

| Natural Heritage | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Submission Point | Attachment ref. | Theme | Topic | Sub-topic | Location | Reason | Decision sought or any similar amendments necessary to address the matters raised in this submission point |
| | | | Additional Zone Height Control overlay | J4.2 Preamble | J4.2 Additional zone height control | | |
| 1147 | | | | | J4.2 Additional zone height control | Additional Zone Height Control overlay has objectives and policies in the Business and THAB zones. However people will try to find them in the overlay section. Need to have a 'landing page' in this section that has an overlay description that describes the reasons for the control and directs people to the Business and THAB obs and pols and rules sections, to make it easier for people to find these. | Text to be developed to achieve this outcome. |
| | | | | Mapping | | | |
| 1148 | Attachment 1148 | | | | GIS change to spatial extent of overlay | Land zoned Town Centre at 1B Ponsonby Road and 7 Hereford Street does not have the Additional Zone Height Controls overlay applied to it. Should be 12.5m shown on map overlay. | Amend maps as per Attachment 1148 |
| 1149 | | | | | Killarney St, Takapuna | Remove the additional height overlay for the Killarney street area which is zoned THAB. This area is covered by the Takapuna 2 precinct and applies a 4 storey or 15m height limit to Area B – the area in which the resident's property is located. There is also an additional zone height control overlay which applies to the area – this imposes a 6 storey or 20.5m height control. Given that the Takapuna 2 precinct has specific height restrictions the additional zone height control overlay is necessary in this area. It appears to be redundant and just adds confusion. | Amend maps to remove additional Height overlay over THAB zoned sites in Killarney Street, Takapuna. |
| | | | | J.4.3 Rules | | | |
| 1150 | | | | | J.4.3 Ridgeline Protection 1.1 (1)(b) | The wording in rule 1(a) provides for an exception for buildings, but not structures, that do not increase their height or building coverage. However the result is that all structures in the overlay are a discretionary activity. This is excessive regulation and there should not be any distinction between buildings and structures. | Amend Rule 1.1.1(b) as follows: additions or alterations to buildings <u>and structures</u> that do not increase it's <u>their</u> height or building coverage. |
| | | | | Appendix 3.5 Local Public Views | App 3.5 | | |

| Submission Point | Attachment ref. | Theme | Topic | Sub-topic | Location | Reason | Decision sought or any similar amendments necessary to address the matters raised in this submission point |
|------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|--|
| 1151 | | | | | | Map showing contours for 'Local Public view – Manukau' has been omitted from the Appendix. This map is required for local public view control to function | Insert 'Local Public view - Manukau' contour map into the text. |
| | | Outstanding Natural Features (ONF) Rules | J6.1 Introduction | | J6.1 | | |
| 1152 | | | | | J.6.1 ONF 1 Activity table | The current activity table provides for minor infrastructure upgrading does not provide for maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure. As a result such activity falls within the "development" of structures and so is a non-complying activity in some features. It is inconsistent to provide for minor upgrading at a less restrictive standard than maintenance and repair and so a new activity should be added to provide for this activity. | Insert new activity line for "Maintenance and repair" with the same activity status as "minor infrastructure upgrading" |
| 1153 | | | | | J.6.1 ONF 1 Activity table | The current table does not provide for the development of underground infrastructure which as a result is included as part of development of structures. This results in excessive regulation and instead this can be provided for as a PA with the effects regulated by controls on earthworks and vegetation removal. | Insert new activity line for "Underground infrastructure" as a permitted activity in all features apart from F1 and F2 which it should be Discretionary. |
| | | | J6.1.2 Assessment | | J.6.2 | | |

| Submission Point | Attachment ref. | Theme | Topic | Sub-topic | Location | Reason | Decision sought or any similar amendments necessary to address the matters raised in this submission point |
|------------------|-----------------|---|---|-----------|---|--|---|
| | | Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) Rules | J6.2 Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) 1 Activity Table | Rules | | | |
| 1154 | | | | | J6.2 Outstanding Natural Landscapes (ONL) and Outstanding and High Natural Character (ONC and HNC) 1 Activity Table | Table incorrectly refers to "clause 2.1 below." | Replace reference to clause 2.4 with <u>3.1</u> within the table rows 1-4 |
| 1155 | | | | | J.6.2 ONL and ONC and HNC 1 Activity table | The current activity table provides for minor infrastructure upgrading as a PA however does not provide for maintenance and repair of existing infrastructure. As a result such activity falls within the "development" of structures and so may be a RDA activity. It is inconsistent to provide for minor upgrading at a less restrictive standard than maintenance and repair and so a new activity should be added to provide for this activity. | Insert new activity line for "Maintenance and repair" with the same activity status as "minor infrastructure upgrading" |
| 1156 | | | | | J.6.2 ONL and ONC and HNC 1 Activity table | The general controls allow for buildings and structures smaller than 25m2 as a PA activity in areas of Outstanding natural Character, yet the activity status for the upgrading of infrastructure is RD regardless of the size. This is inconsistent and a PA activity should be included for small scale upgrades under 25m2 | Insert new activity line for "Minor Infrastructure Upgrade less than 25m2" as a permitted activity |

| Submission Point | Attachment ref. | Theme | Topic | Sub-topic | Location | Reason | Decision sought or any similar amendments necessary to address the matters raised in this submission point |
|------------------|------------------|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1157 | | | | | Overlay rules for ONF, ONLs etc. and volcanic introduction (section 6.1, 6.2, 6.3) | Missing words that explain that the objs/pols sit within the RPS section | Insert text to explain that the objective and policies sit within the RPS section. |
| | | | Appendix 3.2 - Schedule of Outstanding Natural Landscapes | Schedule of ONLs | | | |
| 1157A | Attachment 1157A | | | | ID 83 Appendix 3.2 | A decision on an appeal for Area 83 in Schedule 3.2 changed the description of values of Area 83. the description needs to be amended to reflect the Environment Court decision. | Replace the description of Area 83 in Schedule 3.2 with that provided in the Environment Court decision relating to the area. See Attachment 1157A. |
| | | Trees | Notable Trees | J6.4 Overlay Rules | J6.4 | | |
| 1158 | | | | | J6.4 Activity Table | Removal of dead wood from notable trees/scheduled trees is a maintenance activity by Parks. Making the removal of deadwood a Discretionary activity will hinder the ability of Parks to carry out an effective tree maintenance program. | Amend 'Dead wood removal' activity status from 'Discretionary' to 'Permitted' |
| | | | | Appendix 3.4 - Schedule of Notable Trees | Appendix 3.4 | | |
| 1159 | Attachment 1159 | | | | Appendix 3.4 | North Shore Plan Change 36 is now operative. New items need to be added to the appendix | Add all North Shore Plan Change 36 trees to Appendix 3.4 as shown in attachment. |
| 1150 | Attachment 1150 | | | | Appendix 3.4 | Franklin Plan Change 39 is now operative. New items need to be added to the appendix | Add all Franklin Plan Change 39 trees to Appendix 3.4 as shown in attachment. |
| 1141 | | | | | Appendix 3.4 | Manukau Plan Change 37 is now operative. New items need to be added to the appendix | Add Manukau Plan Change 37 trees to Appendix 3.4 as follows: <u>ID – assign new schedule #</u> <u>Botanical Name – Metrosideros excelsa</u> <u>Common Name - Pohutukawa</u> <u>Auckland District – Manukau</u> <u>Number of trees – 1</u> <u>Location/street address – 33 Ambury Road, Mangere Bridge</u> <u>Legal Description - LOT 1 DP 365826 on CT 266713</u> <u>ID – assign new schedule #</u> <u>Botanical Name – Eucalyptus sp.</u> <u>Common Name - Manna Gum</u> <u>Auckland District – Manukau</u> <u>Number of trees – 1</u> <u>Location/street address – 14 Willow Way, Pakuranga</u> <u>Legal Description - LOT 1 DP 53692 on CT 4D/615</u> |

| Submission Point | Attachment ref. | Theme | Topic | Sub-topic | Location | Reason | Decision sought or any similar amendments necessary to address the matters raised in this submission point |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1132 | | | | | Appendix 3.4 | Amend schedule number 164 to reflect there being 6 not 8 kawaka on the site – this is after an arborist visited the site | ID – 164 Botanical name: Libocedrus plumose Castanospermum australe Common name: Kawaka (8), (6), Moreton Bay Chestnut Auckland District – Isthmus Number of trees – 9 8 Location/street address – Victoria Avenue 235-237, Remuera (Baradene School) Legal Description – Pt Lot 14 DP 44273 |
| 1123 | | | | | Appendix 3.4 | Amend schedule 626. Tree is allocated to the wrong address. | Change schedule item 626 from 7 Alfred Street to 9 Alfred Street |
| 1114 | | | | | Appendix 3.4 | Amend schedule number 205 to reflect there being 3 Puriri Trees not 1 Puriri Tree at 32 Akiraho Street, Mount Eden. | ID – 205 Botanical name: Vitex lucens Common name: Puriri Auckland District – Isthmus Number of trees – 4 3 Location/street address – Akiraho Street 32, Mt Eden Legal Description – Lot 22 DP 1252 |
| 1114A | | | | | Appendix 3.4 | Amend the schedule of notable trees to include 3 Poplar Trees not 1 Poplar Tree | ID - 731 Botanical name - Populus spp Common name - Poplar Auckland district - Isthmus Number of trees - 4 3 Location/street address - Great North road 1823 Avondale Legal description - pt lot 5 DP 7676 |
| | | | | | Appendix 3.4 | Amendment required to schedule item 164 to reflect there being 6 not 8 Kawaka trees on the site. This has been confirmed after an arborist visited the site. | ID– 164 Botanical name: Libocedrus plumose Castanospermum australe Common name: Kawaka (8), (6), Moreton Bay Chestnut Auckland District – Isthmus Number of trees – 9 7 Location/street address – Victoria Avenue 235-237, Remuera (Baradene School) Legal Description – Pt Lot 14 DP 44273 |
| | | | | Mapping | | | |
| 1115 | | | | | GIS Maps - Appendix 3.4 and Notable Tree Overlay for properties at 162 Flat Bush School Road and 149 Jeffs Road, Flat Bush | Notable Tree Overlay not showing on PAUP GIS. Legacy plan afforded additional protection due to the presence of notable stands of native bush on the site, and they are earmarked as 'Heritage Resources to be Protected' given their visual appeal. Sites included in Appendix 3.4 but not shown in overlays. | Mark the notable trees on the sites at 162 Flat Bush School Road and 149 Jeffs Road, Flat Bush on the maps. |
| | | | Trees in streets and public open space | C4.1 Auckland-wide Objectives and Policies | C4.1 | | |

| Submission Point | Attachment ref. | Theme | Topic | Sub-topic | Location | Reason | Decision sought or any similar amendments necessary to address the matters raised in this submission point |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1116 | | | | | C.4.1.Trees in streets and public open space Objective 2 | Amend the provision to clarify that the outcome sought is not just about the number of trees but tree cover. | Amend Objective 2 as follows: There is an increase in the quality <u>and extent of tree cover and numbers of trees</u> planted in streets and public open space particularly within areas identified for intensified living. |
| 1117 | | | | | C.4.1.Trees in streets and public open space Objective 3 | The inclusion of "no-net loss" is not always practicable and can result in unintended consequences. The more appropriate approach is to clarify that it is the ecosystem services which need to be maintained and there can be some flexibility to maintain those services in the area rather than just the street. | Amend Objective 3 as follows: Enable the efficient maintenance and upgrading of utilities in streets provided there is <u>not net loss in the value of trees or groups of trees that the overall contribution of ecosystem services and amenity values to the immediate area or street are maintained.</u> |
| 1118 | | | | | C.4.1.Trees in streets and public open space Policy 3 | The environmental use of street trees needs to be recognised which will include their contribution to stormwater management. | Amend Policy 3 as follows: Manage trees within streets and public open space to protect their ecological, <u>environmental</u> and amenity values while acknowledging that multiple uses occur in streets and public open space. |
| | | | | H3.1 Auckland-wide rules | H.3.1 | | |
| 1119 | Attachment 1119 | | | | H.3.1 Trees in streets and public open spaces 1 Activity table | The existing provisions do not enable Auckland Council Parks department, where they are acting as an agent of AT, to use the PA rules for works on trees in the street. - I.e.it is sought that the wording changed to 'Auckland Transport, Council or their agents' for activities in the street'. | Split activity table into 2 tables with column "Trees in streets" becoming 1.1 Trees in streets and column "Trees in public open spaces" becoming 1.2 Trees in public open spaces. See attachment 1119 for exact changes. Amend activity table - amend reference to 'Council or its agent' to 'Council, Auckland Transport or their agents' for trees in streets. Council or its agent will still apply within public open spaces. See attachment for specific amendments to lines underneath heading "Council or its agent" in the activity table. |
| 1120 | | | | | H.3.1 Trees in streets and public open spaces 1 Activity table | Add provision for urgent repairs in public open spaces for network utility operators. | Amend the activity table for trees in public open spaces as follows: <u>Network Utilities</u> <u>Tree trimming and tree alteration for urgent repair of an existing network utility carried out by a network utility operator - P</u> <u>Tree trimming, tree alteration or tree removal carried out by a network utility operator not provided for above - D</u> |
| 1121 | | | | | H.3.1 Trees in streets and public open spaces 1 Activity table | AT and infrastructure providers have established a separate and effective process for managing emergency works on street trees. The PAUP should be amended to enable works to happen under that Corridor Access Request (CAR)s process and associated Tree Management Plan, rather than relying on a CAR being granted in all instances. This is particularly important for emergency works which have to be done quickly and can not wait for a CAR to be processed and approved. | Amend activity table to allow for works on trees in accordance with the Tree Management Plan for network utility works. See attachment for specific changes. See attachment for amendments to Activity table (heading Network Utilities and new activities underneath this heading) |

| Submission Point | Attachment ref. | Theme | Topic | Sub-topic | Location | Reason | Decision sought or any similar amendments necessary to address the matters raised in this submission point |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-----------|---|---|--|
| 1122 | | | | | H.3.1 Trees in streets and public open spaces 2 (add new controls) | Add provision for urgent repairs in public open spaces for network utility operators. | <p>Add new controls to 2 Controls as follows:</p> <p><u>Tree trimming and tree alteration for urgent repair of an existing network utility carried out by a network utility operator (new heading)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Is carried out in accordance with accepted modern arboricultural practice</u> <u>2. Is carried out under the direction and supervision of an approved and qualified arborist engaged by the network utility operator</u> <u>3. Tree trimming must be undertaken with hand secateurs</u> <u>4. Works within the protected root zone must:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. be undertaken by hand digging</u> <u>b. be limited to a surface area of less than or equal to 1m by 1m</u> <u>c. be limited to the cutting of roots less than 35mm in diameter (unless undertaken within existing infrastructure where there is no limit)</u> <u>d. disturb less than 10% of the protected root zone</u> <u>e. ensure any trenchless technology is carried out at a depth greater than or equal to 800mm below ground level</u> <u>f. ensure any works involving structures or paved surfaces are carried out without damage to any visible surface roots and the work is undertaken in a way that avoids damage to tree roots</u> <u>g. ensure any machine excavator operates from on top of paved surfaces and/or ground protection measures</u> |
| 1123 | | | | | H.3.1 Trees in streets and public open spaces 3.1 Matters of discretion (1) | Amendment to recognise likely potential damage is also a reasonable consideration in applications for works on trees in roads and reserves. | <p>Amend 3.1 (1) as follows</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The council will restrict its discretion to the matters below for the activities listed as restricted discretionary in the activity table: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. effect on the values of the notable tree or notable group of trees b. any loss of amenity c. actual or <u>likely potential</u> damage to people or property d. mitigation proposed e. consistency with best practice f. methods to control plant pathogens g. tree management plan, reserve management plan or landscape plan. |

| Submission Point | Attachment ref. | Theme | Topic | Sub-topic | Location | Reason | Decision sought or any similar amendments necessary to address the matters raised in this submission point |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 1124 | | | | | H.3.1 Trees in streets and public open spaces 3.2 Assessment criteria (1) | The economic costs associated with removal or retention are relevant considerations and should be included in the assessment criteria. | Amend 3.2 Assessment criteria (1) as follows: 1.The council will consider the relevant assessment criteria below for the restricted discretionary activities listed above: a.the specific values of the trees including any functional values with respect to water and soil conservation, ecosystem services, stability, ecology, habitat for birds and amelioration of natural hazards b.the loss of any amenity values that tree or group of trees provided c.the likelihood of actual damage to people and property from the protected tree. d.any alternative methods that could result in retaining trees e.the degree to which any proposed mitigation adequately compensates for the values that trees provide f.the degree to which the proposal is consistent with best practices guidelines for tree management g.methods to contain and control plant pathogens and diseases such as Kauri die back or Dutch elm disease including measures for preventing the spread of soil and the safe disposal of plant material h.the provision of a tree management plan, reserves management plan or landscape plan. i. <u>The economic costs associated with removal and alternative methods that could result in retaining the trees</u> |