

AUCKLAND UNITARY PLAN
INDEPENDENT HEARINGS PANEL

Te Paepae Kaiwawao Motuhake o te Mahere Kotahitanga o Tāmaki Makaurau

Report to Auckland Council
Hearing topic 074 Designations

Minister for Courts

Minor matters and errors

May 2016

Report first prepared by Murray Kivell in accordance with the Auckland Unitary Plan Independent Hearing Panel procedure and in accordance with section 142 of the Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010, on 31 August 2015 and updated 22 September 2015.

Adopted as Auckland Unitary Plan Independent Hearings Panel recommendations in accordance with the Auckland Unitary Plan Independent Hearing Panel procedure and in accordance with section 144 of the Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010 on date of signature.

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Assessment	3
3. Recommendation to Panel	3
4. Panel recommendations to Auckland Council	4
5. Panel reasons	4
6. Assessment of modifications and designations	5

1. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide an assessment and recommendation in relation to modifications to and submissions on designations classified by the Independent Hearings Panel as minor matters and errors. This classification applies where there is a:

- i. modification to a designation and no submissions;
- ii. rollover of a designation with no modification and submissions lodged by the requiring authority or others (submissions to correct errors or address minor matters);
- iii. modification to a designation and submissions lodged by the requiring authority or others (modifications address minor matters and submissions to correct errors or address minor matters);
- iv. where the modification involves changes to the boundary to incorporate additional land, the requiring authority has an interest in the land sufficient for undertaking the proposed work.

2. Assessment

Errors do not require an assessment. The assessment applies the following criteria.

Does the modification/submission:

- i. involve no more than a minor change to the effects on the environment associated with the use or proposed use of land or any water concerned? or
- ii. involve only minor changes or adjustments to the boundaries of the designation?

See section 6 of this report for the application of these criteria to the individual designations.

On the basis of the assessment, this report concludes that the modifications and designations in section 6 meet the above criteria.

3. Recommendation to Panel

That the Panel recommends to Auckland Council the modifications/designation be confirmed as set out in section 6.

Author	Murray Kivell
Author's Signature	
Date	31 August 2015 and updated 22 September 2015 with no change

4. Panel recommendations to Auckland Council

The Auckland Unitary Plan Independent Hearings Panel recommends that Auckland Council recommends to the requiring authority that it confirms the notice of requirement for the modifications to designations 4102 and 4105 included in the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan.

5. Panel reasons

The reasons for the Panel's recommendation are set out in section 6 below.

Panel Chair	David Kirkpatrick
Chair's Signature	
Date	18 May 2016

6. Assessment of modifications and designations

Requiring authority	Minister for Courts
Designation number (s)	4102 and 4105
Designation purpose	Judicial, court, tribunal and related purposes including collection of fines and reparation, administration, support, custodial services, and ancillary activities. Works include development and operation of land and buildings for the aforementioned purposes.
Location	No. 4102 - 250-260 Great South Road, Papakura. No. 4105 (incorrectly recorded as 4501) - 25 Stadium Drive, Pukekohe).
Designation given effect to	Yes
Lapse date in operative plan if not marked as 'given effect to' in the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan	NA
Land owned by the requiring authority	4102 – No (Tainui Corp) 4105 - Yes
Description of the modification in the rollover	Revision to Purpose Statement. No existing conditions
Assessment of rollover modifications and reasons	No substantive effect. Improves clarity of purpose. Adopts consistent description across all sites. Overall, minor.
Submitters and summary of relief sought in submissions	No submissions.
Assessment of submissions and reasons	NA
Recommendation to Panel	That the Panel recommend to Council that the designations are confirmed with all modifications.
Response from requiring authority: 12 September 2015	Agrees with the above recommendations to Panel
Response from report writer	None required
Recommendation from Panel	The Panel agrees with the report writer's recommendations.
Panel Reasons	The Panel agrees with the report writer's reasons.