

EMAIL DATED 28 March 2023 from Kay Panther Knight as response to Further information request NoR Murphys Road new school

Hi Vanessa

Thanks for your email and the opportunity to discuss the Ministry's response to Wes' request for further assessment or modelling of the Murphys Park Drive / Murphys Road intersection following our meeting yesterday. As discussed, for the reasons stated in the ITA that accompanied the application and the response to the RFI on this matter, and as further addressed by Kate yesterday in our meeting, the Ministry declines to provide further analysis of the intersection. I won't fully reiterate the rationale however some key headlines as discussed in the meeting yesterday are:

- The existing network has already been recognised as a constraint that the ITA comprehensively assesses in relation to the potential impact on it arising from the school proposal.
- AT has also acknowledged that this network is constrained and is unfortunately caught by the lack of progress on NZUP's proposed upgrades and cumulatively impacted by development in accordance with the former SHA precinct.
- Notwithstanding, the ITA and proposed designation conditions together are considered to comprehensively address the potential transport effects and critically, to establish a framework for ongoing assessment as the network may change relative to delivery of the school, itself a critical piece of social infrastructure for this growing community.
- Finally, Abley has identified that the potential impact of the school (at its completed, masterplan roll) contributes around 1% of the traffic already utilising the intersection in question; that these potential additional school trips will occur at the end of the morning peak (being associated with the school peak which is later than network peak) and are likely already on the network; and as such, it is unreasonable to request modelling or further assessment of this intersection. Any such modelling would be subject to a number of varying assumptions given the lack of clarity around the ultimate corridor upgrade outcome (safety or otherwise); growth projections and myriad other inputs.

The above list is not exhaustive and I would refer you to the RFI response by Abley which further articulates the position.

We appreciate your pragmatic approach and confirmation that you agree the best way forward is to proceed to notification and further consider any remaining transport-related matters in due course.