Conservation</td>
<td>Mahurangi East Residents and Ratepayers Assoc. Inc.</td>
<td>South Kaipara Ratepayers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecomatters</td>
<td>Manukau Harbour Restoration Society</td>
<td>South Kaipara Ratepayers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Defence Society</td>
<td>Ngati Whatua Orakei</td>
<td>SPAN - Waitakere Ranges, Structure Plan Advocates Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federated Farmers</td>
<td>North Shore Forest and Bird</td>
<td>St. Lukes Environmental Protection Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Mangemangeroa</td>
<td>Omaha Beach Community</td>
<td>Tamaki Estuary Protection Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Oakley Creek</td>
<td>Piha Coastcare Trust</td>
<td>The Onehunga Enhancement Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Power</td>
<td>Piha Ratepayers and Residents Association Incorporated</td>
<td>Upper north island mangrove lobby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick Resident and Ratepayers Association</td>
<td>Protecting Urban Bush</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Views from the forum

Recognition that mangrove management a complex issue in a dynamic environment

Considerable support for ‘stage 2’ of the proposed approach but limited support from ‘stage 1’

1996 date seen to be arbitrary and depending on local conditions too enabling, or too constraining

case-by-case approach generally appropriate as an interim measure

community mangrove management initiatives should receive active support by Council and Local Boards

Local Boards are an appropriate vehicle for progressing/coordinating community mangrove management initiatives
Suggested approach

• Discard date-based permitted activity

• Retain permitted activities for:
  o maintenance of assets (e.g. boat ramps) and infrastructure
  o seedlings removal

• Enable removal of mangroves from wading bird habitats as a controlled activity

• Promote omnibus consents coordinated at a local board level for other removal

• Clarify and strengthen policies supporting clearing with amenity, cultural, coastal access and other community benefits

• Consent applications for local board supported proposals processed free of charge
Enabling policy

Supportive of mangrove removal to enable, maintain, restore or enhance:

- public access to, or along, the CMA
- connections with reserves or publicly owned land and the sea
- public use and amenity values
- water access and navigation including waka portage routes
- public health and safety including sightlines and traffic safety
- access to the coast from marae or to areas of traditional use
- ecological values including significant wading bird feeding or roosting areas
- cultural or historic heritage or natural features